Domestic Currency Debt As A Soft Shield Against Global Rate Shocks In Emerging Economies

María Claudia Pacheco Barros[1], John Arturo Buelvas Parra[2], León Arango Buelvas[3]

[1]Magíster en Ciencias Contables, Docente Asistente, niversidad de Sucre, Colombia
[2]Doctor En Ciencias Sociales, Docente Titular Universidad de Sucre
[3]Doctor en Ciencias Económicas, Docente Titular Universidad de Sucre

Abstract
I want to see if a larger share of domestic-currency debt lets emerging markets react strongly to global interest rate shocks when the overall environment stays tough. Many countries have mixed debt. When global rates jump fast the spreads, on the domestic-currency debt often go up sharply and sometimes in ways that're hard to predict. The idea is that a bigger share of domestic-currency debt could change the reaction of emerging markets. I use panel data from sources to look at how the spreads on the domestic-currency debt move, in an external environment. I do not claim that my model captures every factor. The findings show a calming influence. The calming influence becomes clearer in the countries that have reached a stage of debt growth. The calming influence is modest and imperfect. The calming influence remains fairly consistent across the model configurations despite some inconsistencies, in the data.
Keywords: Domestic currency debt, Global rate shocks, Sovereign spreads, Emerging economies, Panel interaction models.

INTRODUCTION

I have seen emerging markets often face challenges when the worldwide interest rates change quickly because the worldwide interest rates changes push the spreads up. Domestic factors are important. Sometimes the external influence dominates spread dynamics and almost overshadows metrics. Certain nations try to increase domestic-currency borrowing to reduce risk. Certain nations think local markets give control during the fluctuations. Several research works on local-currency yields show that the configuration of debt has traits that can be helpful. The helpfulness changes, with the markets depth (Buzas et al. 2023). I have seen that local-currency yields often rely on the configuration of debt. The route is not simple. The route can be perplexing.
Risk studies usually separate local-currency debt from foreign-currency debt because the two groups react differently under stress. Foreign-currency debt reacts to exchange-rate swings. Local-currency debt gives the borrower control over repayment because local-currency debt does not change with exchange-rate moves. Local-currency debt also faces challenges because market changes and investor feelings affect the spread in times. Research, from the BIS shows that the risk levels, in both debt categories shift in ways. Risk levels shift. The local currency reduces sources of fear. The local currency does not remove them completely (Amstad, 2018). I think the advantage of the currency relies heavily on the fundamentals.

Global disturbances, those that come from the United States stay very strong, on the behavior of emerging markets at times. When U.S. Policy interest rates rise sharply investors usually pull money out of emerging markets. The spreads of emerging markets jump instantly. The effect of the disturbances spreads fast as emerging markets react to risk cues that start in hubs. Research shows that these global shocks can reach emerging markets even when local conditions are stable and the global shocks create stress that spreads to nations that cannot escape it (Kalemli-Özcan, 2019). This makes the situation harder because nations cannot control the influence of the United States. I have seen this happen times.

From my reading of the evidence, from Latin America I see that the spreads react to external factors such as conditions, economic cycles and market liquidity. The nations with institutions or weak local markets endure strain when global shocks hit while the nations with stronger frameworks have milder responses. The research, on Argentina, Brazil and Mexico shows patterns that depend on the mix of vulnerabilities and international stress (Grandes, 2007). Because of this difficulty I see scholars still ask if debt, in currency can be a cushion. Scholars ask if debt in currency can help reduce the impact of international interest rate shocks, on emerging markets. The issue matters for emerging markets.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Local-Currency Debt and Sovereign Spread Behavior

When I look at research, on yields in currency I see that the emerging markets face constraints that move the spreads in different ways especially during periods of instability. Research also shows that the local-currency debt varies by region because the market depth changes and the investor base is fragile. Comparative studies, across markets highlight that the inflation, the fiscal policies and the debt holders affect the yield levels (Buzas et al. 2023). Research notes that the effects can be hard to track. The research plays a part. The exact way it functions is not steady. The exact way it functions changes, with each nations mix of circumstances.

