Health And Safety In Health Care Settings: Review On Protection Of Patients And Healthcare Workers

Fatmah Mubark Aboalnass[1], Waad Hussain Alguthamy[2], Abdulkarim Abdullah Nasser Al-Hussein[3], Abdullah Nasser Masoud Al-Mazargah[4], Ibrahim Salman Ibrahim Al-Harisi[5], Sami Shubat Hawwaf Alotaibi[6], Hamad Lafi Maziad Almotairi[7], Ghadi Ibrahim Ali Alqurashi[8], Ghufran Zaki Alfaraj[9], Fatimah Mohammed Albaharnah[10], Bakr Abduljabar A. Salman[11], Rauf Mohsen Aadawi[12], Rami Hamdan AlmotaIri[13], Fahad Masoud Almohammadi[14], Mansour Faris Alharbi[15]

[1] Family Medicine Resident, King Abdulaziz Airport Primary Health Care Centers
[2] Clinical Nutrition, Umm Al-Qura
[3] Men's Medical Secretary, Al-Bakriyah General Hospital – Qassim
[4] Anaesthesia Technician, Al-Farshah General Hospital
[5] Anaesthesia Technician, Al-Birk General Hospital
[6] Operation Rooms Technician, Afif General Hospital
[7] Operation Rooms Technician, Afif General Hospital
[8] Pharmacist, Althagher General Hospital
[9] Pharmacist, Prince Mohammad Bin Fahad Hospital Of Blood Disorders
[10] Radiology Specialist, King Fahad Hospital – Hofuf
[11] Public Health, King Abdullah Medical Complex
[12] Emergency Medical Technician, Ibn Sina Hospital
[13] Paramedic Specialist, Rabigh General Hospital
[14] Health Care Security, Alaws Primary Healthcare Center
[15] Public Health Technician, Alaws Primary Healthcare Center

Chapter 1. Introduction to Health and Safety in Healthcare Settings

Paragraph 1

Health and safety in healthcare settings constitute the foundation of quality medical services, ensuring that both patients and healthcare professionals operate in environments that minimize risks and prevent harm. The modern concept of safety culture emphasizes proactive risk identification rather than reactive incident management. Healthcare organizations increasingly recognize that maintaining a safe workplace directly influences patient care outcomes. Effective safety programs integrate organizational policies, behavioral training, and monitoring systems that promote accountability. Beyond technical controls, the establishment of a shared ethical commitment to "do no harm" serves as the cultural backbone of all safety efforts. In this framework, patient and worker safety are not competing interests but mutually reinforcing priorities that together define excellence in healthcare delivery (Macedo et al., 2020; Eliyana et al., 2020).

Paragraph 2

The development of a robust Patient Safety Culture (PSC) represents one of the most transformative advancements in modern healthcare systems. PSC encompasses shared values, beliefs, and behavioral norms that collectively prioritize safety in daily operations. It extends beyond individual vigilance, embedding safety into institutional structures such as governance, leadership, and communication systems. A positive PSC fosters openness, transparency, and learning from error rather than assigning blame. These values ensure that safety remains an organizational priority even in resource-limited environments. By

integrating PSC principles into healthcare strategies, institutions promote continuous quality improvement while reducing the incidence of preventable harm. This systemic approach allows safety to evolve from a regulatory requirement into an intrinsic professional responsibility (Querstret et al., 2020; Newman et al., 2020).

Paragraph 3

Patient safety has become a global imperative due to the recognition of medical errors as a leading cause of morbidity and mortality. Evidence suggests that most adverse events stem from complex system failures rather than individual incompetence. Therefore, cultivating a non-punitive culture that encourages reporting and open dialogue is critical for learning and prevention. Hospitals that embrace PSC report substantial declines in medication errors, hospital-acquired infections, and surgical complications. Moreover, safety culture empowers all staff—clinical and non-clinical—to take ownership of safety outcomes, enhancing teamwork and communication across departments. As healthcare systems become increasingly complex, the importance of PSC as a stabilizing and guiding framework continues to grow (Darling-Hammond et al., 2020; Reynolds et al., 2022).

Paragraph 4

The origins of the patient safety movement can be traced to the late 20th century, particularly the 1999 Institute of Medicine report *To Err is Human*. This landmark publication reframed medical errors as systemic challenges rather than individual failings, initiating a paradigm shift toward safety culture development. Prior to this, error management was largely punitive, discouraging transparency and reporting. The new perspective recognized that human error is inevitable but preventable through resilient systems and organizational learning. Consequently, healthcare institutions began adopting comprehensive safety frameworks emphasizing prevention, communication, and accountability. This transition marked a fundamental evolution in healthcare management, embedding safety as a measurable indicator of institutional performance (Shin & Shin, 2020; Jerg-Bretzke et al., 2020).

Paragraph 5

Over subsequent decades, healthcare systems worldwide have refined the principles of PSC, integrating structured methodologies to strengthen reliability and reduce harm. Initial initiatives focused on procedural standardization, such as surgical checklists and hand hygiene protocols. Over time, the focus expanded to include organizational psychology, communication, and leadership engagement. The adoption of the Swiss Cheese Model and High-Reliability Organization (HRO) frameworks further advanced understanding of systemic vulnerabilities. These models emphasize the role of barriers, redundancies, and situational awareness in preventing adverse events. As a result, hospitals increasingly view safety culture not as an isolated quality project but as a continuous process embedded within institutional identity (Nyanyiwa, Peters & Murphy, 2022; Tajalli et al., 2021).

Paragraph 6

Leadership remains the cornerstone of any successful patient safety culture. Leaders establish vision, allocate resources, and model behaviors that prioritize safety above productivity pressures. Their commitment signals to staff that safety is not optional but integral to professional practice. Transformational leadership styles, which inspire shared purpose and accountability, are particularly effective in reinforcing safety norms. Regular safety rounds, open forums for feedback, and non-punitive response systems exemplify leadership-driven strategies that sustain engagement. By demonstrating integrity and consistency, leaders cultivate trust and psychological safety—key enablers of open communication and learning from error (Uwannah, Onyekachi & Filade, 2021; Kim & Sim, 2020).

Paragraph 7

The institutionalization of PSC has been accelerated by the active involvement of global health organizations. Regulatory bodies such as the World Health Organization (WHO) and The Joint Commission have embedded safety culture metrics into accreditation and quality improvement standards. These frameworks encourage hospitals to assess their internal safety climates through validated instruments and continuous monitoring. By aligning accreditation with PSC principles, regulators have transformed safety culture from a moral aspiration into a structural expectation. This global harmonization ensures that safety is systematically measured, compared, and enhanced across diverse healthcare systems (Xing, Sun & Jepsen, 2021; Spagnoli et al., 2020).

Paragraph 8

Despite these advances, numerous barriers hinder full implementation of patient safety culture. Organizational inertia, resource scarcity, and inconsistent leadership commitment can dilute safety initiatives. Cultural resistance—particularly in hierarchical settings—often discourages open reporting and transparency. Additionally, fragmented communication channels between departments can compromise coordination. Overcoming these challenges requires sustained leadership advocacy, interdisciplinary collaboration, and capacity-building strategies that empower frontline workers. Successful interventions combine education, mentorship, and data-driven feedback loops to cultivate continuous improvement. Ultimately, embedding PSC within the organizational DNA demands long-term dedication rather than short-term compliance (Zarrin, Gracia & Paixão, 2020; Yun, Lim & Choi, 2020).

Paragraph 9

Technology has emerged as a transformative tool in advancing patient and worker safety. Real-time data analytics, electronic incident reporting systems, and predictive algorithms now allow organizations to identify risks proactively. Digital dashboards offer transparency in safety metrics, supporting evidence-based decision-making and accountability. Furthermore, telehealth and automation reduce exposure to hazards by minimizing direct contact with infectious agents. However, technology alone is insufficient without a complementary culture of safety awareness and human oversight. The integration of digital systems into PSC frameworks represents the next frontier in healthcare safety management (Macedo et al., 2020; Eliyana et al., 2020).

Paragraph 10

The interdependence of patient and occupational safety is now well-established. Healthcare workers exposed to unsafe conditions are more likely to commit errors that endanger patients. Conversely, when organizations safeguard their workforce through training, protective equipment, and psychological support, patient outcomes improve significantly. This symbiotic relationship underscores the need for unified safety strategies addressing both groups simultaneously. Health systems that invest in staff well-being report lower absenteeism, better morale, and enhanced patient satisfaction. Consequently, health and safety policies must adopt a dual-protection model that views patients and healthcare workers as co-beneficiaries of the same safety infrastructure (Querstret et al., 2020; Newman et al., 2020).

