Digital Trade. Currency Fluctuations: An Straightforward Yet Sometimes Ambiguous Perspective On The Behavior Of Short-Term Capital Flows, In Developing Markets

María Claudia Pacheco Barros[1], John Arturo Buelvas Parra[2], Antonio José Pérez Llanos[3]

[1]Magíster en Ciencias Contables, Docente Asistente, Universidad de Sucre, Colombia
[2]Doctor En Ciencias Sociales, Docente Titular Universidad de Sucre
[3]Doctor en Contabilidad y Finanzas, Instructor del SENA, Colombia

Abstract
The research investigates how developing economies respond to unexpected short-term capital movements through their trade openness while dealing with unstable exchange rates. The central concept, indirect is that enhanced digital infrastructure could assist countries in managing abrupt currency fluctuations with relatively steadier capital flow patterns though this effect is not consistently robust everywhere. Utilizing panel data, from available public records the research develops interaction models to observe how these factors tend to change collectively. The research results show a weak relationship between digital trade openness and currency fluctuations because it decreases the strong response to exchange rate movements but the effect appears weak and irregular. The research findings indicate that digital systems provide limited assistance to nations dealing with unstable worldwide financial markets although the benefits remain uncertain and minimal.
Keywords: digital trade openness; exchange-rate turbulence; capital flows; emerging economies; interaction panel model.

INTRODUCTION

Developing economies face difficulties because short-term capital flows make their exchange rates unstable during short periods of fast capital inflows and outflows. These fluctuations cause market tension and complicate planning efforts for both governments and businesses more, than anticipated. Research findings show digital systems generate market shock-related fund response uncertainty but researchers have not proven any specific pattern exists. Research on emerging market development shows various patterns which spread across different regions thus making it impossible to create a single explanation for this process (Edo, 2025).
Digital commerce has expanded rapidly increasingly assuming an occasionally volatile part in the way nations engage with international markets. The way money moves between businesses and investors becomes affected by trade regulations which operate during times of fast economic change. The Digital Trade Integration Database allows researchers to analyze digital framework differences between countries which helps them understand why certain economies face higher capital market volatility than others when exchange rates experience instability (Ferracane et al. 2025). The differences, among

countries complicate identifying a trend yet it still indicates that something significant is occurring.

Short-term capital flows often respond sharply to changes, in circumstances. Research studies have identified these market trends through their unpredictable capital withdrawal patterns and market halts which lead to new capital entries (Forbes & Warnock 2012). These patterns exert stress on emerging markets since they tend to depend on outside capital in precarious manners. The increase of exchange rate volatility leads to fast investor departures which makes these countries more vulnerable to market fluctuations. The economy becomes more unstable because there exists no system to manage these unpredictable market conditions.

Digital finance systems allow businesses and households to conduct international money transfers through their flexible payment systems. Research conducted during the last few years shows financial development leads to different capital flow patterns which help reduce extreme market volatility when global economic emergencies occur (Gou et al.,2024). If digital trade openness offers companies and households improved avenues to handle assets this might modestly lessen the reaction, to currency fluctuations. The research investigates this concept by evaluating how digital trade openness affects the relationship between exchange-rate volatility and short-term capital movements in developing nations but it predicts only a weak non-linear impact.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Digital Change and Trade Openness in Global Systems

The digital transformation process in emerging markets takes complex routes which sometimes lead to confusing outcomes because of existing regional barriers and outdated laws and traditional practices that change each year. Research studies about digital operations contain particular elements which produce unexplainable and illogical results according to their findings (Edo, 2025). Due to this building capacity requires significant time and with certain countries advancing rapidly it becomes difficult to clearly understand the impact of digital systems, on cross-border capital flows.

Research on digital commerce shows that countries create regulations at different speeds which sometimes leads to unexplained significant differences between them. Data from the Digital Trade Integration Database indicates that digital trade rules vary greatly that companies, in different areas encounter vastly distinct circumstances (Ferracane et al. 2025). Digital trade openness creates better business connections for companies but these connections do not always produce stable results because capital markets behave erratically during worldwide economic downturns.

These funds act,. The path ahead remains both difficult to navigate and without any direction.

Digital systems also influence trade patterns by reducing obstacles but this is limited to specific regions. Trade technology development speeds across different nations exist because they use new systems to enhance business connections yet others choose to keep their existing trade restrictions. Digital trade functions as a factor which influences the way organizations handle external market forces. Digital technology progress enables better worldwide communication yet it does not lead to major changes in international

business operations. Occasionally they do not particularly amid significant currency fluctuations.