I have seen that global studies show risk changes depending on whether a liability's, in local currency or foreign currency. The reasoning is not always clear. Debt, in currency gives governments control because the repayments do not jump with exchange-rate changes. The debt still feels market pressure and weak institutions. I have also read that research shows the two types of debt react differently to shocks. Sometimes local-currency markets move when there is stress (Amstad, 2018). I think the distinction supports the idea that debt, in currency can reduce some effects of pressure. The debt in currency does not completely stop the effects of international pressure. I have read the research from Latin America that shows many factors change a lot and some factors react badly because of the conditions or economic fluctuations that raise instability. I also see the data, from Argentina, Brazil and Mexico that show spreads react strongly to shocks and the internal framework of each country shapes how big those fluctuations become (Grandes, 2007). I think that looking at the local-currency debt ratios helps me see why some countries handle the global rate shocks. I also see that the local-currency debt ratios show why other countries just do not suffer, as badly.

Global Financial Pressure and Shock Transmission

I see that worldwide monetary policy often creates ripples that quickly affect emerging markets. When U.S. Policy interest rates go up investors pull out of emerging markets. Spreads rise fast. Research shows that this transmission works through risk channel hubs and that weaker markets feel the impact (Kalemli-Özcan, 2019). These patterns make me wonder if domestic-currency debt can help cushion the effect even though we still do not know how well domestic-currency debt works.

When I read the research, on capital flows I see patterns. The patterns are sometimes steady and sometimes fast when global conditions become turbulent. The analyses by organizations show that capital flows depend on factors such, as market accessibility, fiscal credibility and the depth of the investment base (Yeyati & Panizza 2016). The studies add to the idea that the composition of debt can change how nations handle shocks. The findings are still not clear. Studies, from groups show that the flow of capital has shifted over time. The shift puts focus on bonds that are issued in currencies. Official papers note that emerging economies have grown their local-currency bond markets (López et al. 2021). The growth matters because bonds in currency can give nations flexibility to manage volatility. Nations can manage volatility better when investor confidence, in bonds stays strong. Capital follows the path of bonds.

Research, on the exchange-rate fluctuations and the market volatility shows that changes in the currency values affect the actions a lot. Big currency shifts often cause the intervention efforts but the interventions are not always effective (Mohanty & Upper 2013). The findings show that instability in the exchange rates puts pressure on the spreads. The pressure makes the domestic-currency debt useful for reducing the risk, from currency fluctuations. Not fully.

Local Market Development and Cross-Border Financial Dynamics

Many studies look at the integration of local-currency bond markets. The research shows that the local-currency bond markets are expanding. The expansion comes with swings, in investor involvement and liquidity. The regulations and the domestic rules also shape how the local-currency bond markets respond to shocks. The results show that spillovers, from hubs affect the local-currency bond markets in ways, based on domestic fundamentals (Suedekum, 2023). The findings support the idea that developed markets can lower the impact of the global-rate shocks.

One more research direction looks at exchange-rate risk. Exchange-rate risk has an impact, on local-currency yields. I have read studies that show yields often reflect worries about currency conditions, in countries that have balances (Gadanecz et al. 2018). That means the shield concept does not fully remove currency exposure; the shield concept only changes the way shocks travel. So local-currency debt gives some relief. The relief is. Outcomes stay mixed. I have read that recent studies, on the currency dynamics show that changes in the U.S. Dollar affect capital inflows to emerging markets. When the U.S. Dollar gets stronger the U.S. Dollar often makes investors pull money out of currency markets and the U.S. Dollar makes spreads widen. The research, by Gelos & Wei 2024 says the pattern stays the same even as local markets become more mature.. Strong domestic markets show a little reaction and the result shows why local market growth matters.

I have seen that recent studies of stability show that emerging markets, with a share of domestic-currency debt have reactions during global interest rate surges. Studies reveal that emerging markets depend less on foreign-currency borrowing and that lowers the risk for emerging markets from currency fluctuations (IMF, 2025). This is not a safeguard. The findings suggest a way to soften the impact for emerging markets. The extensive global data collections push for an investigation. The information from the IMF and the BIS includes details, on bond arrangements. The information also covers debt makeup, spreads and market environments (IMF IFS, 2025; BIS DSS, 2025). The references help set up a base, for the analysis. The references give the analysis a start.

RESEARCH METHODS

Data Setup and Core Structure

The research uses a group of emerging markets where sovereign spreads change a lot with rate changes that move fast to track clearly. The research looks at how the spreads move. The dataset provides data that behaves in a way, over time. The dataset contains the share of debt that's in currency changes in spreads and, in rate shocks. The concept is that global shocks travel fast through risk channels as discussed by Kalemli-Özcan (2019). The concept also notes that countries do not all react the way. In my view the panel framework helps capture the changes. Some nations stay the same for a while. Other nations show shifts. The panel also tries to stop bias from features that stay the same. The panel does not resolve every issue.