Paragraph 11

Educational initiatives play an essential role in sustaining PSC. Continuous professional development programs equip healthcare providers with the knowledge and competencies needed to uphold safety standards. Training in communication, risk management, and teamwork fosters awareness of interdependencies in clinical care. Simulation-based learning, in particular, enables practitioners to rehearse high-risk scenarios without endangering patients. When education is coupled with organizational support, staff

demonstrate stronger adherence to safety protocols and greater confidence in managing complex clinical situations. Education thus serves as both a preventive and corrective mechanism in safety culture advancement (Darling-Hammond et al., 2020; Reynolds et al., 2022).

Paragraph 12

Psychological safety—the belief that individuals can speak up without fear of reprisal—is fundamental to PSC. When staff feel respected and supported, they are more likely to report near misses, disclose concerns, and participate in improvement initiatives. This openness transforms safety culture into a dynamic learning environment. Conversely, punitive or hierarchical systems suppress critical feedback and perpetuate risk. Promoting psychological safety requires leaders to actively listen, respond constructively, and celebrate transparency. As teams internalize these values, safety becomes a collective pursuit rather than an administrative obligation (Shin & Shin, 2020; Jerg-Bretzke et al., 2020).

Paragraph 13

Cultural adaptation remains a significant factor in globalizing PSC frameworks. While core principles are universal, implementation must consider local values, communication styles, and resource realities. In low- and middle-income countries, for example, safety culture initiatives often contend with infrastructural deficits and workforce shortages. Nonetheless, evidence indicates that even modest investments in safety leadership and reporting systems yield substantial improvements. Tailoring PSC interventions to specific contexts ensures sustainability and relevance, bridging the gap between policy and practice. Ultimately, cultural sensitivity enhances adoption and amplifies impact across diverse healthcare settings (Nyanyiwa, Peters & Murphy, 2022; Tajalli et al., 2021).

Paragraph 14

In conclusion, patient safety culture represents both an ethical imperative and an operational necessity. Its evolution reflects the healthcare industry's collective learning from decades of preventable harm and systemic inefficiency. A mature PSC integrates leadership commitment, staff empowerment, and data-driven improvement into a unified framework. As new technologies and global collaborations emerge, the challenge lies not merely in maintaining safety but in embedding it as an enduring organizational value. The ongoing transformation toward high-reliability healthcare will depend on sustained investment in culture, education, and leadership engagement (Uwannah, Onyekachi & Filade, 2021; Kim & Sim, 2020).

Chapter 2. Occupational Hazards and Risk Factors Among Healthcare Workers

Paragraph 1

Healthcare workers (HCWs) are exposed to numerous occupational risks that threaten their physical, biological, and psychological well-being. Their continuous contact with patients, equipment, and hazardous materials makes safety management an essential component of healthcare quality. These hazards include needle-stick injuries, infectious diseases, musculoskeletal strain, toxic chemical exposure, and emotional exhaustion. The World Health Organization emphasizes that protecting HCWs safeguards patients by maintaining continuity of competent care. A robust occupational safety program must therefore integrate prevention, monitoring, and education as pillars of institutional responsibility. Recognizing these hazards allows healthcare organizations to build proactive systems that minimize harm and foster sustainable work environments (Siyal et al., 2020; World Alliance for Patient Safety, 2021).

Paragraph 2

Physical and chemical hazards remain among the most visible threats in healthcare workplaces. Frequent handling of needles, surgical instruments, and contaminated waste exposes workers to puncture injuries and bloodborne pathogens. Chemical disinfectants such as formaldehyde and glutaraldehyde can cause respiratory irritation and chronic dermatitis. Radiation exposure in imaging departments also poses cumulative risks when shielding and dosimetry controls are insufficient. Implementing safety-engineered sharps, proper waste segregation, and environmental ventilation greatly reduces these dangers. Moreover, routine maintenance of sterilization and laboratory systems prevents leakage of harmful vapors. Hospitals that adhere to chemical safety protocols demonstrate significantly fewer occupational illnesses, reflecting the effectiveness of preventive engineering and administrative controls (Yuniati & Sitinjak, 2022; Adel et al., 2021).

Paragraph 3

Needle-stick and sharp-object injuries represent one of the most common occupational incidents among healthcare staff. Such injuries expose individuals to life-threatening infections, including hepatitis B, hepatitis C, and HIV. Studies indicate that inadequate training, fatigue, and improper disposal methods contribute to the persistence of these injuries. The introduction of retractable needles, puncture-resistant containers, and post-exposure prophylaxis programs has dramatically reduced infection rates in institutions that enforce them consistently. Education campaigns emphasizing reporting and early treatment further enhance compliance. The long-term goal should be zero tolerance toward preventable sharps injuries through systemic redesign and behavioral reinforcement (Brown, Kraimer & Bratton, 2019; Ramos et al., 2020).

Paragraph 4

Biological hazards continue to pose critical challenges, particularly during outbreaks of infectious diseases. Healthcare professionals face exposure to tuberculosis, influenza, hepatitis viruses, and emerging pathogens such as SARS-CoV-2. Transmission occurs through droplets, aerosols, direct contact, or contaminated surfaces. Strict adherence to standard precautions, hand hygiene, and vaccination programs forms the first line of defense. Isolation procedures, negative-pressure rooms, and respirator use further limit airborne spread. The COVID-19 pandemic demonstrated that well-implemented infection prevention and control (IPC) protocols protect both staff and patients while ensuring service continuity (Zwedberg, Alnervik & Barimani, 2021; Segev, 2019).

Paragraph 5

Ergonomic hazards are often underestimated despite their long-term consequences on the musculoskeletal system. Repetitive lifting, prolonged standing, and awkward postures during patient care lead to chronic back and neck pain. Nursing personnel and physiotherapists are particularly vulnerable. Poorly designed workstations and inadequate assistive devices exacerbate these risks. Integrating ergonomic assessments into workplace design, providing adjustable equipment, and promoting safe body-mechanics training significantly decrease musculoskeletal disorders. Such interventions not only reduce absenteeism but also enhance productivity and job satisfaction (Holland, 2019; Lee et al., 2020).

Paragraph 6

In addition to physical strain, psychosocial hazards have become increasingly recognized as major determinants of worker well-being. Long hours, night shifts, and emotional demands associated with patient suffering contribute to chronic stress and burnout. Exposure to workplace violence—both verbal and physical—exacerbates anxiety and reduces morale. Burnout correlates with higher error rates and staff turnover, threatening overall patient safety. Supportive leadership, fair scheduling, and confidential counseling services are critical in mitigating these effects. Institutions that prioritize mental health demonstrate

improved retention and enhanced quality of care (Khosravi, Ghiasi & Ganjali, 2021; Syahrina & Mutya, 2023).

Paragraph 7

Chemical exposure is another major hazard in laboratories, pharmacies, and sterilization units. Workers routinely handle cytotoxic drugs, anesthetic gases, and cleaning agents containing volatile organic compounds. Chronic exposure may lead to reproductive toxicity, carcinogenic effects, and organ damage. Compliance with chemical-handling guidelines, including fume-hood use and personal protective equipment (PPE), is essential. Regular environmental monitoring and employee health surveillance programs ensure early detection of exposure-related illnesses. Leadership commitment to chemical safety fosters a culture of vigilance and shared responsibility (Fernández-Salinero & Topa, 2020; Zurman, Hoffmann & Ruff-Stahl, 2019).

Paragraph 8

Radiation hazards require special attention in diagnostic and therapeutic settings. Cumulative exposure to ionizing radiation increases the risk of cancer and cataracts among radiology and oncology personnel. International standards recommend minimizing exposure through time, distance, and shielding principles. Dosimeters, protective lead aprons, and routine calibration of imaging devices are vital safeguards. Continuous education on radiation safety ensures awareness of permissible dose limits. Compliance audits and transparent reporting sustain accountability and reinforce professional discipline (Kim, Jillapali & Boyd, 2021; Chang et al., 2020).

Paragraph 9

Preventive strategies in occupational health rely heavily on consistent use of PPE. Gloves, masks, gowns, and eye protection serve as primary barriers against chemical splashes and infectious materials. However, PPE is effective only when available, properly fitted, and correctly used. Institutions must provide adequate supplies, training, and policies to prevent reuse or misuse. In addition, vaccination against hepatitis B and seasonal influenza protects healthcare workers from preventable diseases. Integrating PPE management with broader infection-control systems ensures continuous protection and operational resilience (Siyal et al., 2020; World Alliance for Patient Safety, 2021).