Capital Flow Behavior Under Turbulence

Capital movements, within emerging markets are highly erratic and fluctuate frequently. Research studies conducted before this time demonstrated that these patterns follow wave-like patterns because they begin with fast money entries which suddenly cease before funds make unexpected withdrawals and markets display unanticipated market movements (Forbes & Warnock 2012). Market tension occurs because investors make fast decisions about market shifts which increases domestic market vulnerability to risks. Capital movements become harder to predict because currency value fluctuations become extreme which creates challenges for achieving financial stability. The entire situation proves that developing markets experience extreme market pressure because international market signals change at high speeds without any notice.

Digital finance seems to act as a factor that could potentially dampen some of this volatility though not in an reliable manner. Research conducted recently shows that financial sector expansion reduces unexpected market disruptions which leads to better international financial transaction management during global economic crises (Gou et al. 2024). Users can transfer money through digital platforms which provides them with a simpler way to send funds than traditional payment methods. Even a minor enhancement could assist countries in managing short-term shocks with a bit composure though it remains unclear how frequently this is effective.

Research shows that capital flows between emerging markets become unpredictable because of worldwide interest rates and risk factors and exchange rate fluctuations (Koepke, 2019). The market stability decreases because investors actively work to stop their investments from losing value. As volatility increases investors rapidly safeguard their assets causing capital flight, from the country. The various behaviors show that outside factors lead to distinct results.

Digital Finance, Currency Conditions, and Cross-Border Friction

The study of financial inclusion aims to explain why digital systems keep expanding despite their unsteady growth pattern which shows both random and disorganized patterns. Research studies have created assessment tools which show financial participation rates but the data becomes difficult to assess because it depends on particular locations (Khera et al. 2021). Additional investigations examine the connection, between inclusion and economic transformation indicating that nations require time reliable networks, trust and affordable infrastructure before digital technologies begin affecting cross-border actions (Khera, 2021). The effects of this situation develop at a slow pace instead of following a defined sequence.

Investigations into commerce also connect digital networks to wider financial growth. Research shows that digital operations enable transition economies to establish stable economic bases through protective regulatory systems which safeguard businesses and minimize trade obstacles (Nam, 2025). The system enables investors to perform digital trade operations which help them handle market disruptions. Digital platforms which stay accessible and stable will probably keep investors from leaving their capital in the

country because they can invest for longer periods instead of making quick withdrawals during times of uncertainty.

Research studies have investigated how payment restrictions together with currency exchange rates affect the flow of capital between nations. Studies about basic principles indicate that equity flows react strongly to both trading expenses and various entry obstacles which investors encounter in their markets (Portes & Rey 2005). Research conducted during the last few years demonstrates that nations which develop solid payment infrastructure systems achieve better capabilities to manage unexpected economic disruptions while maintaining their financial stability (Reuter et al. 2025). Analyses of exchange-rate volatility similarly reveal impacts on capital shifts, in developing economies (Pagliari & Aizenman 2017; Rafi, 2018). When this is paired with the expansion of finance (Gou et al.,The research by (2024) shows that nations can use their developing digital systems to handle external disruptions but the results remain unpredictable and do not guarantee significant effects.

Digital Channels function as controlling elements which affect how global financial pressure affects different markets.

Research shows digital engagement produces different effects on national crisis management because it interacts with financial stress which sometimes reduces severe reactions but produces unpredictable results. Digital infrastructure stability at sufficient levels enables currency volatility reactions to decrease because it provides users with flexible payment transfer systems. This concept aligns with investigations, into -border digital activities and findings that digital finance influences capital flows amid abrupt shifts (Gou et al.,2024). When digital platforms reduce obstacles cash movements tend to remain steadier despite fluctuations, in markets.

Research shows that capital movements between countries become fast during global economic crises which leads to unstable financial conditions for developing countries (Forbes & Warnock, 2012; Pagliari & Aizenman, 2017). Research on payment systems shows that digital payment systems which operate efficiently can decrease the impact which currency fluctuations have on businesses (Reuter et al.,2025). The growth of digital trade and digital finance will force countries to create protective economic systems which defend their home markets against foreign market control. The different layers exist in small irregular forms which do not always present themselves clearly but they could provide some limited assistance.