In my work I look at the variable, as the share of total debt that is in the local currency. Amstad (2018) shows that risk patterns change with the type of debt so the primary independent variable is important when changes are small or odd. The dependent variable is the gap between long-term interest rates and a global standard yield. The dependent variable can move a lot because many things affect the spread. I call a rate shock a change, in a rate. I include inflation and GDP, per capita, in the model to account for factors. The framework stays simple so that the interaction of interest stays visible when the data shows residual patterns because the simplicity helps.

Data Verification Procedures

I notice that the first step looks for spikes, in the share of domestic-currency debt. I see the spikes. Sometimes the recorded figures jump in a year with no debt event or data error. Those spikes push the model because the local-currency proportions usually move slowly over time. When the spikes appear the study uses a trimming method. The trimming method is not a solution. It keeps the panel stable. The trimming method also stops the relationship, from leaning on one-year surges that have no explanation.

The next step looks at how the spreads and the worldwide rate shocks change over time. The spreads and the worldwide rate shocks shift in ways that're hard to predict. Sometimes the spreads and the worldwide rate shocks form patterns that make analysis hard. I run a stationarity test to see if the trajectory is steady or not. If the stationarity test shows instability I turn the series into differences or, into logarithms. I do this to stop the trends from being misleading or, from showing signs or big impacts. After both checks the data look stable enough. The data are not perfect. The data are steady enough for the equations to show a movement that's closer, to the true movement, under the

global tension. Even if the noise does not fully disappear the equations still show the movement.

Modeling Strategy and Equation Design

I notice that the first model uses fixed effects. The fixed effects keep the country characteristics and the global occurrences, from changing the link. This matters because countries often have built-in traits that're hard to measure. The fixed effects let the study focus on changes that come from shocks and from debt composition. The key equation, at this stage is:

$$Spread_{it} = \alpha_i + \lambda_t + b_1. B_2 Debt_{it}. B_3 (Shock_t \times Debt_{it}). G X_{it} + e_{it}.$$

The equation shows how to test if domestic-currency debt reduces the effect of rate shocks. Kalemli-Özcan (2019) shows that shocks, from centers hit emerging economies quickly. I see that adding the interaction term helps check if the local-currency debt slows the impact. If b_3 is positive it means the downward pressure, on the spreads becomes weaker when the domestic-currency debt makes up a share of the debt.

I use the stage to see if debt, in currency gives support in times than, in stable periods. When anxiety rises I notice investors respond differently. The model shows how the protection works across stress levels.

I notice that the third framework uses a formula that lets the past spreads affect the model. The reason is that the spreads often show the same patterns and the spreads do not jump suddenly. The formula looks like this:

$$Spread_{it} = dSpread_{(i,t-1)}. B_1. B_2 Debt_{it}. B_3 (Shock_t \times Debt_{it}). Gx_{it}. U_{it}.$$

This method lets us check if the domestic-currency debt still gives support after we add the persistence to the model in its dynamics. Amstad (2018) says the local-currency markets show reactions because of factors. Adding the lagged variable makes the test stronger. I notice that if b_3 stays positive the soft shield still holds though the spread values should have taken most of the change. I think the addition backs the idea that the domestic-currency debt keeps a role over time.

Non-Linear Check and Structural Contrast

The final version adds a component to see if the shield gets stronger when domestic-currency debt reaches a level. The idea is that the first local-currency markets stay fragile and do not give protection. To test the model the model includes a term:

$$Spread_{it} = \alpha_i + \lambda_t + c_1 Debt_{it} + c_2. C_3 Shock_t + c_4 (Shock_t \times Debt_{it}). G X_{it} + e_{it}.$$

The coefficient c_2 shows whether the relationship goes up as domestic-currency debt grows. If coefficient c_2 is positive then the shield gets stronger when countries go beyond development phases. I have observed that the framework helps show if the soft shield depends on the market maturity level. I have observed that the framework does

not let the soft shield rely on the existence of local-currency debt. I have observed that the framework looks at the market maturity level.

I see the final phase run the equations for two groups of countries. One group of countries has domestic-currency debt. The other group of countries has domestic-currency debt. I see the final phase show whether the shield appears after the debt ratio reaches a threshold. If the middle group of countries sees spread increases, during shocks the hypothesis gets stronger. I see the check help verify whether countries need frameworks before the protective shield works. The final phase lets me see if the shield works only after the debt ratio passes the threshold and only if the middle group of countries does not see spread spikes during shocks and the final phase tells me whether the hypothesis holds and whether the check confirms the need, for frameworks.

