Pre-Hospital To In-Hospital Care Transitions: Systematic Review Of EMS-Nursing Handover Protocols, Medical Error Reduction, And Patient Safety Outcomes In Middle Eastern Emergency Care Systems

Mubarak Astar Aldhafeeri[1], Naif Helal Alshammari[2], Azzam Zayed Azzam Al-Rashidi[3], Khalid Muhareb Alrredh Alrwaily[4], Badr Shahwan Al-Shammari[5], Bakr Sahban Kalrashid[6]

[1] Medical Supply and Supply Department
[2] Medical Laboratory Department
[3] Emergency Medical Services Department
[4] Emergency Medical Services Department
[5] Nursing Department
[6] Nursing Technician, Al-Hayit Hospital

Abstract
Pre-hospital to in-hospital care transitions represent critical junctures where communication failures significantly compromise patient safety and contribute to preventable medical errors. This systematic review examines existing evidence on emergency medical services to nursing handover protocols, their effectiveness in reducing medical errors, and patient safety outcomes, with particular attention to Middle Eastern emergency care contexts. A comprehensive search of peer-reviewed literature identified 37 relevant studies addressing handover communication, standardized protocols, medical error reduction strategies, and patient safety interventions. Findings reveal substantial variability in handover practices globally, with structured communication tools such as SBAR and I-PASS demonstrating measurable improvements in information transfer completeness and error reduction. Middle Eastern emergency care systems face unique challenges including infrastructure limitations, workforce diversity, and inconsistent protocol implementation. Critical gaps persist in culturally adapted handover protocols, context-specific validation studies, and longitudinal outcome assessments within Middle Eastern healthcare environments. This review underscores the urgent need for standardized, evidence-based handover protocols tailored to regional healthcare contexts to enhance patient safety during critical care transitions.
Keywords: emergency medical services, handover communication, patient safety, medical errors, Middle Eastern healthcare

1. INTRODUCTION

The transition of patient care from pre-hospital emergency medical services personnel to in-hospital emergency department nursing staff constitutes a vulnerable period characterized by high potential for information loss, miscommunication, and subsequent adverse patient outcomes (Bost et al., 2010). Clinical handover, defined as the transfer of professional responsibility and accountability for aspects of care for a patient or group of patients to another person or professional group on a temporary or permanent basis, represents a fundamental process in contemporary emergency care delivery (Riesenberg et al., 2009). Communication failures during these critical transitions have been consistently identified as leading contributors to preventable medical errors, sentinel events, and

compromised patient safety across diverse healthcare settings (Greenberg et al., 2007; Zakrison et al., 2018).

Emergency departments worldwide function as high-acuity, time-sensitive environments where rapid clinical decision-making depends heavily upon accurate and complete information transfer from pre-hospital providers (Croskerry & Cosby, 2004). Studies examining medical errors in emergency care have documented that between 20% and 70% of adverse events result from inadequate communication during care transitions, with handover failures implicated in delayed diagnoses, medication errors, and inappropriate treatment decisions (Fordyce et al., 2003). Despite widespread recognition of handover vulnerability, substantial variation persists in communication practices, protocol implementation, and standardization efforts across international emergency care systems (Sujan et al., 2015).

Middle Eastern healthcare systems present unique contextual factors that influence emergency care delivery and handover practices, including rapid healthcare infrastructure expansion, culturally diverse multilingual workforces, varying levels of pre-hospital system maturity, and differential resource availability across countries (Obermeyer et al., 2015). Gulf Cooperation Council states have invested considerably in emergency medical services development, yet systematic evaluation of handover protocols and patient safety outcomes remains limited (Alnababtah et al., 2016). Understanding the current evidence base regarding effective handover interventions and identifying gaps specific to Middle Eastern contexts represents an essential step toward enhancing regional emergency care quality and patient safety.

This systematic review aims to synthesize existing evidence on emergency medical services to nursing handover protocols, examine their effectiveness in reducing medical errors, evaluate patient safety outcomes associated with structured handover interventions, and identify research gaps relevant to Middle Eastern emergency care systems. The review addresses the research question: What evidence exists regarding the effectiveness of structured EMS-to-nursing handover protocols in reducing medical errors and improving patient safety outcomes, and how applicable is this evidence to Middle Eastern emergency care contexts?

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Conceptual Foundations of Clinical Handover

Clinical handover has been conceptualized as a complex sociotechnical process involving information exchange, shared understanding development, and responsibility transfer between healthcare professionals (Riesenberg et al., 2009). Systematic examination of handover terminology reveals significant heterogeneity, with terms including handoff, handover, sign-out, and shift report used inconsistently across literature, contributing to challenges in comparative research and evidence synthesis (Riesenberg et al., 2009). Information transfer during surgical and critical care contexts demonstrates that inadequate communication correlates with increased adverse event rates, highlighting handover as a universal patient safety concern across clinical specialties (Greenberg et al., 2007).

Handover communication serves multiple functions beyond simple information transmission, including establishing shared mental models, facilitating situational awareness, enabling anticipatory planning, and building interprofessional relationships (Owen et al., 2009). The pre-hospital to emergency department transition presents unique challenges due to temporal pressure, environmental factors, parallel clinical activities, interruptions, and power dynamics between professional groups (Yong et al., 2008). Emergency medical services personnel often conduct handovers in chaotic environments

while simultaneously transferring patient physical care, contributing to incomplete information exchange and omitted critical details (Ebben et al., 2013).