RESEARCH METHODS

Data Sources and Structure

The research uses panel data which comes from developing countries. The analysis reveals data that shows no clear pattern throughout the entire study. The exchange rates of particular nations become unstable while other countries experience sudden brief capital movements which happen quickly. Three available sources form the foundation: UNCTAD for digital trade openness IMF for exchange rate metrics and World Bank statistics, for capital flows and some financial elements. The datasets grow at different speeds which results in an overall unbalanced distribution. Digital levels increase

gradually nearly stagnant at times whereas capital movements and exchange-rate dynamics shift rapidly and chaotically and this combination somehow forms the fluctuation, for the models.

The research uses Short-term capital flows (% of GDP) as its main variable although these values show irregular patterns throughout different years. Digital trade openness functions as the independent variable although it changes gradually and doesn't consistently seem connected to anything, in the short run. The process of exchange-rate volatility measurement needs annual currency data which sometimes reveals unexpected market irregularities. The study includes GDP per capita and inflation rates and financial depth indicators as control variables but these variables show unpredictable changes.

The panel consists of members who have lived through worldwide disruptions which occurred during the previous ten years. Certain nations advance rapidly with commerce while others progress very little leading to the interaction term that appears later. The model continues to generate reliable volatility and digital openness predictions through its structural estimates but these predictions lose accuracy when the data contains abnormal patterns which occur in particular years.

Data Verification Procedures

The first test evaluates currency volatility by using a fundamental z-score method. The model produces abnormal results because external shocks lead to the highest possible exchange rate volatility. The system applies restricted value modifications to data points which exceed the threshold because this method protects regression results from abnormal data points. The main objective of this analysis focuses on preserving attention toward standard turbulence patterns instead of focusing on infrequent disastrous occurrences or political disturbances which interrupt the typical pattern.

The second assessment evaluates the consistency of data points between different time periods. Capital flows rarely remain steady so a panel stationarity test is applied to determine if any transformations improve the structure. Occasionally logarithms assist, sometimes differencing does and other times no method significantly helps,. The study modifies variables as necessary since random fluctuations can impair estimation. After these adjustments the data become less erratic though still not ideal.

The two verification processes exist to prevent people from mistaking random market fluctuations for actual economic trends. Digital indicators shift gradually that they require disturbance variables unaffected, by extreme incidents. Once both cleansing procedures are complete the dataset is easier to handle during the modeling phase although it still contains some noise and unusual anomalies.

Model Specification and Statistical Approach

The first model employs two-way fixed effects to analyze both national characteristics and global events which occur throughout each year. This framework examines the relationship through the following equation incorporated in the estimation: $Capital_{it}=\alpha_i+\lambda_t+b_1\ FXVol_{it}+b_2\ DigiTrade_{it}+b_3\ (FXVol_{it}\times DigiTrade_{it})+gX_{it}+e_{it}$. The developers used Forbes and Warnock (2012) to develop their model because these authors showed that capital movements between economies follow periodic patterns during times of economic decline. Their research demonstrates that capital flows are highly sensitive, to disturbances. The interaction term

in this analysis determines whether digital trade helps reduce the strong negative relationship between trade and economic growth.

A second approach employs a panel quantile framework to examine how the relationship varies across segments of the capital flow distribution. The financial flow of certain countries shows positive movement but other nations face major inflows and outflows of capital. The quantile framework maintains the core concept. The research investigates which flow patterns between them affect their mutual relationship. The system allows users to determine how digital openness helps them during times of economic hardship.

The third model introduces a time-dependent factor through its use of previous capital flow data. The design demonstrates that capital movements track previous year patterns because it retains information about past capital flow patterns. The model takes the form: $Capital_{it}=dCapital_{(i,t-1)}+b_1 FXVol_{it}+b_2 DigiTrade_{it}+b_3 (FXVol_{it}\times DigiTrade_{it})+gX_{it}+u_{it}$. The research investigates whether digital openness maintains its stress-reducing effects when researchers control for how people maintain their streaming habits. The system enables users to handle feedback information which models produce.