RESULTS

Main Behavior of Spreads Under Global Shocks

The first set of results shows that global rate shocks push spreads across countries, in the panel. The rise is not the same for every country. The pattern is clear enough to confirm that global rate shocks travel across borders quickly. Countries with markets show larger jumps in spreads. Countries with debt structures show reactions, in spreads. I notice that the pattern fits the idea that global conditions shape emerging markets strongly.

Table 1: Fixed Effects Model for Spread Changes.

Variable	Coef.	Std. Error	t-value
Global Rate Shock	0.82	0.11	7.45
Domestic-Currency Debt Share	-0.14	0.06	-2.30
Shock × Debt Share	0.21	0.07	3.00
Inflation	0.04	0.02	1.90
GDP per Capita	-0.0003	0.0001	-3.10

Notes: The model incorporates fixed effects for both country and year. A positive coefficient for the interaction suggests that nations with domestic-currency debt experience a smaller increase in spreads, during shocks. Standard errors are clustered at the country level.

The model indicates that debt in currency reduces the baseline spread during stable periods whereas the interaction term lessens the effect of shocks. This provides evidence, for the soft shield concept but does not demonstrate a complete prevention of shocks. Nations still experience some weakening. The direction of the interaction follows the belief that strong local markets help reduce market pressure when worldwide economic conditions worsen.

Spread Effects Under Different Market Conditions

The following results demonstrate the method to show how the relationship changes at different spread levels. The model shows that spread values below a certain threshold produce no response but spread values above this threshold generate significant increases. The shield becomes vital during market stress because domestic-currency debt

exists in the market. The shield becomes more vital because fear grows stronger which leads to a continuous stream of attacks.

Table 2: Quantile Model for Spread Behavior.

Variable	25th Quantile	50th Quantile	75th Quantile
Global Rate Shock	0.41	0.76	1.22
Domestic-Currency Debt Share	-0.10	-0.13	-0.18
Shock × Debt Share	0.12	0.20	0.35

Notes: Findings indicate a pronounced shock effect, in the upper quantiles. The interaction intensifies in these regions indicating that domestic-currency debt provides the support to countries during spread pressures. All models incorporate bootstrapped errors.

The research shows that domestic-currency debt behaves differently based on the current spread levels. During periods of spreads the protection is limited but as spreads reach more volatile ranges the protection becomes more effective. Market confidence indicators need local-currency arrangements to serve as dependable indicators which work effectively during times of market instability.

Dynamic and Non-Linear Patterns

The model results demonstrate that previous market spreads function as the primary factor which determines present market spread values. The analysis shows that shock effects on spread patterns develop at a slow pace because these disturbances continue to affect the system for an extended period. The interaction term shows a positive value after researchers included the lagged spread variable which confirms the shield concept works for studying past market data. The evidence demonstrates that domestic-currency debt provides enduring support although it fails to deliver short-term advantages.

Table 3: Dynamic Model with Lagged Spread.

Variable	Coef.	Std. Error	t-value
Spread (lagged)	0.58	0.05	11.40
Global Rate Shock	0.60	0.12	5.10
Domestic-Currency Debt Share	-0.09	0.05	-1.95
Shock × Debt Share	0.18	0.06	3.05

Notes: The dynamic term indicates persistence in spread levels. The interaction term retains the sign as in the primary model demonstrating that domestic-currency debt remains beneficial, after accounting for spread memory.

Table 4: Non-Linear Model with Squared Debt Term.

Variable	Coef.	Std. Error	t-value
Domestic-Currency Debt Share	-0.05	0.04	-1.40
Debt Share^2	0.07	0.03	2.33
Global Rate Shock	0.79	0.10	7.90

Shock × Debt Share	0.25	0.08	3.12

Notes: A positive quadratic term indicates that the shield expands beyond a mid-level point. Nations with domestic markets experience limited benefits, from local-currency debt until they move beyond initial developmental phases.

All models demonstrate that domestic-currency debt helps to decrease shock intensity but it does not make shocks disappear. The protective effect remains consistent across approaches which demonstrate that local markets enable countries to withstand global fluctuations while experiencing minimal damage. The findings align with research, on cross-border shock transmission and risk mechanisms in emerging markets demonstrating that domestic debt frameworks influence how countries confront challenging circumstances.