Paragraph 10

Safe handling protocols extend beyond PPE to encompass waste management and sterilization practices. Proper segregation of medical waste, immediate disposal of sharps, and use of color-coded containers reduce accidental exposure. Training staff on decontamination and emergency response enhances preparedness for spills or biological incidents. Regular audits reinforce adherence to protocols and identify gaps requiring corrective action. These measures collectively build a preventive infrastructure that supports sustainable occupational safety performance (Yuniati & Sitinjak, 2022; Adel et al., 2021).

Paragraph 11

Promoting a learning culture within healthcare institutions is fundamental to long-term hazard control. Reporting systems for incidents and near misses encourage continuous improvement when implemented in a non-punitive environment. Lessons learned from adverse events should be disseminated through workshops and safety meetings. Simulation-based exercises allow teams to practice emergency scenarios without patient risk, strengthening readiness and teamwork. Organizations that embed learning into daily routines exhibit lower error rates and greater adaptability to new threats (Brown, Kraimer & Bratton, 2019; Ramos et al., 2020).

Paragraph 12

Institutional accountability forms the backbone of effective occupational health systems. Hospitals must comply with national regulations and international standards such as those established by WHO and the International Labour Organization. Regular risk assessments, exposure surveillance, and transparent reporting maintain organizational integrity. Leadership should allocate adequate funding for safety infrastructure and workforce training. When accountability is distributed across all levels, safety becomes a shared mission rather than an administrative mandate (Zwedberg, Alnervik & Barimani, 2021; Segev, 2019).

Paragraph 13

Education and professional development play critical roles in equipping staff with the competence to manage occupational hazards. Comprehensive orientation programs familiarize new employees with workplace risks and protective measures. Continuing education sessions update experienced staff on emerging threats and technologies. Interdisciplinary workshops enhance collaboration and reinforce shared safety objectives. By institutionalizing education, healthcare organizations cultivate a proactive workforce capable of anticipating and mitigating risks before they escalate (Holland, 2019; Lee et al., 2020).

Paragraph 14

In summary, healthcare workers face multifaceted occupational hazards that require integrated prevention strategies and organizational commitment. Physical, biological, chemical, ergonomic, and psychosocial risks collectively challenge safety in modern healthcare environments. Implementing comprehensive programs encompassing PPE, vaccination, ergonomic design, mental-health support, and education ensures a resilient and healthy workforce. Protecting healthcare workers is not merely a regulatory requirement but a moral and strategic imperative linked to patient safety and institutional excellence (Khosravi, Ghiasi & Ganjali, 2021; Syahrina & Mutya, 2023).

Chapter 3. Patient Safety: Risks, Errors, and Preventive Systems

Paragraph 1

Patient safety represents a fundamental pillar of healthcare quality, aiming to prevent avoidable harm during medical care. Despite major advances in medicine, patients remain vulnerable to a range of preventable incidents caused by system flaws, communication gaps, and human factors. A robust Patient Safety Culture (PSC) provides the foundation for recognizing, reporting, and addressing such risks through non-punitive, data-driven strategies. By emphasizing learning over blame, PSC transforms isolated incidents into opportunities for improvement. Hospitals that institutionalize these principles achieve higher safety performance and fewer adverse events, demonstrating the direct link between organizational culture and patient outcomes (Afota, Robert & Vandenberghe, 2021; Even, 2020).

Paragraph 2

The types and sources of patient-safety risks are diverse, spanning medication errors, surgical complications, diagnostic failures, and healthcare-associated infections (HAIs). Medication-related errors—including wrong doses, incorrect labeling, or transcription mistakes—remain the most frequent causes of preventable harm. Surgical complications, such as retained instruments or wrong-site procedures, arise from lapses in pre-operative verification and teamwork. HAIs continue to burden hospitals through catheter-associated infections, pneumonia, and resistant pathogens. Diagnostic delays or misinterpretations further endanger patients, particularly in high-volume or emergency settings. Each category

reflects systemic weaknesses that can be mitigated through standardized protocols and enhanced vigilance (Jiang et al., 2019; Baris, Intepeler & Unal, 2023).

Paragraph 3

Medication errors often result from complex interactions between human limitations and system design. Poor legibility of prescriptions, confusing packaging, and inadequate double-checking mechanisms increase the likelihood of mistakes. Automated dispensing systems and barcode verification have reduced these incidents in institutions that integrate technology with clear accountability structures. However, overreliance on automation without continuous oversight can introduce new forms of error. Education, interdisciplinary collaboration, and regular audits remain essential to sustaining safe medication practices (Moghadari-Koosha et al., 2020; Ismail, 2021).

Paragraph 4

Surgical safety remains another critical dimension of patient protection. Errors in the operating room often stem from communication failures, time pressure, or poor adherence to standardized procedures. Implementation of the WHO Surgical Safety Checklist has proven effective in reducing morbidity and mortality across various surgical settings. When teams conduct structured briefings and time-outs before procedures, potential risks are detected early. A culture that encourages every team member to voice concerns—regardless of hierarchy—enhances collective vigilance and accountability (Liu et al., 2019; Cherkasov et al., 2019).

Paragraph 5

Healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) represent one of the most persistent patient-safety challenges worldwide. They occur due to lapses in hand hygiene, improper sterilization, or inadequate environmental controls. A proactive infection-prevention program—including antimicrobial stewardship, isolation procedures, and environmental cleaning—significantly reduces transmission. Continuous education and compliance audits reinforce adherence to infection-control protocols. Effective leadership and transparent reporting of HAI data foster institutional accountability and motivate sustained improvements (Dedahanov, Bozorov & Sung, 2019; Cinar, 2019).

Paragraph 6

Diagnostic errors contribute substantially to preventable harm, often leading to delayed or inappropriate treatment. These errors arise from cognitive biases, incomplete history-taking, or fragmented information systems. Electronic health records (EHRs) and clinical-decision-support tools enhance diagnostic accuracy by integrating data from multiple sources. Regular multidisciplinary case reviews also provide opportunities to identify systemic bottlenecks. Cultivating a learning environment where diagnostic uncertainty can be discussed openly prevents recurrence and strengthens clinical reasoning (Ghafouri et al., 2022; Gupta, Shaheen & Das, 2019).

Paragraph 7

Human factors and systemic errors form the backbone of most safety incidents. Excessive workload, fatigue, inadequate staffing, and communication breakdowns amplify the risk of mistakes. In organizations where staff fear punishment, errors remain hidden, perpetuating system failure. PSC counters this by establishing non-punitive reporting frameworks that encourage transparency and organizational learning. Open error disclosure allows teams to track trends, evaluate underlying causes, and implement sustainable corrective actions. Such systemic focus reduces recurrence and improves morale among healthcare professionals (Afota, Robert & Vandenberghe, 2021; Even, 2020).

Paragraph 8

Communication failures remain one of the leading contributors to adverse events. Transitions of care—such as shift handovers or inter-department transfers—are

particularly vulnerable to omissions or misinterpretations. Structured tools like SBAR (Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation) standardize the flow of information and minimize ambiguity. Daily safety huddles and multidisciplinary briefings further promote clarity and situational awareness. When teams communicate effectively, trust strengthens, errors decline, and patient satisfaction increases (Dedahanov, Bozorov & Sung, 2019; Cinar, 2019).

Paragraph 9

Systemic resilience depends on a strong reporting and feedback infrastructure. Non-punitive reporting systems allow staff to document near-misses and incidents without fear, enabling early identification of vulnerabilities. Analyzing near-miss data provides a unique opportunity to correct hazards before they escalate into harm. Research indicates that institutions with transparent reporting frameworks exhibit higher rates of disclosure yet lower rates of severe adverse events—a reflection of effective learning mechanisms (Moghadari-Koosha et al., 2020; Ismail, 2021).

Paragraph 10

Safety-improvement frameworks translate learning into structured action. WHO's "Patient Safety Friendly Hospital Initiative" provides standardized benchmarks for safety governance, risk management, and staff engagement. Root-cause-analysis (RCA) tools help organizations systematically dissect incidents to uncover process flaws rather than individual mistakes. Integrating checklists, debriefings, and incident simulations ensures continuous feedback and adaptation. These frameworks operationalize PSC principles, transforming safety from an abstract goal into measurable daily practice (Jiang et al., 2019; Baris, Intepeler & Unal, 2023).