2.2 Handover Communication Failures and Medical Errors

Systematic reviews examining patient safety in emergency medical services have identified communication failures as prominent contributors to adverse events, with handover deficiencies specifically implicated in medication errors, diagnostic delays, and treatment complications (Bigham et al., 2012; Patterson et al., 2012). Medical error reviews in emergency departments document that communication breakdowns account for substantial proportions of preventable harm, with handover transitions representing particularly high-risk periods (Calder et al., 2010; Fordyce et al., 2003). The emergency care environment's inherent characteristics including interruptions, noise, competing priorities, and cognitive overload exacerbate handover communication vulnerabilities (Kilner & Sheppard, 2010).

Ambulance to emergency department handover practices demonstrate considerable variability in content, structure, duration, and participation, with systematic reviews identifying inconsistent inclusion of critical patient information elements such as medication histories, allergies, social circumstances, and pre-hospital interventions (Ebben et al., 2013). Emergency department staff frequently report receiving incomplete or inaccurate information during handovers, leading to repeated assessments, delayed treatment initiation, and potential patient harm (Yong et al., 2008). Barriers to effective handover communication encompass individual factors including fatigue and experience level, team factors such as hierarchical relationships and trust, and systemic factors including inadequate time allocation and competing organizational priorities (Yong et al., **2008).**

2.3 Standardized Handover Protocols and Interventions

Recognition of handover vulnerability has stimulated development and evaluation of standardized communication protocols designed to improve information completeness, reduce errors, and enhance patient safety (Scott et al., 2013). Systematic reviews of handover interventions across healthcare settings identify structured communication tools, standardized content checklists, protected time allocation, and educational training as common intervention components (Hesselink et al., 2012; Riesenberg et al., 2009). The SBAR technique, comprising Situation, Background, Assessment, and Recommendation components, represents a widely adopted structured communication framework originally developed in aviation and subsequently adapted for healthcare contexts (Beckett & Kipnis, 2009).

Evidence regarding SBAR effectiveness demonstrates improvements in perceived communication quality, information completeness, and clinician satisfaction, though objective patient outcome improvements remain inconsistently documented (Müller et al., 2018). The I-PASS handover bundle, incorporating standardized communication structure, educational curriculum, and process changes, demonstrated significant reductions in medical errors and preventable adverse events in a large multicenter implementation study involving pediatric hospital settings (Starmer et al., 2014; Starmer et al., 2015). Systematic reviews examining standardized handoff protocols report that structured interventions generally improve information transfer completeness and process reliability, though heterogeneity in outcomes measurement limits definitive conclusions regarding patient safety impact (Müller et al., 2018).

2.4 Emergency Medical Services Handover Specific Evidence

Focused examination of pre-hospital to emergency department handover reveals unique considerations distinct from other healthcare transitions, including the transient nature of EMS provider-patient relationships, limited diagnostic information availability, ongoing

patient instability during transfer, and environmental constraints (Jensen et al., 2013). Systematic reviews specific to EMS handovers identify incomplete information transfer, particularly regarding pre-hospital interventions, medication administration, and patient response to treatment, as recurring problems (Bost et al., 2010; Jensen et al., 2013).

Attempts to standardize EMS handover communication through structured protocols demonstrate mixed results, with some studies reporting improved information completeness while others document persistent gaps and limited sustained implementation (Scott et al., 2013). Factors facilitating successful EMS handover include adequate preparation time, minimized interruptions, engaged receiving staff, and clear communication protocols understood by both pre-hospital and hospital personnel (Ebben et al., 2013). Conversely, barriers encompass environmental noise, parallel activities during patient transfer, hierarchical communication patterns, and lack of feedback mechanisms between hospital and pre-hospital providers (Dawson et al., 2013).

Reviews examining emergency department handover practices from various origins including ambulance services, inter-hospital transfers, and internal transitions emphasize the need for receiving clinician engagement, bidirectional communication opportunities, and closed-loop verification of critical information (Slade et al., 2015). Evidence suggests that unidirectional information transmission without opportunity for clarification or questioning contributes to incomplete understanding and increased error risk (Spooner et al., 2013).

2.5 Patient Safety Outcomes and Quality Improvement

The relationship between handover quality and patient safety outcomes has been examined through multiple methodological approaches, including observational studies, before-after intervention evaluations, and randomized controlled implementations (Abraham et al., 2014). Systematic reviews synthesizing handover intervention effects on patient outcomes report variable results, with some studies demonstrating reduced mortality, decreased length of stay, and fewer adverse events, while others show no significant outcome changes despite improved process measures (Hesselink et al., 2012).

Critical care handover research indicates that structured protocols reduce information omission rates and improve continuity of care, though translating these process improvements into measurable patient outcome enhancements remains challenging (Ilan et al., 2012). The I-PASS intervention represents one of the most robust demonstrations of handover protocol impact on patient safety, documenting a 23% reduction in medical errors and 30% reduction in preventable adverse events following implementation (Starmer et al., 2014). However, applicability of these findings to emergency medical services contexts remains uncertain given differences in setting, patient populations, and handover circumstances (Wood et al., 2015).

Patient safety culture in emergency medical services, including attitudes toward error reporting, teamwork, and communication, influences handover effectiveness and error occurrence (Patterson et al., 2012). Organizations with stronger safety cultures demonstrate more consistent handover protocol adherence, greater willingness to speak up about communication concerns, and better error detection and recovery (Wang et al., 2013). Interventions targeting organizational culture alongside structural handover changes may achieve more substantial and sustainable patient safety improvements than protocol implementation alone (Bigham et al., 2012).

2.6 Middle Eastern Context and Healthcare System Considerations

Emergency medical services in Middle Eastern countries vary considerably in organizational structure, resource availability, workforce composition, and integration with hospital emergency departments (Obermeyer et al., 2015). Systematic review of emergency care quality in the Middle East identifies significant heterogeneity across countries, with

Gulf Cooperation Council states generally demonstrating more developed pre-hospital systems compared to conflict-affected or resource-limited nations (Obermeyer et al., 2015). Common challenges include workforce diversity with multinational staff requiring cross-cultural communication competence, language barriers among patients and providers, variable pre-hospital care training standards, and inconsistent emergency care protocols (Alnababtah et al., 2016).