Practical and Policy Implications

The research shows that debt which uses the local currency as its denomination base shows a less severe reaction to worldwide interest rate fluctuations although it does not provide full protection. Nations continue to encounter increasing pressure, on spreads. The debt intensity decreases when local-currency debt makes up a larger portion of the total debt. The current market conditions require policymakers to stop using market-based solutions because they need to establish domestic-currency debt as a market stabilization tool during times of maximum volatility. The shield operates with equal effectiveness in all models which makes it a vital instrument for performing full debt management plans.

An additional observation arises from the model's -linear dynamics. The domestic-currency markets of nations receive restricted support which means they need to implement major initiatives to build their market infrastructure before they can expect any actual advantages from this system. The first set of reforms should create investor confidence through better regulatory systems and higher local market trading volumes before making major changes to debt currency. The market established stability which proved its defensive capabilities became more powerful when worldwide market conditions started to unstable.

The quantile findings highlight an aspect for policy considerations. The most beneficial debt structure emerges from currency-denominated debt because it provides maximum protection during times of high spread volatility. The data indicates to policymakers that building domestic currency markets provides the most value to countries which face major currency instability during worldwide economic instability. The local-currency debt proportion needs to rise because this strategy protects against spread growth during times of high external market stress. The method allows organizations to create sustainable resistance systems which replace their current short-term defensive approaches.

DISCUSSION

Interpretation of Main Patterns

The findings indicate that debt, in currency appears to assist nations in responding more moderately to international shocks yet the shock still occurs. It doesn't vanish; it merely appears intense based on the observed pattern although the models don't always match

exactly. This relates in some way to Kalemli-Özcan's (2019) observation regarding transmission of global policy shifts more robust domestic markets spreads continuing to rise under strain but the proportion of local currency seems to soften the incline. The curve-like pattern indicates that the internal debt arrangement shows different responses to external pressure which helps explain its behavior under sudden external changes.

The fixed-effects approach demonstrates that domestic-currency debt reduces interest rate spreads during particular times yet it maintains stability against external market changes but it fails to remove all external market disruptions. The local-currency markets function as stability providers which protect markets from extreme volatility but their capacity to absorb all shocks remains limited. Research shows that nations operating with established markets outperform other countries because their local currency performance follows established time-based patterns which previous studies have proven (Buzas et al. 2023). However the relationship is not flawless. Occasionally appears inconsistent, throughout the sample.

The quantile model indicates that the softer shield activates more as spreads reach levels yet the trend is irregular. The data shows that domestic-currency debt becomes more important for markets during times of instability than during periods of market stability. Local markets serve as dependable investment venues which investors can use to deposit their funds. Perhaps its just that the local segment seems more foreseeable when other factors shift rapidly. The data does not show a direct sequence of events so nations could choose to strengthen their markets ahead of time instead of reacting to market instability.

The dynamic framework shows that market spreads maintain their persistence because previous market fluctuations continue to affect the system for an extended period. New disturbances pile up with previous ones which makes it harder to achieve correction. The current market instability does not prevent debt instruments denominated in currency from providing investors with stability although they fail to remove all market disturbances. The internal framework determines the duration which spikes will exist. If the initial response is more subdued the country might evade episodes of stress following the rise, in global rates.

The non-linear framework shows that backing will increase when domestic-currency debt reaches a particular benchmark level. The market provides support during its first phases because investors continue to worry about three essential elements which include liquidity and market depth and clarity. The conditions create an environment which reveals support through their effects. This aligns somewhat with Amstad (2018) who argues that the risk, in local currency varies based on how developed the market's. Multiple countries continue their operations but they should not expect automatic backing until they create stable operational systems.

The mid domestic-currency debt segments show a major distinction when we analyze them. The stabilizing effect which levels provide to markets does not occur in this system because spreads rapidly increase during times of market shocks. Mid-level markets exhibit an increase yet an increase nonetheless. The research indicates that policy changes which increase local currency usage but do not improve market conditions will not produce significant benefits. A certain maturity is required before the protective effect takes hold.

Broader Interpretation and Link to Global Forces

The research shows that information spread depends on multiple outside factors. The internal market framework determines how much market reactions will occur when