Paragraph 11

Simulation-based training has revolutionized patient-safety education by allowing healthcare teams to rehearse critical scenarios in controlled environments. Through high-fidelity simulations, staff can practice managing emergencies, recognizing warning signs, and improving teamwork without risk to patients. Debriefing sessions that follow these simulations reinforce reflection, critical thinking, and shared learning. Such experiential education aligns with PSC by transforming theory into practical competence, reducing anxiety, and building confidence across disciplines (Liu et al., 2019; Cherkasov et al., 2019).

Paragraph 12

Technology and innovation play transformative roles in modern safety management. Electronic Health Records (EHRs) enhance continuity of care by centralizing patient data and reducing transcription errors. Barcoding systems in medication administration eliminate mismatches between patient identity and prescribed drugs. Artificial-intelligence (AI) algorithms now monitor clinical workflows, detecting anomalies that may signal risk. These digital solutions improve accuracy and efficiency but require vigilant oversight to prevent data fatigue or overdependence. Balancing automation with human judgment remains essential to sustain safe outcomes (Ghafouri et al., 2022; Gupta, Shaheen & Das, 2019).

Paragraph 13

Leadership commitment underpins the success of all safety-improvement initiatives. Leaders who prioritize transparency, allocate resources for training, and actively engage in safety rounds signal that error prevention is an organizational imperative. Visible leadership presence builds psychological safety, encouraging staff to share concerns openly. When executives celebrate reporting efforts and respond constructively to feedback, trust flourishes. Sustained leadership engagement ensures PSC principles are embedded in the

institution's identity, driving measurable progress in error reduction (Afota, Robert & Vandenberghe, 2021; Ghafouri et al., 2022).

Paragraph 14

In conclusion, patient safety depends on an integrated approach that addresses systemic, human, and technological dimensions of risk. Medication errors, surgical complications, and HAIs highlight the multifactorial nature of preventable harm. By fostering a strong PSC, healthcare organizations replace punitive cultures with learning systems that identify weaknesses early and promote continuous improvement. Through transparent reporting, structured communication, leadership commitment, and digital innovation, healthcare institutions can move closer to the ultimate goal: zero preventable harm and a resilient, patient-centered system of care (Even, 2020; Baris, Intepeler & Unal, 2023).

Chapter 4. Integrated Strategies for Protecting Both Patients and Healthcare Workers

Paragraph 1

Integrated safety strategies in healthcare bridge the protection of patients and healthcare workers (HCWs), recognizing that these objectives are inseparable. A culture that emphasizes safety for all fosters trust, teamwork, and accountability across all levels of the organization. Both patient and occupational safety rely on shared systems—robust infection control, education, leadership engagement, and policy enforcement. A strong Patient Safety Culture (PSC) not only reduces harm to patients but also creates a supportive environment for staff, improving morale and retention. When safety is viewed as a collective responsibility rather than an individual burden, healthcare organizations build resilient systems capable of anticipating, preventing, and responding to risks effectively (Abd El Rahman et al., 2022; Mauro, 2022).

Paragraph 2

Infection Prevention and Control (IPC) programs represent the cornerstone of integrated safety. Standard precautions—such as hand hygiene, personal protective equipment (PPE), and safe injection practices—form the first line of defense against hospital-acquired infections (HAIs). In institutions with strong PSC, staff consistently comply with these measures because they perceive them as shared professional norms rather than mandatory checklists. Adherence to sterilization protocols, proper waste management, and timely removal of invasive devices, such as catheters, have proven to significantly reduce infection rates. Open communication and interdepartmental collaboration ensure that IPC protocols remain current and universally applied across hospital units (Abd El Rahman et al., 2022; Mauro, 2022).

Paragraph 3

Isolation precautions are critical in interrupting the transmission of infectious agents between patients and staff. Healthcare facilities must provide appropriate isolation rooms, negative-pressure ventilation, and clear signage to guide visitors and personnel. Effective isolation policies depend on staff training and consistent resource availability. PSC enhances compliance by fostering a non-punitive approach where adherence is viewed as collective responsibility rather than individual obligation. By empowering staff to report breaches without fear, healthcare organizations identify gaps in isolation practices and rapidly implement corrective measures. Such transparency supports early containment of infections and protects vulnerable populations within hospitals (Khalid et al., 2021; Aklil et al., 2021).

Paragraph 4

Waste management plays a vital role in maintaining both patient and worker safety. Improper segregation or disposal of biomedical waste exposes healthcare staff to infectious materials and patients to environmental contamination. Institutions with robust PSC integrate waste management training into routine safety education. Color-coded disposal systems, proper labeling, and regular audits reinforce accountability. Furthermore, contracting certified waste-treatment services ensures that hazardous materials are handled according to international standards. These measures collectively reduce occupational injuries and environmental risks, promoting a sustainable safety culture (Kim & Gatling, 2019; Khalid et al., 2021).

Paragraph 5

Antimicrobial stewardship is another essential pillar of IPC, directly linking patient outcomes to workforce safety. Misuse of antibiotics contributes to resistant organisms that endanger both patients and providers. Through coordinated stewardship programs, healthcare teams monitor prescription practices, promote rational antibiotic use, and ensure adherence to evidence-based guidelines. PSC reinforces accountability by encouraging interdisciplinary collaboration among physicians, pharmacists, and infection-control specialists. Regular feedback on antimicrobial use not only curbs resistance but also improves diagnostic precision and reduces overall healthcare costs (Abd El Rahman et al., 2022; Mauro, 2022).

Paragraph 6

The synergy between occupational and patient safety lies in their shared determinants—communication, teamwork, and leadership commitment. When healthcare workers operate in safe environments with adequate staffing, resources, and support, patient care quality naturally improves. Conversely, poor working conditions contribute to fatigue, burnout, and errors that compromise patient safety. Organizations with integrated safety systems encourage continuous dialogue between staff and leadership, ensuring that both groups' concerns inform strategic decisions. This bidirectional relationship fosters trust, resilience, and shared accountability for outcomes (Yoon et al., 2020; Gawad, 2022).

Paragraph 7

Safety culture and teamwork models create powerful synergy between staff well-being and patient protection. Interdisciplinary collaboration allows for early detection of hazards and shared problem-solving. Daily safety briefings, cross-unit communication, and peer support networks enhance situational awareness. By valuing the contributions of every team member, organizations reduce hierarchy-driven communication barriers that often lead to adverse events. The resulting collaboration increases compliance with safety protocols and strengthens collective ownership of outcomes (Raeissi et al., 2019; Hiver & Al-Hoorie, 2020).

Paragraph 8

Education and training are pivotal in sustaining integrated safety strategies. Continuous professional development ensures that healthcare staff remain competent in infection control, emergency response, and risk management. Regular safety drills simulate critical incidents, enabling teams to practice coordinated responses without endangering patients. Competency-based curricula for nurses, physicians, and technicians reinforce the translation of theory into practice. When education is embedded in daily routines, it nurtures vigilance and adaptability—key traits of a mature safety culture (Ko & Kang, 2019; Eslamlou, Karatepe & Uner, 2021).

Paragraph 9

Ongoing staff training also strengthens error-prevention capabilities. Workshops focused on communication, stress management, and leadership improve teamwork and emotional intelligence. Non-punitive learning environments encourage open discussion of mistakes,

transforming them into valuable learning experiences. Organizations that allocate protected time and resources for safety education demonstrate stronger long-term performance. Well-trained staff exhibit greater confidence, respond more effectively to emergencies, and maintain high standards of patient care (Al-Turfi & Al-Jubouri, 2022; Faisal, 2022).

Paragraph 10

Patient education complements staff training by empowering individuals to participate actively in their own care. Clear explanations of procedures, informed consent, and medication counseling improve patient understanding and adherence. Involving patients in safety initiatives—such as identifying potential hazards or confirming their treatment plans—creates a partnership approach to care delivery. This collaboration enhances trust, reduces anxiety, and contributes to improved satisfaction and outcomes (Raeissi et al., 2019; Hiver & Al-Hoorie, 2020).

Paragraph 11

Policy and legislative frameworks underpin the institutionalization of safety across healthcare systems. National health authorities play a crucial role in developing regulations that mandate infection-control standards, staff protection policies, and accreditation requirements. These regulations establish uniform expectations for performance and accountability. Accreditation bodies reinforce compliance through regular inspections and benchmarking against best practices. By aligning organizational policies with legal frameworks, healthcare institutions ensure consistent, measurable, and enforceable safety standards (Ko & Kang, 2019; Eslamlou, Karatepe & Uner, 2021).