Handover communication in multicultural healthcare environments introduces additional complexity, as differing communication styles, hierarchical expectations, and language proficiency levels influence information exchange effectiveness (Obermeyer et al., 2015). Limited published research specifically examines EMS handover practices, protocol implementation, or patient safety outcomes within Middle Eastern emergency care settings, representing a substantial knowledge gap (Alnababtah et al., 2016). Existing evidence from other international contexts may not directly transfer to Middle Eastern healthcare systems without considering regional cultural, organizational, and resource factors that influence handover feasibility and effectiveness.

3. METHODS

3.1 Study Design and Search Strategy

This systematic review employed a comprehensive search strategy to identify peer-reviewed literature addressing emergency medical services to nursing handover protocols, medical error reduction, and patient safety outcomes. The review followed systematic review principles adapted to narrative synthesis methodology given the heterogeneity of available evidence. Literature searches were conducted across multiple databases including PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science, focusing on publications addressing handover communication, care transitions, emergency medical services, patient safety, and medical error prevention.

Search terms incorporated controlled vocabulary and keywords related to handover, handoff, care transition, emergency medical services, ambulance, pre-hospital care, emergency department, nursing, communication, patient safety, medical errors, and adverse events. Additional searches targeted Middle Eastern healthcare systems, Gulf Cooperation Council countries, and regional emergency care contexts. Reference lists of included systematic reviews were manually searched to identify additional relevant sources.

3.2 Eligibility Criteria and Selection

Inclusion criteria encompassed peer-reviewed systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and primary research studies published in English addressing handover communication between emergency medical services and hospital emergency departments, standardized handover protocols or interventions, medical error reduction strategies related to care transitions, patient safety outcomes associated with handover practices, and emergency care systems relevant to international or Middle Eastern contexts. Studies were required to report empirical findings, systematic evidence synthesis, or conceptual frameworks directly applicable to the research question.

Exclusion criteria eliminated studies focusing exclusively on intra-hospital handovers without emergency medical services involvement, handovers in non-emergency settings such as elective surgical or routine medical admissions, handover training for students without clinical outcome assessment, and non-peer-reviewed sources including editorials, commentaries, and conference abstracts. The selection process involved title and abstract screening followed by full-text review of potentially relevant articles to determine final inclusion.

3.3 Data Extraction and Synthesis

Data extraction captured study characteristics including authors, publication year, study design, setting, population, intervention or exposure, outcomes measured, and key findings. Given the heterogeneity of included studies spanning multiple methodologies, settings, and outcome measures, narrative synthesis was employed to organize and interpret findings thematically rather than meta-analytic quantitative pooling. Synthesis focused on identifying consistent patterns across studies, contradictions or gaps in evidence, and contextual factors influencing handover effectiveness and patient safety outcomes.

Quality assessment considerations included systematic review methodology rigor, study design appropriateness, sample size adequacy, outcome measurement validity, and potential bias sources. Synthesis explicitly considered applicability of international evidence to Middle Eastern healthcare contexts, noting where direct transferability might be limited by cultural, organizational, or resource differences.

4. RESULTS

4.1 Overview of Included Evidence

The systematic search and selection process yielded 37 relevant studies addressing emergency medical services handover communication, standardized protocols, medical errors, and patient safety outcomes. Included studies comprised systematic reviews examining handover practices across healthcare settings, focused reviews of emergency department or pre-hospital handover specifically, intervention studies evaluating structured communication protocols, patient safety reviews documenting communication-related errors, and limited literature addressing Middle Eastern emergency care systems.

The evidence base demonstrated substantial geographic concentration in North American, European, and Australian healthcare contexts, with minimal research conducted specifically within Middle Eastern emergency care settings. Only two included studies directly addressed Middle Eastern emergency medical services or healthcare systems, highlighting a significant knowledge gap regarding regional handover practices and patient safety outcomes.

4.2 Handover Protocol Characteristics and Implementation

Systematic reviews examining ambulance to emergency department handover identified considerable variation in communication practices, content, structure, and duration across settings and organizations (Bost et al., 2010; Ebben et al., 2013; Jensen et al., 2013). Common handover formats included unstructured verbal reports delivered bedside or in hallways, structured mnemonics such as SBAR or IMIST-AMBO, written documentation including patient care reports, and hybrid approaches combining verbal communication with documentation review (Scott et al., 2013).

Structured communication tools demonstrated superior performance compared to unstructured approaches in ensuring comprehensive information transfer, with SBAR showing particular evidence of improved completeness and reduced omissions (Müller et al., 2018). The I-PASS handover bundle achieved significant error reduction in hospital settings, though specific validation for emergency medical services transitions remained limited (Starmer et al., 2014; Starmer et al., 2015). Table 1 summarizes key characteristics of structured handover protocols identified in the literature.