Non-Linear Behavior and Additional Model Checks

The non-linear framework shows that openness effects start to differ from each other when the system reaches a specific threshold value. This model incorporates a digital variable within the formula: $Capital_{it}=\alpha_i+\lambda_t+c_1 DigiTrade_{it}+c_2 DigiTrade_{it}^2+c_3 FXVol_{it}+c_4 (DigiTrade_{it}\times FXVol_{it})+gX_{it}+e_{it}$. The conceptual framework shows that digital transformation becomes effective only when organizations complete their adoption solutions which follow the capital flow responses pattern during market stress levels as Forbes and Warnock (2012) proved. The initial development phase offers limited assistance but digital platforms at their most advanced stage would create a transformative system which capital markets could use to handle disruptions.

The verification process eliminates outlier capital flow data to prevent crisis-related periods from affecting the research findings. The model verifies that the interaction term retains its statistical significance after researchers remove all extreme data points from the analysis. If the trend continues it indicates that digital openness matters not in times of severe strain but also, in typical volatility.

The final evaluation process identifies two distinct categories of nations which include digital nations and mid-digital nations. Research analysis by scientists enables them to establish whether digital infrastructure growth results in better calming effects. The distinct pattern which mid-level digital development countries show supports the theory that digital openness works better than other approaches after nations reach their first stage of digital progress. The evaluation process requires digital networks to achieve stability and growth before they can impact international business operations according to a logical framework.

RESULTS

Main Patterns in Capital Flow Movement

The initial data shows that interest rate increases trigger short-term capital flows which mainly impact developing countries because they lack well-developed banking

infrastructure. The flow becomes negative when turbulence increases because investors quickly leave their positions which creates additional pressure on the home market.

Table 1: Basic Fixed Effects Model.

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-value	Direction
FXVol	-0.42	0.11	-3.78	Strong negative
DigiTrade	0.18	0.07	2.57	Mild positive
FXVol × DigiTrade	0.09	0.03	3.06	Soft stabilizing
GDP per capita	0.04	0.02	1.90	Weak positive
Inflation	-0.03	0.01	-2.11	Negative
Constant	0.72	0.13	5.51	—

The model shows that digital openness in nations leads to decreasing capital inflows which become more volatile during currency market instability. The connection does not eliminate the pressure but it reduces the decrease sufficiently to be noticeable, in the model. The softening trend indicates digital engagement enables operational channels which perform effectively during market instability to let investors shift their funds with decreased worry.

Distributional Behavior Across Flow Conditions

The quantile model shows how various capital flow scenarios impact the current relationship. When flows remain low volatility strikes strongly driving the flow, toward negative levels. Conversely when flows are high the effect of turbulence is milder and digital openness demonstrates greater backing. These shifts indicate that digital tools could be more significant when investors are transferring amounts of capital providing faster methods to respond during currency instability.

Table 2: Panel Quantile Model (Selected Quantiles).

Quantile	FXVol	Std. Error	DigiTrade	Std. Error	Interaction	Std. Error
0.25	-0.55	0.14	0.10	0.05	0.05	0.02
0.50	-0.39	0.12	0.15	0.06	0.07	0.03
0.75	-0.21	0.10	0.22	0.07	0.11	0.03

Dynamic Effects and Persistence

The dynamic model shows that previous capital flow data determines what will happen in the present. This trend indicates that nations maintain the momentum of their flows and substantial fluctuations require time to stabilize. Despite including the lagged variable digital trade openness continues to exert a soothing impact, on the volatility connection. The positive nature of their exchange indicates that digital technology tools help reduce the effects which currency instability creates. The dynamic framework improves realism because capital movements typically do not change direction right away.

Table 3. Dynamic Panel Model.

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	z-value	Comment
Capital(t-1)	0.63	0.08	7.87	Strong persistence
FXVol	-0.31	0.10	-3.01	Clear negative
DigiTrade	0.12	0.06	2.13	Mild upward
FXVol × DigiTrade	0.06	0.02	2.89	Stabilizing
Controls	Included	—	—	Balanced

The research results confirm that capital flow systems follow historical patterns and digital payment systems continue to affect financial systems despite considering their natural resistance to change. The soothing impact. The pattern appears in all different model designs.

Non-Linear Effects and Group Comparisons

The non-linear model enables researchers to determine when digital openness becomes the primary factor which surpasses other elements after countries reach their adoption threshold. The squared component shows an upward trend which indicates digital tools will start showing their full impact after organizations overcome their first obstacles. Organizations at digital stages maintain fundamental support systems yet organizations at intermediate stages obtain superior results through their support programs.

Table 4: Non-Linear Model.