Paragraph 12

Institutional governance further strengthens integrated safety by embedding accountability into leadership structures. Hospital boards and senior executives must actively oversee safety performance, allocate resources for risk mitigation, and support transparent reporting systems. In organizations with strong PSC, governance is participatory rather than hierarchical, involving clinicians in decision-making. This inclusion increases commitment to compliance and promotes a sense of shared responsibility for both patient and staff safety (Al-Turfi & Al-Jubouri, 2022; Faisal, 2022).

Paragraph 13

Institutional support also extends to fostering staff morale and retention. Safety-focused organizations recognize that high employee satisfaction correlates with improved patient outcomes. Providing psychological support, fair workloads, and recognition programs reduces burnout and turnover. Retaining experienced professionals ensures continuity of care and preserves institutional expertise. The cumulative effect is a self-reinforcing cycle of trust, stability, and excellence that benefits patients and staff alike (Spilg et al., 2022; Crafter, Maunder & Soulsby, 2019).

Paragraph 14

In conclusion, integrated safety strategies represent a holistic framework connecting the well-being of patients and healthcare workers. Infection prevention, education, policy alignment, and organizational culture together create resilient healthcare systems capable of sustaining high-quality care. A strong PSC reinforces each of these components, reducing infections, mortality, and turnover while enhancing satisfaction and trust. Ultimately, healthcare organizations that protect their workforce also protect their patients—achieving the shared vision of safe, ethical, and sustainable healthcare delivery (Talebian et al., 2022; Abe & Chikoko, 2020).

CHAPTER 5. FUTURE DIRECTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Paragraph 1 — Setting the agenda

Future directions in patient and worker safety must confront persistent cultural barriers while preparing for fast-evolving clinical and operational risks. Resistance to change and fear of repercussions still suppress error reporting and stall learning cycles, obscuring system flaws that demand redesign (Durrah, Chaudhary & Gharib, 2019). Cultivating psychological safety through open dialogue and shared decision-making will be pivotal for any forward strategy, because transparency unlocks trend detection, timely feedback, and preventive action (Olatunji, Idemudia & Owoseni, 2020). As organizations face heavier caseloads, technology churn, and tighter finances, a proactive stance on culture becomes the keystone for resilience. Leaders should frame safety as a continuous, participatory enterprise rather than a compliance exercise, integrating structured communication, coaching, and rapid-cycle evaluation into everyday work.

Paragraph 2 — Emerging challenges overview

Four cross-cutting pressures will shape safety over the next decade: antimicrobial resistance (AMR), pandemic-scale surges, climate-linked disruptions, and digital fatigue. Each pressure heightens cognitive load and coordination demands, making change adoption harder when hierarchies and habits dominate (Jansen et al., 2020). Building buy-in requires early staff involvement, clear "why now" narratives, and visible pilots that demonstrate clinical wins (Molazem, Bagheri & Najafi Kalyani, 2022). Time scarcity compounds the problem—crowded shifts limit attention for reporting, huddles, or simulation unless workflows are simplified and protected time is created (Akinbadewa & Sofowora, 2020). Preparing for these threats means coupling cultural enablers (voice, learning, shared goals) with practical redesign of work to remove friction and make the safe action the default.

Paragraph 3 — AMR: a strategic test

AMR will continue to test stewardship, isolation practices, and diagnostic decision-making. Many facilities understand what to do but lack the consistent investment to do it reliably—particularly where budgets are constrained and competing priorities dominate (Mahmoud, 2019). Future strategies should prioritize cost-effective levers with high yield: standardized order sets, timely microbiology feedback, and interprofessional stewardship rounds that fit existing workflows. External financing or partnerships can bridge resource gaps and sustain core capacities like antibiograms and surveillance dashboards (Yurtseven & Dogan, 2019). As with any change, demonstrating quick, visible reductions in inappropriate prescribing helps overcome skepticism, while transparent reporting keeps teams engaged and accountable for results.

Paragraph 4 — Pandemics and surge capacity

Pandemic readiness requires staffing models that prevent exhaustion while preserving service quality. Chronic shortages, heavy workloads, and fatigue elevate error risks and compress time for safety routines; pandemic surges magnify each of these factors (Ferri et al., 2020). Sustainable plans therefore blend baseline headcount improvements, surge rosters, and skill-mix optimization with supportive supervision and rapid upskilling pathways (Abd El-Salam, Metwally & Abdeen, 2022). Short tactical fixes—like agency staffing—can stabilize acute gaps, but long-term resilience depends on retention, fair scheduling, and psychological support. Regular cross-functional drills should stress-test escalation protocols, communication channels, and just-in-time training so that safety behaviors remain intact under pressure.

Paragraph 5 — Climate-related health threats

Heatwaves, wildfires, vector shifts, and extreme weather will stress facilities, supply chains, and clinical operations. In these conditions, miscommunication and role ambiguity rapidly degrade safety. Standardizing information exchange (e.g., SBAR) during environmental incidents and ensuring multidisciplinary debriefs can close gaps across units (Mostafa et al., 2021). Teams that cultivate mutual respect and shared mental models collaborate more

effectively under novel constraints, accelerating hazard identification and local problem-solving (Razmerita et al., 2020). Preparedness should include climate-scenario tabletop exercises, backup communications, and clear triage and evacuation triggers embedded in daily practice—not just plans on paper.

Paragraph 6 — Digital fatigue and sociotechnical safety

Digital tools improve visibility but can overwhelm clinicians when alerts are noisy or interfaces are clumsy. Future safety programs must treat EHRs as sociotechnical systems: optimize decision support, prune low-value alerts, and align screens with clinical flow (Sengul & Seyfi, 2020). Usability training, co-design with frontline staff, and iterative configuration reduce cognitive overload and error-prone workarounds (Vasconcelos et al., 2019). Leaders should monitor "signal-to-noise" metrics and establish feedback loops so users see that their input leads to tangible EHR improvements, thereby reducing digital fatigue while preserving the protective value of technology.

Paragraph 7 — Research gaps: exposure and outcomes surveillance

Safety science still needs tighter coupling between culture measures, exposure data, and clinical outcomes. Programs should develop balanced scorecards that marry incident/near-miss trends with outcome-oriented KPIs to steer resources where risk is highest (Fortes et al., 2022). Selecting valid, meaningful indicators—and tracking them over time—supports targeted interventions and credible evaluation (Sein Myint, Kunaviktikul & Stark, 2021). Routine culture assessments (e.g., HSOPSC/related tools) remain useful to surface blind spots, but future work must integrate these datasets into continuous learning systems that trigger timely corrective action (Svartdal et al., 2020).

Paragraph 8 — Innovation need: AI-driven risk prediction

Predictive analytics can shift safety from reactive investigation to proactive prevention—flagging early deterioration, medication mis-matches, or workflow bottlenecks. Implementations should prioritize clinically interpretable models embedded within existing pathways, with clear response playbooks and owner roles (Huang et al., 2020). Co-development among data scientists, clinicians, and IT reduces "model-performance surprises" and ensures alerts are actionable at the bedside (Twidwell, Dial & Fehr, 2022). Governance should monitor equity, drift, and alert burden so AI augments—not erodes—trust and attention.

Paragraph 9 — Telehealth safety

Telehealth expands access but introduces risks around identity verification, consent, data privacy, and clinical escalation. Future safety frameworks should standardize remote communication, documentation, and warm-handoff protocols across teams and settings (Gillet et al., 2021). Collaboration platforms integrated with records can shorten response times, surface critical results, and maintain a shared plan of care, provided teams receive training and norms for timely acknowledgment (Balducci, Avanzi & Fraccaroli, 2020). Simulation of virtual scenarios (e.g., rapid deterioration on video) will help teams practice escalation and contingency planning.

Paragraph 10 — Recommendation: leadership for sustainability

Enduring safety culture depends on leaders who model just culture, prioritize learning over blame, and keep safety visible in every forum. Executives should round regularly, close feedback loops on reports, and resource improvements—not merely request them (Badawy, 2021). Sponsoring multidisciplinary safety councils and empowering frontline champions strengthen shared ownership and credibility (Yu, Guan & Zhang, 2019). Leadership messaging must consistently signal that safety supersedes convenience and pace, especially during operational stress.

Paragraph 11 — Recommendation: interprofessional collaboration

Safety improves when teams train together, decide together, and debrief together. Interdisciplinary simulations and team-training consolidate role clarity and coordination for high-stakes events, reducing hesitation and handoff failures (Fentaw, Moges & Ismail, 2022). Structured communication frameworks (e.g., SBAR) embedded across services build shared language and efficient information flow (Parizad et al., 2021). Regular cross-unit huddles align priorities, expose bottlenecks early, and normalize speaking up regardless of hierarchy.