Table 1 Characteristics of Structured Handover Protocols for EMS-to-ED Transitions

Protocol/Tool	Components	Implementation Requirements	Documented Benefits	Limitations

SBAR	Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation	Training, standardized forms, organizational support	Improved information completeness, enhanced communication quality	Limited objective patient outcome data, variable adherence
I-PASS	Illness severity, Patient summary, Action list, Situation awareness, Synthesis	Comprehensive training program, process redesign, institutional commitment	Significant error reduction (23%), decreased preventable adverse events (30%)	Primarily hospital-based evidence, resource-intensive implementation
IMIST-AMBO	Identification, Mechanism, Injuries, Signs, Treatment, Allergies, Medications, Background, Other	EMS-specific training, receiving staff familiarity	Structured information flow, EMS provider acceptance	Limited rigorous outcome evaluation, regional variation
Standardized Checklists	Variable content including patient demographics, vital signs, interventions, response	Development aligned with local needs, integration with documentation	Reduced omissions, improved consistency	Checklist fatigue, potential for mechanical completion without understanding

Note. SBAR = Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation; I-PASS = Illness severity, Patient summary, Action list, Situation awareness, Synthesis; IMIST-AMBO = Identification, Mechanism, Injuries, Signs, Treatment, Allergies, Medications, Background, Other; EMS = Emergency Medical Services; ED = Emergency Department. Benefits and limitations synthesized from Beckett and Kipnis (2009), Ebben et al. (2013), Müller et al. (2018), Scott et al. (2013), Starmer et al. (2014), and Starmer et al. (2015).

4.3 Medical Error Types and Handover Communication Failures

Reviews of medical errors in emergency care settings consistently identified communication failures as leading contributors to adverse events, with handover transitions representing particularly vulnerable periods (Calder et al., 2010; Croskerry & Cosby, 2004; Fordyce et al., 2003). Common error types associated with inadequate handover communication included medication errors resulting from incomplete medication history transfer, allergic reaction risk from failed allergy communication, diagnostic delays due to omitted symptom or finding reporting, treatment duplication from inadequate documentation of pre-hospital interventions, and failure to continue critical therapies initiated in pre-hospital settings (Zakrison et al., 2018).

Systematic examination of patient safety in emergency medical services documented that information transfer failures occurred in approximately 30% to 50% of handovers when assessed against comprehensive information standards (Bigham et al., 2012). Critical information elements frequently omitted during handover included medication administration details, patient response to interventions, social history relevant to disposition planning, and contextual information about patient home environment or circumstances (Bost et al., 2010; Ebben et al., 2013).

Emergency department providers reported receiving inadequate information in substantial proportions of ambulance handovers, leading to repeated patient questioning, duplicated assessments, and delayed treatment decisions (Yong et al., 2008). The relationship between information omission and subsequent error occurrence varied by information type, with omission of medications, allergies, and pre-hospital interventions demonstrating strongest associations with downstream adverse events (Scott et al., 2013).

4.4 Patient Safety Outcomes and Intervention Effectiveness

Evidence regarding the impact of structured handover interventions on patient safety outcomes demonstrated variable quality and consistency across studies (Abraham et al., 2014; Hesselink et al., 2012). The most rigorous evidence came from the I-PASS study, which documented significant reductions in medical errors and preventable adverse events following implementation of a comprehensive structured handover intervention in pediatric hospital settings (Starmer et al., 2014). However, direct extrapolation of these findings to emergency medical services handovers remained uncertain given contextual differences.

Systematic reviews examining handover interventions across diverse healthcare settings reported that structured protocols generally improved process measures including information completeness, handover duration standardization, and clinician satisfaction, though patient outcome improvements were less consistently demonstrated (Hesselink et al., 2012; Riesenberg et al., 2010). Studies showing positive patient outcomes typically involved multicomponent interventions combining structured communication tools with education, process redesign, and organizational culture changes (Müller et al., 2018).

Reviews specific to emergency medicine handover communication found limited high-quality evidence definitively linking handover interventions to patient safety outcome improvements, though observational evidence suggested associations between handover quality and error rates (Segall et al., 2012; Spooner et al., 2013). Challenges in demonstrating outcome effects included outcome measurement difficulties, inadequate sample sizes for detecting rare adverse events, and confounding from simultaneous quality improvement initiatives (Wood et al., 2015). Table 2 summarizes evidence regarding patient safety outcomes associated with handover interventions.

Table 2 Patient Safety Outcomes Associated with Structured Handover Interventions

Outcome Domain	Evidence Strength	Reported Effects	Contributing Studies
Medical errors (overall)	Moderate	Reductions of 15-30% in settings with comprehensive interventions	Starmer et al. (2014), Riesenberg et al. (2010), Abraham et al. (2014)
Preventable adverse events	Moderate	30% reduction with I-PASS; variable effects in other contexts	Starmer et al. (2015), Hesselink et al. (2012)
Information completeness	High	Consistent improvements across multiple structured protocol studies	Müller et al. (2018), Scott et al. (2013), Ebben et al. (2013)
Mortality	Limited	Mixed findings; no consistent effect demonstrated	Hesselink et al. (2012), Horwitz et al. (2008)

Length of stay	Limited	Some studies show reductions; others show no effect	Slade et al. (2015), Wood et al. (2015)
Provider satisfaction	High	Consistently improved with structured protocols	Riesenberg et al. (2010), Müller et al. (2018)
Error detection/recovery	Moderate	Improved with closed-loop communication and verification processes	Ilan et al. (2012), Segall et al. (2012)

Note. Evidence strength categorized as High (consistent findings across multiple rigorous studies), Moderate (generally consistent but some heterogeneity or methodological limitations), or Limited (inconsistent findings or insufficient rigorous evidence). I-PASS = Illness severity, Patient summary, Action list, Situation awareness, Synthesis.

4.5 Barriers and Facilitators to Effective Handover

Systematic reviews identified multiple factors influencing handover communication effectiveness at individual, team, organizational, and system levels (Yong et al., 2008). Environmental barriers prominently included interruptions and distractions during handover, excessive noise in emergency department settings, lack of designated handover spaces, and competing clinical activities during patient transfer (Kilner & Sheppard, 2010; Yong et al., 2008).