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-value	Form
DigiTrade	0.09	0.05	1.82	Base effect
DigiTrade^2	0.04	0.02	2.06	Curve
FXVol	-0.37	0.12	-3.14	Negative
Interaction	0.08	0.03	2.77	Stabilizing
Controls	Yes	—	—	—

The findings indicate that digital systems require accessibility and reliability prior to aiding nations in lessening the severity of capital flow responses, to volatility. Digital systems that lack robust structures fail to establish stability but systems with powerful structures deliver better stability support.

Digital Groups and Structural Differences

The research between different groups shows that low-digital and mid-digital nations display distinct characteristics in their presentations. Economies with digitalization exhibit nearly no mitigating support, from digital trade openness with volatility impacts still quite severe. The digital frameworks of mid-digital countries show a negative effect while their positive relationship becomes more pronounced which indicates they handle stress more effectively.

Table 5: Low-Digital vs. Mid-Digital Countries.

Group	FXVol Coefficient	Interaction Effect	GDP per capita Effect	Inflation Effect
Low-Digital	-0.58	0.02	0.03	-0.04
Mid-Digital	-0.29	0.10	0.05	-0.02

The last results show that digital strength requires a particular operational level to affect how people manage their money across different nations. The security level of low digital systems stays weak but mid-digital systems create defined stability improvements.

DISCUSSION

Digital Openness Under Unstable Currency Conditions

The findings indicate a soothing influence from digital trade openness, amid increasing currency volatility yet it fails to eliminate the larger adverse effect entirely; external pressures remain strong as consistently indicated by the models. The soothing quality stands as a tiny element which scientists have not been able to fully comprehend. The system generates this pattern repeatedly when models display irregularities but the system operates without manual or delayed process-related concerns even though it does not follow a specific sequence.

The results also indicate that emerging markets with engaged digital channels experience decreases in flows as turbulence increases though the declines are modest. These nations appear to manage stress somewhat more effectively amidst minor disruptions, in cross-border transactions. The main reason for decline is turbulence because digital assistance functions as a weak protective mechanism which extends the duration of decline but does not stop it.

Research shows that digital openness leads to money transfer system problems because users need to execute numerous big financial operations. The research results show that capital flow has a more significant effect on regions because investors who handle large amounts of money depend on digital platforms to execute their trades during unstable market conditions. The system produces its highest performance from digital infrastructure when it operates under difficult operational conditions.

Water shows different movement patterns when it moves through various flow systems and after it experiences changes in its momentum from previous encounters.

The dynamic model shows that capital flows maintain their persistence because they tend to repeat themselves throughout different time periods. The current market values continue to receive influence from previous market values during times of market instability while digital openness operates as a steady stabilizing force. Although it doesn't dominate effects these channels assist countries in maintaining somewhat more stability despite ongoing impacts, from earlier shocks.

The non-linear results indicate that digital systems begin delivering advantages after they achieve a particular middle-level benchmark. Initial digital phases provide assistance since networks remain fragile access appears restricted and companies lack confidence, in

digital channels. After nations overcome this hurdle support begins to emerge for expensive tools and merely mid-level systems start functioning effectively and noticeably. The research findings become more evident through the analysis of different groups. Nations in the -digital segment exhibit a more evident stabilizing effect whereas those in the low-digital segment demonstrate almost no impact. This indicates that policies targeting adoption alone do not lead to significant improvements, in flow stability. Digital tools need countries to establish strong regulations and improve their platforms and create stable systems which will make investors view them as important during periods of change.

The quantile analysis shows digital openness functions as a critical performance factor which organizations need to succeed under high levels of pressure. The investors who operate at these quantile levels begin taking immediate action because they want to defend their investments from additional decline while their fundamental systems with basic documentation enable them to stay calm. The system's benefits from digital openness become harder to activate during times of low system activity.

Implications for Policymakers and Broader Financial Systems

The research results show that digital openness fails to solve problems which capital instability creates. The system operates as a basic structure which develops into a reliable digital framework when nations establish dependable digital infrastructure. The research shows economic protection stems from platforms which provide reliable and strong services instead of just offering basic service availability.

The digital development of new markets needs enhancement because their present digital openness fails to generate sufficient effects on investment stream directions. The mid-level digital nations in the research demonstrate the impact distinctly once their digital infrastructure operates consistently. The research findings show that nations which face ongoing currency instability would achieve better results through digital trade system development. Digital systems operate as stability tools which sustain flow stability during regular operations through their ability to stop system failures when instability appears. The system maintains this mechanism as a backup system which helps people manage their panic responses. Digital resilience functions as a defensive system which takes in security threats instead of providing immediate solutions to these threats.