Paragraph 12 — Recommendation: patient empowerment

Patients and families are critical safety partners. Building easy, blame-free feedback channels and closing the loop on reported concerns foster trust and shared vigilance (King, 2021). Timely, transparent updates on actions taken signal respect and drive continued engagement (Mahran, Abd Al & Saleh, 2022). Practical tools—teach-back for medications, escalation cards, and clear remote-care instructions—equip patients to spot risks and act early, reinforcing system defenses between encounters.

Paragraph 13 — Recommendation: learning infrastructure

Transitioning from punitive reactions to just culture requires policy, training, and practice. Organizations should embed non-punitive reporting, fair accountability standards, and regular learning reviews to convert incidents into improvements (Çingöl et al., 2020). "Just culture" workshops and unit-level coaching help staff internalize the difference between human error, at-risk behavior, and reckless conduct (Pålsson et al., 2022). Continuous education—onboarding plus refresher modules—keeps safety skills current and widely distributed (Faisal, Naushad & Faridi, 2020; Nanjundeswaraswamy, 2021).

Paragraph 14 — Conclusion: interdependent pillars

The path forward is clear: meet emerging hazards with adaptive culture, credible measurement, and pragmatic innovation. Safety that protects patients invariably protects workers—adequate staffing, humane schedules, usable tech, and open dialogue reduce harm for both groups. Senior leaders must champion safety values in word and budget, while teams practice disciplined communication and shared problem-solving. When safety is owned by all, organizations become resilient, learning systems capable of anticipating risk and recovering quickly. Patient safety and worker protection are not parallel goals but **interdependent pillars** of quality healthcare (Canu, 2023; Vikstrom & Johansson, 2019).

References

1. Abd El Rahman, S., Ali, H., Ali, R., Mohamed, A. (2022): Effect of Organizational Cynicism on Quality of Work Life and Employee Effectiveness among Nursing Staff. Minia Scientific Nursing Journal. 11 (1), 2785-9797.
2. Abd El-Salam, A. I., Metwally, F. G., & Abdeen, M. A. (2022):Academic Procrastination and Self-control of Faculty Nursing Students. Zagazig Nursing Journal, 18(2), 15-29.
3. Abdillah, H. Z., Rahman, F., Husna, M., Sitinjak, C., Hidayah, N., & Mujidin, M. (2022): School well-being in terms of self-determination and patience in vocational high school students. International Journal of Islamic Educational Psychology, 3(1), 19-34 .
4. Abe, E. N., & Chikoko, V. (2020): Exploring the factors that influence the career decision of STEM students at a university in South Africa. International Journal of STEM Education, 7(1), 1-14.
5. Adel, ¨ E., Lofmark, ¨ A., Pålsson, Y., Mårtensson, G., Engstrom, ¨ M., Lindberg, M., 2021: Health-promoting and impeding aspects of using peer-learning during clinical practice education: a qualitative study. Nurse Educ. Pract. 55, 103169.
6. Afota, M. C., Robert, V., & Vandenberghe, C. (2021): The interactive effect of leader-member exchange and psychological climate for overwork on subordinate workaholism and job strain. European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology, 30(4), 495-509.

7. Akinbadewa, B. O., & Sofowora, O. A. (2020): The effectiveness of multimedia instructional learning packages in enhancing secondary school students' attitudes toward Biology. International Journal on Studies in Education, 2(2), 119-133.
8. Aklil, M., Perizade, B., Hanafi, A., & Bemby, B. (2021): The Effect of Resonant Leadership on Work Engagement through Ethnic Culture in Pangkalpinang City Civil Servants. Italienisch, 11(2), 358-371.
9. Al-Turfi, M. K. & Al-Jubouri, M. B.(2022): "Effect of moral distress on decision making among nurses in intensive care units,"Pakistan Journal of Medical and Health Sciences., vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 915–918.
10. Badawy, A.A. (2021): Relationship between organizational justice and work engagement among staff nurses, unpublished master thesis, Faculty of Nursing, Ain Shams University .
11. Balducci, C., Avanzi, L., & Fraccaroli, F. (2020): The individual "costs" of workaholism: An analysis based on multisource and prospective data. Journal of Management, 44(7), 2961-2986 .
12. • Baris, V. K., Intepeler, S. S., & Unal, A. (2023): Development and psychometric validation of the Sickness Sickness presenteeism Scale-Nurse. International Journal of Nursing Practice, e13168.
13. Brown, M., Kraimer, M. L., & Bratton, V. K. (2019): The influence of employee performance appraisal cynicism on intent to quit and sportsmanship. Personnel Review.
14. Canu, Z. (2023): The Relationship Between Family-Work Conflict and Work-Family Conflict Among Special Education Teachers. Jurnal Multidisiplin Madani, 3(4), 811-816 .
15. Chang, Y., et al. (2020): Work Ability and Quality of Life in Patients with Work- Related Musculoskeletal Disorders. P.p.20-40.
16. Cherkasov, A., Bratanovskii, S. N., Koroleva, L. A., & Zimovets, L. G. (2019): Development of the School Education System in the Province of Vologda (1725-1917). Part 2. European Journal of Contemporary Education, 8(2), 418-424.
17. Cinar, E. (2019): The effect of person-organization fit on the organizational identification: The mediating role of organizational attractiveness. Eurasian Journal of Business and Management, 7(1), 74-84.
18. Çingöl N., Karakaş M., Zengin S., and Çelebi E. (2020): The effect of psychiatric nursing students' internships on their beliefs about and attitudes toward mental health problems; a single-group experimental study. Nurse Educ Today. 2020;84:104243 .
19. Clark, M. A., Smith, R. W., & Haynes, N. J. (2020): The Multidimensional Workaholism Scale: Linking the conceptualization and measurement of workaholism. Journal of Applied Psychology, 105(11), 1281 .
20. Crafter, S., Maunder, R., & Soulsby, L. (2019): Developmental transitions: Exploring stability and change through the lifespan. Routledge.
21. Darling-Hammond L., Flook L., Cook-Harvey C., Barron B., and Osher D. (2020): Implications for educational practice of the science of learning and development. Applied Developmental Science, 24(2), 97–140 .
22. Dedahanov. A.T, Bozorov. F and Sung S. (2019): Paternalistic Leadership and Innovative Behavior: Psychological Empowerment as a Mediator. Sustainability. 11(6) 1-14.
23. Durrah, O., Chaudhary, M., & Gharib, M. (2019): Organizational cynicism and its impact on organizational pride in industrial organizations. International journal of environmental research and public health, 16(7), 1203.