Interpersonal and professional factors affecting handover quality comprised hierarchical communication patterns limiting bidirectional exchange, variable engagement of receiving clinicians during information transfer, differing mental models between pre-hospital and hospital providers regarding information relevance, and trust deficits between professional groups (Owen et al., 2009). Emergency medical services providers reported perceptions that emergency department staff undervalued their clinical assessments and observations, contributing to reduced motivation for comprehensive information transfer (Dawson et al., 2013).

Organizational facilitators of effective handover included protected time allocation for communication without pressure for immediate bed turnover, standardized protocols understood by both EMS and hospital staff, regular interprofessional education and joint training opportunities, and feedback mechanisms allowing hospital staff to communicate outcome information back to pre-hospital providers (Ebben et al., 2013; Jensen et al., 2013). System-level factors such as electronic health record integration enabling pre-hospital documentation access, ambulance destination policies ensuring appropriate hospital selection, and regional protocol standardization across multiple agencies enhanced handover consistency (Dawson et al., 2013).

4.6 Middle Eastern Emergency Care Context

Literature specifically addressing emergency medical services and handover practices in Middle Eastern healthcare systems remained extremely limited (Alnababtah et al., 2016; Obermeyer et al., 2015). The systematic review of emergency care quality in the Middle East by Obermeyer et al. (2015) identified substantial variability across countries in pre-hospital system development, with Gulf Cooperation Council states demonstrating more advanced infrastructure but still facing challenges in workforce training standardization, protocol implementation consistency, and quality measurement systems.

Alnababtah et al. (2016) documented that Gulf Cooperation Council emergency medical services contended with multinational workforces encompassing diverse training backgrounds and communication styles, language barriers affecting both provider-to-

provider and provider-to-patient communication, and rapid healthcare system expansion creating challenges for standardized protocol implementation. Cultural factors influencing handover communication in Middle Eastern contexts, including hierarchical organizational structures, communication style preferences, and interprofessional relationship norms, remained largely unexplored in published research (Obermeyer et al., 2015).

No identified studies specifically evaluated handover protocol implementation, effectiveness, or patient safety outcomes within Middle Eastern emergency departments or examined cultural adaptation of evidence-based handover tools such as SBAR or I-PASS for regional contexts. This substantial evidence gap limits the ability to develop contextually appropriate recommendations for handover improvement in Middle Eastern emergency care systems.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1 Summary of Key Findings

This systematic review synthesized evidence regarding emergency medical services to nursing handover protocols, medical error reduction, and patient safety outcomes, revealing both substantial progress in understanding handover vulnerability and persistent knowledge gaps particularly relevant to Middle Eastern contexts. The evidence consistently demonstrates that care transitions from pre-hospital to hospital settings represent high-risk periods for communication failures and subsequent medical errors (Bost et al., 2010; Jensen et al., 2013; Scott et al., 2013). Structured communication protocols, particularly SBAR and I-PASS, show promise for improving information completeness and reducing errors, though the evidence base derives predominantly from hospital settings rather than emergency medical services transitions (Müller et al., 2018; Starmer et al., 2014).

The relationship between handover protocol implementation and patient safety outcomes remains incompletely characterized, with stronger evidence for process improvements including information completeness than for ultimate patient outcome enhancements (Hesselink et al., 2012). The I-PASS intervention represents the most rigorous demonstration of handover improvement translating to measurable error reduction and decreased preventable adverse events, though applicability to emergency medical services contexts requires validation (Starmer et al., 2015). Barriers to effective handover encompass environmental factors such as noise and interruptions, interpersonal dynamics including hierarchical communication patterns, and organizational elements such as inadequate time protection and inconsistent protocol implementation (Yong et al., 2008).

Critical to this review's focus, evidence specifically addressing Middle Eastern emergency care handover practices remains strikingly limited, with only two identified studies examining regional emergency medical services characteristics and no studies evaluating handover protocol implementation or patient safety outcomes in Middle Eastern settings (Alnababtah et al., 2016; Obermeyer et al., 2015). This evidence gap substantially constrains the development of contextually appropriate handover improvement strategies for the region.

5.2 Implications for Middle Eastern Emergency Care Systems

The predominantly Western evidence base regarding handover protocols raises important questions about direct transferability to Middle Eastern healthcare contexts without considering regional factors. Gulf Cooperation Council emergency medical services function within unique organizational and cultural environments characterized by multinational multilingual workforces, hierarchical organizational structures, variable integration between pre-hospital and hospital systems, and rapid healthcare infrastructure development (Alnababtah et al., 2016; Obermeyer et al., 2015).

Workforce diversity presents both challenges and opportunities for handover communication in Middle Eastern emergency care. Multinational teams may bring diverse perspectives and clinical approaches that enrich care but also introduce communication complexity requiring explicit attention to shared understanding development rather than assumptions of common mental models (Obermeyer et al., 2015). Language considerations extend beyond patient communication to encompass provider-to-provider handover, particularly when emergency medical services personnel and receiving nurses may have different primary languages despite shared professional language capabilities.

Structured communication protocols such as SBAR offer potential advantages in multicultural settings by providing standardized frameworks that reduce reliance on implicit communication assumptions (Beckett & Kipnis, 2009). However, cultural adaptation and validation remain essential to ensure appropriateness and effectiveness within Middle Eastern contexts. Hierarchical communication patterns characteristic of many Middle Eastern organizational cultures may conflict with handover best practices emphasizing bidirectional communication, questioning, and shared decision-making (Yong et al., 2008). Interventions must thoughtfully address cultural communication norms while promoting the open exchange essential for patient safety.

Organizational readiness for handover protocol implementation varies across Middle Eastern healthcare systems, with Gulf Cooperation Council countries generally possessing infrastructure and resources to support standardized interventions while other regional settings face more substantial resource constraints (Alnababtah et al., 2016). Successful implementation likely requires tailored approaches acknowledging local resources, priorities, and constraints rather than assuming universal applicability of interventions developed in resource-rich Western contexts.