Digital transparency creates a new effect which removes barriers between people thus building enduring trust relationships. The first effects will be small but using these instruments regularly will help build investor trust which will grow over time. The research provides value because new market trust levels tend to decrease rapidly following any crisis event. Digital transparency enables organizations to preserve their decision-making processes.

The research shows that digital trade openness functions as a support mechanism which helps countries protect their economies from sudden capital flow shocks through unstable currency markets. Digital infrastructures deliver better value to nations when their digital adoption reaches certain thresholds but they show little impact during their first stages of implementation. International investment efficiency will rise when governments create proper regulations which provide investors with dependable platforms to enter new markets quickly for responding to worldwide market changes.

The current financial assistance system provides essential support to developing nations which still experience economic difficulties although it maintains limited scope.

References

1. Edo, S. (2025). Digital transformation and financial development in emerging economies: Regional variations and policy contingencies. Digital Economy and Sustainable Development, 3(1), Article 12. https://doi.org/10.1007/s44265-025-00063-8
2. Ferracane, M. F., Magntorn, J., & Martens, B. (2025). Global trends in digital trade policies and practices: Evidence from the Digital Trade Integration Database. World Trade Review. Advance online publication.
3. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1474745625100955
4. Forbes, K. J., & Warnock, F. E. (2012). Capital flow waves: Surges, stops, flight, and retrenchment. Journal of International Economics, 88(2), 235–251.
5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinteco.2012.03.006
6. Gou, Q., Li, X., & Zhao, G. (2024). Surges of cross border capital flow: The impact of digital finance. Pacific-Basin Finance Journal, 84, 102305.
7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pacfin.2024.102305
8. Gou, Q., Li, X., & Zhao, G. (2024). Surges of cross border capital flow: The impact of digital finance development. Pacific-Basin Finance Journal, 84, 102305.
9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pacfin.2024.102305
10. Khera, P. (2021). Is digital financial inclusion unlocking growth? (IMF Working Paper No. 2021/167). International Monetary Fund.
11. https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WP/Issues/2021/06/11/Is-Digital-Financial-Inclusion-Unlocking-Growth-460738 imf.org
12. Khera, P., Ng, S. Y., Ogawa, S., & Sahay, R. (2021). Digital financial inclusion in emerging and developing economies: A new index (IMF Working Paper No. 2021/090). International Monetary Fund. https://doi.org/10.5089/9781513574196.001
13. Koepke, R. (2019). What drives capital flows to emerging markets? A survey of the empirical literature. Journal of Economic Surveys, 33(2), 516–540.
14. https://doi.org/10.1111/joes.12273
15. Nam, H.-J. (2025). Digital trade and financial development in European transition economies. European Financial Management. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1111/eufm.12548
16. Pagliari, M. S., & Aizenman, J. (2017). The volatility of capital flows in emerging markets. Journal of International Money and Finance, 76, 280–294. https://www.imf.org/-/media/Files/Publications/WP/2017/wp1741.ashx IMF
17. Portes, R., & Rey, H. (2005). The determinants of cross-border equity flows. Journal of International Economics, 65(2), 269–296.
18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinteco.2004.05.002
19. Rafi, O. P. C. M. (2018). Capital flows and exchange rate volatility: Evidence from emerging economies. Emerging Economies Finance and Trade, 54(1), 135–152.
20. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41775-018-0031-1

21. Reuter, M., Agur, I., Copestake, A., Martinez Peria, M. S., & Teoh, K. (2025). Payment frictions, capital flows, and exchange rates (IMF Working Paper No. 2025/171). International Monetary Fund. https://doi.org/10.5089/9798229022521.001
22. United Nations Conference on Trade and Development. (2024). Digital Economy Report 2024: Shaping an environmentally sustainable and inclusive digital future (UNCTAD/DER/2024). UNCTAD. https://unctad.org/publication/digital-economy-report-2024 UN Trade and Development (UNCTAD)
23. Zhao, Q., Pan, X., & Ren, Y. (2025). Dose digital services trade openness impact cross-border mergers and acquisitions? An analysis based on panel data of 40 countries. Applied Economics Letters. Advance online publication.
24. https://doi.org/10.1080/13504851.2025.2496702