24. Echebiri, C., Amundsen, S., & Engen, M. (2020): Linking Structural Empowerment to Employee-Driven Innovation: the Mediating Role of Psychological Empowerment. MDPI, 10(42.(
25. Eliyana, A., Rohmatul, S., Rohmatul, S., Sridadi, A. R., Razaq, A., & Gunawan, D. R. (2020): The role of motivation on attitudes and entrepreneur achievement. Systematic Reviews in Pharmacy, 11(8), 335-343.
26. • Eslamlou, A., Karatepe, O. M., & Uner, M. M. (2021): Does job Embeddedness mediate the effect of resilience on cabin attendants' career satisfaction and creative performance? Sustainability, 13(9), 5104 .
27. Even, A. (2020): The Evolution of Work: Best Practices for Avoiding Social and Organizational Isolation in Telework Employees.SSRN2020.
28. Faisal .S, .(2022): Job embeddedness and its connection with person organization fit among Saudi Arabian employees. Problems and Perspectives in Management, 20(2), 348-360. doi:10.21511/ppm.20(2).2022.29.
29. • Faisal, S., Naushad, M., & Faridi, M. (2020): A study on the level and relationship of job embeddedness and turnover intentions among Saudi Arabian working- class. Management Science Letters, 10(13), 3167- 3172. https://doi.org/10.5267/j. msl.2020.5.005
30. Fentaw, Y., Moges, B. T., & Ismail, S. M. (2022): Academic procrastination behavior among public university students. Education Research International, 2022.
31. Fernández-Salinero, S.& Topa, G. (2020): Intergroup Discrimination as a Predictor of Conflict within the Same Organization. The Role of Organizational Identity. Eur. J. Invest.Health Psychol. Educ. 2020, 10, 1.
32. Ferri, P., Stifani, S., Morotti, E., Nuvoletta, M., Bonetti. L., Rovesti, S., Cutino, A.,&Di Lorenzo,R.(2020): Perceptions of Caring Behavior Among Undergraduate Nursing Students:A Three-Cohort Observational Study. Psychology research and behavior management, 13, 1311-1322.
33. Fortes, K., Latham, C.L., Vaughn, S., Preston, K., 2022: The influence of social determinants of education on nursing student persistence and professional values. J. Prof. Nurs. 39, 41–53.
34. Gawad, S.A., (2022): Work Place Incivility and its Effect on Quality of Work Life among Staff Nurses. Egyptian Journal of Health Care, 13(3), 809-821. doi: 10.21608/ejhc.2022.255400 .
35. Ghafouri R, Bajestani S.l, Nasiri M, Ohnishi K and Foroozan A.S (2022): Psychometrics of the moral distress scale in Iranian mental health nurses. BMC Nursing (2021) 20:166 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-021-00674-4
36. Gillet, N., Austin, S., Fernet, C., Sandrin, E., Lorho, F., Brault, S., ... & Aubouin Bonnaventure, J. (2021): Workaholism, presenteeism, work–family conflicts and personal and work outcomes: Testing a moderated mediation model. Journal of Clinical Nursing, 30(19-20), 2842-2853 .
37. Gupta, M., Shaheen, M., & Das, M. (2019): Engaging employees for quality of life: mediation by psychological capital. The Service Industries Journal, 39(5-6), 403-419.
38. Hiver, P., & Al-Hoorie, A. H. (2020): Reexamining the role of vision in second language motivation: A preregistered conceptual replication of You, Dörnyei, and Csizér (2016). Language Learning, 70(1), 48-102. Malinauskas, R. K., & Pozeriene, J. (2020). Academic motivation among traditional and online university students. European journal of contemporary education, 9(3), 584-591

39. Holland, K. (2019): Does the Market Subvert Health Care Reform? An Examination of Recent Attempts to Cut Costs and increase Accessibility to Health Care by Harnessing the Market (Doctoral dissertation, State University of New York at
40. Stony Brook.
41. Huang., et al.(2020): Self-reported confidence in patient safety competencies among Chinese nursingstudents: a multi-site cross-sectional survey. BMC Medical Education (2020) 20:32.
42. Ismail, E.(2021): The relationship between Ethical work climate and Organizational commitment among staff nurses p7.
43. Jalili, M., Niroomand, M., Hadavand, F., Zeinali, K., & Fotouhi, A. (2021) : Burnout among healthcare professionals during COVID-19 pandemic: a cross-sectional study. International Archives of occupational and Environmental Health, 1-8.
44. Jansen, T. L. Hem, M. H. Dambolt, L. J. and Hanssen, I. .(2020): "Moral distress in acute psychiatric nursing: multifaceted dilemmas and demands," Nursing ethics., vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 1315–1326.
45. Jerg-Bretzke, L., Limbrecht-Ecklundt, K., Walter, S., Spohrs, J., & Beschoner, P. (2020): Correlations of the "Work–Family Conflict" with occupational stress—a cross-sectional study among university employees. Frontiers in psychiatry, 11, 134 .
46. Jiang, Z., Hu, X., Wang, Z., & Jiang, X. (2019): Knowledge hiding as a barrier to thriving: The mediating role of psychological safety and moderating role of organizational cynicism. Journal of Organizational Behavior, 40(7), 800-818.
47. Kachaturoff, M., Caboral-Stevens, M., Gee, M., Lan, V.M., 2020: Effects of peermentoring on stress and anxiety levels of undergraduate nursing students: an integrative review. J. Prof. Nurs. 36, 223–228 .
48. Khalid, U., Mushtaq, T., Khan, A. Z., & Mahmood, F. (2021): Probing the impact of transformational leadership on job embeddedness: the moderating role of job characteristics. Management Research Review, 44(8), 1139-1156 .
49. • Khosravi, M., Ghiasi, Z., & Ganjali, A. (2021): Burnout in hospital medical staff during the COVID-19 pandemic: Diagnosis, treatment, and prevention. Journal of Natural Remedies, 21(12 (1)), 3644.
50. Kim, A.Y., Sim, I.O., 2020: Communication skills, problem-solving ability, understanding of patients' conditions, and nurse's perception of professionalism among clinical nurses: a structural equation model analysis. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 17, 4896 .
51. Kim, J., & Gatling, A. (2019): Impact of employees' job, organizational and technology fit on engagement and organizational citizenship behavior. Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Technology.
52. Kim, S.C., Jillapali, R., Boyd, S., 2021: Impacts of peer tutoring on academic performance of first-year baccalaureate nursing students: a quasi-experimental study. Nurse Educ. Today 96, 104658 .
53. King, J. L. (2021): Research review: work-family/family-work conflict. International Journal of Leadership Studies, 1(1), 102-105 .
54. Ko, W., & Kang, H. (2019): Effect of leadership style and organizational climate on employees' food safety and hygiene behaviors in the institutional food service of schools. Food Science & Nutrition published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc.; 7 (6): 2131-2143.
55. Lee, S.E., Lee, M.H., Peters, A.B. and Gwon, S.H., (2020): Assessment of Patient Safety and Cultural Competencies among Senior Baccalaureate Nursing Students. nt. J. Environ. Res. Public Health,17, 4225.

56. Liu, F., Chow, I. H.-S., Zhang, J.-C., & Huang, M. (2019): Organizational innovation climate and individual innovative behavior: exploring the moderating effects of psychological ownership and psychological empowerment. Rev. Manag. Sci. 13, 771–789.
57. Macedo, L.L., Silva,A.M.R., Silva, J.F., Mdcfl, H., &Girotto, E. (2020): The cultureregarding the safety of the patient in primary health care: distinctionsamong professional categories. Trab Educ Saúde Rio de Janeiro. 2020;18(1.(
58. Mahmoud, S.R., 2019: Nursing students' attitudes toward nursing profession and its relation to study adjustment. Int. J. Nurs. Didact. 9 (7), 9–16.
59. Mahran, H. M., Abd Al, M. A. A. H., & Saleh, N. M. (2022): Relationship between ethical leadership and workaholism among nursing supervisors as perceived by staff nurses. Egyptian Nursing Journal, 19(2), 79.
60. Mauro, L. B. (2022): Exploring Moral Distress, Ethical Climate, and Psychological Empowerment among New Registered Nurses (Doctoral dissertation, Walden University
61. Moghadari-Koosha, M., Moghadasi-Amiri, M., Cheraghi, F., Mozafari, H., Imani, B., & Zandieh, M. (2020): Self-efficacy, self-regulated learning, and motivation as factors influencing academic achievement among paramedical students: A correlation study. Journal of allied health, 49(3), 145E-152E.
62. Molazem, Z., Bagheri, L., & Najafi Kalyani, M. (2022): Evaluation of the Moral Distress Intensity and Its Relationship with the Quality of Work Life among Nurses Working in Oncology Wards in Shiraz, Southwest of Iran. BioMed Research International, 2022.
63. • Mostafa, B. A., El-Borsaly, A. A. E., Hafez, E. A. E., & Hassan, S. A. (2021):The Mediating Effect of Person-Organization Value Fit on the Relationship Between University branding and Academic Staff Citizenship Behavior. Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies, 10(1), 313-313.
64. Nanjundeswaraswamy T. (2021): Nurses quality of work life: scale
65. development and validation. Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences, DOI 10.1108/JEAS-09-2020-0154 .
66. Newman, A., Round, H., Wang, S. L., & Mount, M. (2020): Innovation climate: a systematic review of the literature and agenda for future research. J. Occup. Organ. Psychol. 93, 73–109.
67. Nomany , N.F. (2022): Perceived Nursing Supervisor Support and Its Influence on Job Embeddedness among Staff Nurses, un published master thesis, faculty of nursing, Ain Shams University, p 148- 150.
68. Nyanyiwa, S., Peters, K., & Murphy, G. (2022):A scoping review: Treatment attitudes and adherence for adults with schizophrenia. Journal of clinical nursing.
69. Ohnishi, K., Kitaoka, K., Nakahara, J., Välimäki, M., Kontio, R., & Anttila, M.(2019): Impact of moral sensitivity on moral distress among psychiatric nurses. Nursing ethics, 26(5), 1473-1483.
70. Olatunji, O. A., Idemudia, E. S., & Owoseni, O. O. (2020):Investigating the role of emotional intelligence and role conflict on job burnout among special education teachers. Journal of Intellectual Disability–Diagnosis and Treatment, 9(1), 128-136 .
71. Pålsson, Y., Engstrom, ¨ M., Swenne, C.L., Mårtensson, G., 2022: A peer learning intervention in workplace introduction-managers' and new graduates' perspectives. BMC Nurs. 21 (12), 1–13 .
72. Parizad, N., Lopez, V., Jasemi, M., Gharaaghaji Asl, R., Taylor, A., &Taghinejad, R. (2021): Job stress and its relationship with nurses' autonomy and nurse–physician collaboration in intensive care unit. Journal of Nursing Management. 22-24.