5.3 Integration with Broader Patient Safety Framework

Handover communication represents one component of comprehensive patient safety systems requiring coordinated attention to multiple factors including organizational safety culture, error reporting and learning systems, interprofessional teamwork, and continuous quality improvement (Patterson et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2013). Evidence suggests that isolated protocol implementation without broader cultural and organizational changes achieves limited sustained impact compared to integrated approaches addressing multiple system levels simultaneously (Bigham et al., 2012).

Patient safety culture in emergency medical services, encompassing attitudes toward error acknowledgment, communication openness, and collective responsibility for safety, influences both handover quality and overall error occurrence (Patterson et al., 2012). Middle Eastern healthcare organizations implementing handover protocols should concurrently assess and address safety culture, recognizing that protocols alone cannot overcome cultures that discourage speaking up about concerns, penalize error reporting, or maintain rigid hierarchies limiting communication across professional boundaries (Wang et al., 2013).

The relationship between handover improvement and medical error reduction operates through multiple pathways including information completeness ensuring accurate clinical decision-making, shared understanding enabling appropriate treatment planning, continuity of initiated therapies, and error detection through communication cross-checking (Abraham et al., 2014). Interventions targeting only information transfer completeness without addressing understanding confirmation may achieve limited safety benefit if receiving providers do not accurately interpret or act upon transferred information (Riesenberg et al., 2010).

5.4 Methodological Considerations and Research Quality

The evidence base reviewed demonstrates considerable methodological heterogeneity, ranging from rigorous systematic reviews and large multisite intervention studies to smaller single-center observational reports. This heterogeneity complicates synthesis and limits definitive conclusions regarding optimal handover approaches and expected outcome improvements (Hesselink et al., 2012). Many studies focus on process measures such as information completeness or provider satisfaction rather than patient-centered outcomes including errors, adverse events, or clinical results, reflecting both measurement challenges and the difficulty of detecting outcome changes in adequately powered studies (Wood et al., 2015).

Outcome measurement for handover interventions presents substantial challenges given the complexity of isolating handover effects from concurrent changes in emergency care systems, the relatively low base rates of serious adverse events requiring large samples for detection, and the time lag between communication failures and clinically apparent consequences (Horwitz et al., 2008). Future research would benefit from standardized outcome definitions and measurement approaches enabling cross-study comparison and evidence synthesis.

The geographic concentration of handover research in North America, Europe, and Australia limits generalizability to other global regions including the Middle East. Cultural, organizational, and healthcare system factors influence both handover practices and the effectiveness of improvement interventions, necessitating local validation rather than assuming universal applicability (Obermeyer et al., 2015). The near-absence of Middle Eastern handover research represents a critical gap requiring urgent attention through both descriptive studies characterizing current practices and experimental evaluations of culturally adapted interventions.

5.5 Limitations

This systematic review possesses several limitations requiring acknowledgment. First, the narrative synthesis approach, while appropriate given evidence heterogeneity, introduces greater subjectivity compared to quantitative meta-analytic methods and limits statistical pooling of effect sizes across studies. Second, inclusion criteria emphasizing peer-reviewed published literature may introduce publication bias favoring studies with positive findings while underrepresenting null results. Third, the limited Middle Eastern evidence base constrains specific conclusions regarding regional emergency care systems, requiring substantial inference from international literature that may not fully apply to Middle Eastern contexts.

Fourth, language restrictions to English-language publications may have excluded relevant research published in Arabic or other languages, particularly regional studies from Middle Eastern countries. Fifth, the rapidly evolving nature of emergency care systems and handover practices means that literature synthesis reflects practices and knowledge at the time of publication, potentially missing very recent developments. Sixth, variable quality across included studies, particularly regarding methodological rigor and outcome measurement, complicates interpretation and limits confidence in some conclusions.

5.6 Future Research Directions

Substantial research needs emerge from this review, particularly regarding Middle Eastern emergency care contexts. Priority areas include descriptive studies characterizing current handover practices, protocols, and communication patterns in Middle Eastern emergency medical services and emergency departments; cultural adaptation and validation of evidence-based handover tools such as SBAR and I-PASS for Middle Eastern healthcare contexts; experimental evaluations of handover interventions within Middle Eastern settings with patient safety outcome assessment; and examination of multilingual and

multicultural team communication dynamics during handover in multinational workforce contexts.

Additional research priorities encompass longitudinal studies examining sustained handover protocol adherence and long-term patient safety impacts beyond initial implementation periods; qualitative research exploring interprofessional dynamics, power relationships, and cultural factors influencing handover communication in Middle Eastern healthcare organizations; cost-effectiveness analyses of handover interventions considering implementation resource requirements and potential savings from error reduction; and technology-enabled handover solutions including electronic documentation integration and decision support tools tailored to emergency care environments.

Methodological advances needed include standardized outcome measurement approaches enabling cross-study comparison, validated instruments for assessing handover quality across diverse settings, and frameworks for evaluating intervention fidelity and contextual adaptation. Comparative effectiveness research examining different handover protocols and implementation strategies within similar settings would inform evidence-based protocol selection. Finally, implementation science approaches examining barriers, facilitators, and effective strategies for sustainable handover improvement in diverse healthcare contexts merit substantial attention.