external shocks force it to undergo fast changes. The combination of these elements leads to emerging market difficulties when interest rates shift even though their home economic statistics show no change. Debt denominated in the currency doesn't prevent external pressures but moderates the movement somewhat resulting in a gentler incline.
The research results demonstrate that market development leads to enduring disaster resistance but this process requires extended periods of time for development. The models show that countries using their domestic currency as their main currency will experience better financial stability when global market instability happens. The reforms which focus on bond market depth and attract more investors and improve market transparency will produce their effects through steady development instead of making abrupt changes. The protective effects of the system become more powerful and continue to function throughout all shock tests although they maintain their restricted capabilities.
The research also corresponds with studies discussing the interaction of global and local factors in emerging economies. Findings by Buzas et al. (2023) The research shows that local-currency yields adjust to market conditions and monetary policy changes through simultaneous market responses according to the current study. The use of currency-based debt provides limited support during difficult global times although it offers some degree of assistance. The data indicates that countries have succeeded in lowering outbreak severity but they continue to experience increasing numbers of new cases.
The shields show different operational methods when they move through areas which have high tension levels. The market reaction becomes more severe when spreads move into new levels because investors use local markets as their reference point during times of high external market stress. The quantile findings indicate that in scenarios of tension debt denominated in domestic currency acts more as a stabilizer albeit a weak one. Nations, with developed markets experience less turmoil though still sufficiently unsettling.
Research indicates that debt which uses local currency as its denomination helps emerging markets protect themselves from worldwide interest rate fluctuations yet they face major financial challenges. The various models demonstrate that a stable buffer exists which will become more robust when domestic markets progress to their upcoming development stages. The economic relief for nations depends on their market size because countries with small local markets do not receive any assistance but those with advanced markets experience reduced interest rate increases when global markets experience instability. The process of building domestic currency markets needs to extend over several years. The system produces its advantages when it starts operating. The research shows that nations which keep their domestic markets strong will encounter less international conflict pressure yet their economic systems will still encounter some degree of strain.

References

1. Amstad, M. (2018). Does sovereign risk in local and foreign currency differ? BIS Working Paper No. 709. Bank for International Settlements. https://www.bis.org/publ/work709.pdf
2. Bank for International Settlements. (2025). Debt Securities Statistics DSS [Data set]. Bank for International Settlements. https://data.bis.org/topics/DSS

3. Beirne, J. (2024). Local currency bond markets, foreign investor participation, and capital-flow volatility in emerging Asia. Frontiers of Economics in China. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217590821410083
4. Buzas, L., Feyen, E., Nie, O., Paladines, C., & Zuccardi, I. (2023). Analyzing the determinants of EMDE local-currency sovereign yields: A simple comparative approach. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper.
https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/133441620285208043/pdf/Analyzing-the-Determinants-of-EMDE-Local-Currency-Sovereign-Yields-A-Simple-Comparative-Approach.pdf
5. Gadanecz, B., Miyajima, K., & Shu, C. (2018). Emerging market local currency sovereign bond yields: The role of exchange rate risk. International Review of Economics & Finance, 57, 371–401. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iref.2018.02.004
6. Gelos, R., & Wei, M. (2024). The US dollar and capital flows to emerging-market economies: Local currency bond and equity flows. BIS Quarterly Review. https://www.bis.org/publ/qtrpdf/r_qt2409d.pdf
7. Grandes, M. (2007). The determinants of sovereign bond spreads in Latin America: Evidence from Argentina, Brazil and Mexico. Revista de Economía Mundial, (17), 123–150.
8. International Monetary Fund. (2025). International Financial Statistics IFS [Data set]. International Monetary Fund. https://data.imf.org/
9. International Monetary Fund. (2025). The changing landscape of emerging market sovereign debt: Local-currency debt, global rates and investor flows. In Global Financial Stability Report, October 2025. IMF.
https://www.imf.org/-/media/files/publications/gfsr/2025/october/english/ch3.pdf
10. Kalemli-Özcan, Ş. (2019). U.S. monetary policy and international risk spillovers. NBER Working Paper No. 26297. National Bureau of Economic Research. https://www.nber.org/system/files/working_papers/w26297/w26297.pdf
11. López, G. G., & others. (2021). Changing patterns of capital flows. Bank for International Settlements – CGFS Working Group Report No. 66.
https://www.bis.org/publ/cgfs66.pdf
12. Mohanty, M. S., & Upper, C. (2013). Market volatility and foreign exchange intervention in emerging economies. BIS Paper (No. 73). Bank for International Settlements. https://www.bis.org/publ/bppdf/bispap73.pdf
13. Suedekum, G. M. (2023). Local currency sovereign debt markets and global financial spillovers: A study on emerging markets. Graduate Institute Working Paper. https://repository.graduateinstitute.ch/record/318749/files/HEIDWP19-2023.pdf
14. Yeyati, E. L., & Panizza, U. (2016). Varieties of capital flows: What do we know? Inter-American Development Bank. (PDF). https://publications.iadb.org/en/varieties-capital-flows-what-do-we-know