73. Querstret, D., O'Brien, K., Skene, D. J., & Maben, J. (2020): Improving fatigue risk management in healthcare: A systematic scoping review of sleep-related/fatigue-management interventions for nurses and midwives. International journal of nursing
74. studies, 106, 103513.
75. Raeissi, P., Rajabi, M. R., Ahmadizadeh, E., Rajabkhah, K., &Kakemam, E. (2019): Quality of work life and factors associated with it among nurses in public hospitals, Iran. Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association, 94(1), 1-8
76. Ramírez Molina, R. J., del Valle Marcano, M., Ramírez Molina, R. I., Lay Raby, N. D., & Herrera Tapias, B. A. (2019): Relationship Between social intelligence and resonant leadership in public health Institutions.
77. Ramos, F. R.. Barth, P. O Brehmer, L. C.. Dalmolin, G. D fargas, M. A. and Schneider, D. G .(2020):"Intensity and frequency of moral distress in Brazilian nurses," Revista da Escola de Enfermagem da USP., vol. 54.
78. Razmerita, L., Kirchner, K., Hockerts, K., & Tan, C. W. (2020): Modeling collaborative intentions and behavior in Digital Environments: The case of a Massive Open Online Course (MOOC). Academy of Management Learning & Education, 19(4), 469-502.
79. Reynolds, P. O. F., Dias, B. M., Flores, C. A. D. S., Balsanelli, A. P., Gabriel, C. S., & Bernardes, A. (2022): Resonant leadership practices of nurse managers in the hospital setting: a cross-sectional study. Texto & Contexto-Enfermagem, 31 .
80. Segev, E. (2019): "Volume and control: the transition from information to power". Journal of Multicultural Discourses. 14 (3): 240–257. doi:10.1080/17447143.2019.1662028. ISSN 1744-7143.
81. Sein Myint, N. N., Kunaviktikul, W., & Stark, A. (2021): A contemporary understanding of organizational climate in healthcare setting: A concept analysis. Nursing Forum, 56(1), 172–180.
82. Sengul, M., & Seyfi, R. O. (2020): Investigation of the relationship between academic procrastination behaviours and academic selfefficacy of Turkish language teacher candidates. Cumhuriyet International Journal of Education, 9(3), 755-773 .
83. Sheta, S. S., & Hammouda, M. A. (2022): Risk for Workaholism among Working Physicians of Zagazig University Hospitals: A Massage for Achieving Productive Work and Balanced Life. The Egyptian Journal of Hospital Medicine, 89(1), 4402-4409 .
84. Shin, J., & Shin, H. (2020): Impact of job insecurity on hotel workers' workaholism and work–family conflict in korea. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 17(21), 7783 .
85. Siyal, S., Xin, C., Peng, X., Siyal, A. W., & Ahmed, W. (2020): role of person–organization fit mechanism. Sage Open, 10(3), 2158244020947424.
86. Spagnoli, P., Haynes, N. J., Kovalchuk, L. S., Clark, M. A., Buono, C., & Balducci, C. (2020): Workload, workaholism, and job performance: Uncovering their complex relationship. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 17(18), 6536 .
87. Spilg, E. G., Rushton, C. H., Phillips, J. L., Kendzerska, T., Saad, M., Gifford, W., Gautam, M., Bhatla, R., Edwards, J. D., Quilty, L., Leveille, C., & Robillard, R. (2022): The new frontline: Exploring the links between moral distress, moral resilience and mental health in healthcare workers during the COVID-19 pandemic. BMC Psychiatry, 22(1), 19-19. https://psycnet. apa.org/doi/10.1186/s12888- 021-03637-w
88. Svartdal, F., Klingsieck, K. B., Steel, P., & Gamst-Klaussen, T. (2020): Measuring implemental delay in procrastination: Separating onset and sustained goal striving. Personality and Individual Differences, 156, 109762.

89. Syahrina, I. A., & Mutya, M. T. (2023): Academic Self-Efficacy and Academic Procrastination: The Mediating Role of Academic Motivation. In International Conference of Psychology (Vol. 2, No. 1, pp. 122-129.(
90. Tajalli, S. Rostamli, S. Dezvaree, N. Shariat, M.and Kadivar, M..(2021): "Moral distress among Iranian neonatal intensive care units'health care providers: a multi-center cross sectional study,"Journal of Medical Ethics and History of Medicine., p. 14.

91. Talebian, F., Hesamzadeh, A., Hosseinnataj, A., & AzimiLolaty, H. (2022): Relationship between academic procrastination and perceived competence, self-esteem and general self-efficacy of nursing students. Journal of Nursing and Midwifery Sciences, 9(4), 310-316.
92. Twidwell, J., Dial, D., Fehr, C., 2022: Gender, career choice confidence, and perceived faculty support in baccalaureate nursing students. J. Prof. Nurs. 39, 96–100 .
93. Uwannah, N.C., Onyekachi, C.N., & Filade, B.A. (2021): Hardiness, Supervisor Support and Work Engagement: Empirical Evidence from Tertiary Institutions in Ogun State, Nigeria. American Journal of Applied Psychology, 9(1), 8-14.
94. Vasconcelos PF, de Freitas CHA, Jorge MSB, et al. (2019): Safety attributes in primarycare: understanding the needs of patients, health professionals, andmanagers. Public Health. 2019;171:31–40.
95. Vikstrom, ¨ S., Johansson, K., 2019: Professional pride: a qualitative descriptive study of nursing home staff's experiences of how a quality development project influenced their work. J. Clin. Nurs. 28, 2760–2768.
96. World Alliance for Patient Safety. (2021): WHO cubiculum guide for medical schools addressed to WHO Press, at the above address (fax: +41 22 791 4806.(
97. Xing, L., Sun, J.M. and Jepsen, D. (2021): "Feeling shame in the workplace: examining negative feedback as an antecedent and performance and well-being as consequences", Journal of Organizational Behavior, (42).9.1244-1260.
98. Yoon, S. K., Kim, J. H., Park, J. E., Kim, C. J., & Song, J. H. (2020): Creativity and knowledge creation: the moderated mediating effect of perceived organizational support on psychological ownership. Eur. J. Train. Dev. 44, 743–760.
99. Yu, H., Guan, X. & Zhang, X. (2019): Paternalistic Leadership Creates Work Performance, Servant Leadership Delivers Job Satisfaction: Integration of Two Types of Leadership Behaviors. Science of Science and Management of S. & T., 35 (06), 172-180.
100. Yun, L. (2019): The relation between academic motivation and academic procrastination among university students. Faculty Of Social Science And Humanities Tunku Abdul Rahman University College Kuala Lumpur.
101. Yun, M. R., Lim, E. J., Yu, B., & Choi, S. (2020): Effects of Academic Motivation on Clinical Practice-Related Post-Traumatic Growth among Nursing Students in South Korea: Mediating Effect of Resilience. International journal of environmental research and public health, 17(13), 4901 .
102. Yuniati, R., & Sitinjak, C. (2022): Upward Comparison at the Workplace: A Review. East Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Research, 1(7), 1377-1394 .
103. Yurtseven, N., & Dogan, S. (2019): Structural Relationships among Academic Procrastination, Academic Motivation, and Problem-Solving Skill in Prep Class College Students. Pegem Journal of Education and Instruction, 9(3), 849-876.
104. Zarrin, S. A., Gracia, E., & Paixão, M. P. (2020): Prediction of academic procrastination by fear of failure and self-regulation. Educational Sciences: Theory & Practice, 20(3), 34-43.

105. Zurman C., Hoffmann H. O., and Ruff-Stahl H. K. (2019): Difference in attitudes toward Crew Resource Management based on nationality. International Journal of Aviation, Aeronautics, and Aerospace, 6(4.(
106. Zwedberg, S., Alnervik, M., Barimani, M., 2021: Student midwives' perception of peer learning during their clinical practice in an obstetric unit: a qualitative study. Nurse Educ. Today 99, 104785.