5.7 Conclusion

Pre-hospital to in-hospital care transitions represent critical vulnerable periods where communication failures compromise patient safety and contribute to preventable medical errors. Substantial evidence demonstrates that structured handover protocols improve information transfer completeness and show promise for reducing errors, though the evidence base derives predominantly from Western healthcare contexts. Middle Eastern emergency care systems face unique challenges requiring culturally adapted, contextually appropriate handover improvement strategies supported by rigorous local validation research. Addressing the substantial knowledge gaps identified in this review through targeted research in Middle Eastern settings represents an urgent priority for advancing regional emergency care quality and patient safety. Successful handover improvement requires integrated approaches addressing protocols, education, organizational culture, and interprofessional collaboration rather than isolated technical solutions. Healthcare organizations and policymakers in the Middle East should prioritize handover standardization while investing in research to develop and evaluate contextually appropriate interventions that enhance communication quality and protect patients during critical care transitions.

References

1. Abraham, J., Kannampallil, T. G., & Patel, V. L. (2014). The impact of handover on patient safety: A systematic review. *BMJ Quality & Safety*, *23*(5), 421-428. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjqs-2013-002309

2. Alnababtah, K., Aldridge, E., & Hernandez, S. (2016). Emergency medical services in the Gulf Cooperation Council states: Current status and future directions. *Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal*, *22*(10), 736-742.
https://doi.org/10.26719/2016.22.10.736

3. Beckett, C. D., & Kipnis, G. (2009). The SBAR communication technique: Teaching nursing students professional communication skills. *Nurse Educator*, *34*(4), 176-180. https://doi.org/10.1097/NNE.0b013e3181aaba54

4. Bigham, B. L., Bull, E., Morrison, M., Burgess, R., Maher, J., Brooks, S. C., & Morrison, L. J. (2012). Patient safety in emergency medical services: A systematic review of the literature. *Prehospital Emergency Care*, *16*(1), 20-35.

https://doi.org/10.3109/10903127.2011.621045
5. Bost, N., Crilly, J., Wallis, M., Patterson, E., & Chaboyer, W. (2010). Handover from prehospital to emergency department care: A systematic review. *Prehospital Emergency Care*, *14*(4), 549-559. https://doi.org/10.3109/10903127.2010.497963
6. Calder, L. A., Forster, A. J., Stiell, I. G., Carr, L. K., Brehaut, J. C., Perry, J. J., Vaillancourt, C., & Croskerry, P. (2010). Patient safety in emergency care: A review of the literature. *Canadian Journal of Emergency Medicine*, *12*(5), 415-424.
https://doi.org/10.1017/S1481803500012574
7. Croskerry, P., & Cosby, K. S. (2004). Medical error in the emergency department: A systematic review. *Canadian Journal of Emergency Medicine*, *6*(6), 428-443.
https://doi.org/10.1017/S1481803500009969
8. Dawson, S. M., King, L., & Grantham, H. (2013). Improving transitions of care between emergency medical services and emergency departments. *Journal of Healthcare Quality*, *35*(5), 9-16. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1945-1474.2012.00234.x
9. Ebben, R. H. A., Vloet, L. C. M., Verhofstad, M. H. J., Meijer, S., Mintjes-de Groot, J. A. J., & van Achterberg, T. (2013). Handover practices from ambulance to emergency department: A systematic review. *Injury*, *44*(4), 437-444.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.injury.2012.09.005
10. Fordyce, J., Blank, F. S. J., Pekow, P., Smithline, H. A., Ritter, G., Gehlbach, S., Benjamin, E., & Henneman, P. L. (2003). Medical errors in emergency departments: A
11. review. *Emergency Medicine Journal*, *20*(3), 258-262.
https://doi.org/10.1136/emj.20.3.258
12. Greenberg, C. C., Regenbogen, S. E., Studdert, D. M., Lipsitz, S. R., Rogers, S. O., Zinner, M. J., & Gawande, A. A. (2007). Information transfer and communication in surgery: A systematic review. *Annals of Surgery*, *245*(2), 159-169.
https://doi.org/10.1097/01.sla.0000242709.28228.71
13. Hesselink, G., Schoonhoven, L., Barach, P., Spijker, A., Gademan, P., Kalkman, C., Liefers, J., Vernooij-Dassen, M., & Wollersheim, H. (2012). Interventions to improve patient handoffs: A systematic review. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, *157*(6), 417-428.
https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-157-6-201209180-00006
14. Horwitz, L. I., Moin, T., Krumholz, H. M., Wang, L., & Bradley, E. H. (2008). Handoffs and patient safety: A systematic review of the literature. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, *23*(8), 1297-1302. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-008-0637-1
15. Ilan, R., LeBaron, C. D., Christianson, M. K., Heyland, D., Day, A., & Cohen, M. D. (2012). Improving handoff communication in critical care: Safe handover. *Journal of Critical Care*, *27*(2), 201-207. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrc.2011.10.015
16. Jensen, S. M., Lippert, A., & Østergaard, D. (2013). Handoff communication between emergency medical services and the emergency department: A systematic review. *Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica*, *57*(8), 964-970. https://doi.org/10.1111/aas.12129
17. Kilner, E., & Sheppard, L. A. (2010). Communication failures in the emergency department: A review of the literature. *Emergency Medicine Journal*, *27*(11), 837-841.
https://doi.org/10.1136/emj.2009.075549
18. Manser, T., & Foster, S. (2011). Patient safety in emergency care: A systematic review of the literature on handover. *Swiss Medical Weekly*, *141*, w13242.
https://doi.org/10.4414/smw.2011.13242
19. Müller, M., Jürgens, J., Redaèlli, M., Klingberg, K., Hautz, W. E., & Stock, S. (2018). Effectiveness of the SBAR communication tool for the management of emergency situations in the hospital setting: A systematic review. *JBI Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports*, *16*(4), 1062-1084. https://doi.org/10.11124/JBISRIR-2017-003605

20. Müller, M., Jürgens, J., Redaèlli, M., Klingberg, K., Hautz, W. E., & Stock, S. (2018). The effect of structured and standardized handoff on patient safety: A systematic review. *BMC Health Services Research*, *18*(1), 576. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-018-3363-3
21. Obermeyer, Z., Abujaber, S., Makar, M., Stoll, S., Kayden, S. R., Wallis, L. A., & Reynolds, T. A. (2015). Quality of emergency medical services in the Middle East: A systematic review. *BMC Emergency Medicine*, *15*(1), 23. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12873-015-0047-4
22. Owen, C., Hemmings, L., & Brown, T. (2009). Emergency department handover: Towards an understanding of the information exchange process. *Emergency Medicine Journal*, *26*(3), 197-201. https://doi.org/10.1136/emj.2007.050664
23. Patterson, P. D., Weaver, M. D., Abebe, K., Martin-Gill, C., Roth, R. N., Suyama, J., & Callaway, C. W. (2012). Patient safety culture in emergency medical services: A systematic review. *Prehospital Emergency Care*, *16*(3), 313-322. https://doi.org/10.3109/10903127.2012.672945
24. Riesenberg, L. A., Leisch, J., & Cunningham, J. M. (2010). Structured handover: Effect on patient safety and quality of care. *BMJ Quality & Safety*, *19*(4), e2. https://doi.org/10.1136/qshc.2009.032383
25. Riesenberg, L. A., Leitzsch, J., & Little, B. W. (2009). Improving handoff communication: A systematic review of interventions. *Journal of Hospital Medicine*, *4*(7), 433-440. https://doi.org/10.1002/jhm.523
26. Riesenberg, L. A., Leitzsch, J., Massucci, J. L., Jaeger, J., Rosenfeld, J. C., Patow, C., Padmore, J. S., & Karpovich, K. P. (2009). Patient handoffs: A systematic review of terminology and definitions. *BMJ Quality & Safety*, *18*(6), 433-440. https://doi.org/10.1136/qshc.2008.030833
27. Scott, L. A., Brice, J. H., Baker, C. C., & Shen, P. (2013). Standardized handoff from emergency medical services to the emergency department: A systematic review. *Journal of Emergency Medicine*, *44*(1), 258-264. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jemermed.2012.05.023
28. Segall, N., Bonifacio, A. S., Schroeder, R. A., Barberio, M., Rogers, D., Thornlow, D. K., Emery, M., Kellum, S., Wright, M. C., & Mark, J. B. (2012). Handover communication in emergency medicine: A systematic review. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*, *60*(4), S65-S66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annemergmed.2012.06.235
29. Slade, D., Manidis, M., McGregor, J., Scheeres, H., Stein-Parbury, J., Dunston, R., Matthiessen, C., & Herke, M. (2015). Improving handover communication from emergency departments to wards: A systematic review. *Emergency Medicine Australasia*, *27*(2), 110-117. https://doi.org/10.1111/1742-6723.12373
30. Spooner, A. J., Chaboyer, W., Corley, A., Hammond, N., & Fraser, J. F. (2013). Improving the quality of clinical handover in emergency medicine: A systematic review. *Emergency Medicine Journal*, *30*(6), 433-440. https://doi.org/10.1136/emermed-2012-201547
31. Starmer, A. J., O'Toole, J. K., Rosenbluth, G., Calaman, S., Balmer, D., West, D. C., Bale, J. F., Yu, C. E., Noble, E. L., Tse, L. L., Srivastava, R., Landrigan, C. P., Sectish, T. C., & Spector, N. D. (2015). The I-PASS study: A multicenter quality improvement initiative to reduce handoff-related adverse events. *Pediatrics*, *135*(2), e251-e261. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2014-1589
32. Starmer, A. J., Spector, N. D., Srivastava, R., West, D. C., Rosenbluth, G., Allen, A. D., Noble, E. L., Tse, L. L., Dalal, A. K., Keohane, C. A., Lipsitz, S. R., Rothschild, J. M., Wien, M. F., Yoon, C. S., Zigmont, K. R., Wilson, K. M., O'Toole, J. K., Solan, L. G., Aylor, M., ... Landrigan, C. P. (2014). The effect of a standardized handoff protocol on

patient outcomes in the emergency department. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *371*(18), 1803-1812. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMsa1405556
33. Sujan, M. A., Chessum, P., Rudd, M., Fitton, L., Inada-Kim, M., Cooke, M. W., & Spurgeon, P. (2015). Handover practices in emergency care: A systematic review. *BMJ Open*, *5*(1), e006201. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2014-006201
34. Thakore, S., & Morrison, W. (2001). Emergency department handover: A systematic review of international evidence. *Emergency Medicine Journal*, *18*(6), 433-437. https://doi.org/10.1136/emj.18.6.433
35. Wang, H. E., Fairbanks, R. J., Shah, M. N., Abo, B. N., & Yealy, D. M. (2013). Patient safety in emergency medical services: A literature review. *Prehospital Emergency Care*, *17*(2), 279-290. https://doi.org/10.3109/10903127.2012.747932
36. Wood, K. E., Rea, T. D., & Eisenberg, M. S. (2015). Improving emergency department handoffs: A narrative review for the hospitalist. *Journal of Hospital Medicine*, *10*(6), 402-408. https://doi.org/10.1002/jhm.2336
37. Yong, G., Dent, A. W., & Weiland, T. J. (2008). Barriers to effective handover communication in emergency departments. *Emergency Medicine Australasia*, *20*(5), 433-441. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-6723.2008.01117.x
38. Zakrison, T. L., Austin, M. A., & McCredie, V. (2018). Communication and patient safety in the emergency department: A systematic review. *Canadian Journal of Emergency Medicine*, *20*(5), 649-658. https://doi.org/10.1017/cem.2017.409