

Enhancing Maternal and Pediatric Care Through Interprofessional Collaboration: A Review of Health Assistant and Clinical Support Roles in Specialized Saudi Hospitals

Fawaz Majed Ali Al-Mutairi¹, Falah Fawaz Falah Almutairi², Jaber Ahmad Mohsin Alshammari³, Awwad Obid Alshammari⁴, Khalid Salfag Fadan Aldhafeeri⁴, Mathwd Fenaitl D Alshammari⁵, Maslet F. Alshammari⁶

¹Health Assistant, Maternity & Children Hospital, Hafr Al-Batin Health Cluster

²Health Assistant, Hafr Al-Batin Central Hospital, Hafr Al-Batin Health Cluster

³Laboratory Technician, Hafr Al-Batin Health Cluster

⁴Pharmacy Technician, Hafr Al-Batin Health Cluster

⁵Health Informatics Technician, Hafr Al-Batin Health Cluster

⁶Health & Hospital Administration, Hafr Al-Batin Health Cluster

Abstract

Interprofessional collaboration has emerged as a critical framework for improving maternal and pediatric healthcare outcomes in contemporary hospital systems. This review examines the roles of health assistants and clinical support staff within specialized Saudi Arabian hospitals, exploring how collaborative practice models enhance care delivery, patient safety, and clinical efficiency. Through systematic analysis of peer-reviewed literature and examination of role delineation within multidisciplinary teams, this study identifies key mechanisms through which laboratory technicians, pharmacy technicians, health informatics specialists, and administrative personnel contribute to maternal-child health services. Findings reveal that effective interprofessional collaboration requires structured communication protocols, clearly defined role boundaries, mutual respect among team members, and institutional support systems. Barriers to collaboration include hierarchical organizational cultures, inadequate training in teamwork competencies, and insufficient recognition of support staff contributions. The Saudi healthcare context presents unique opportunities for implementing collaborative frameworks within rapidly modernizing hospital infrastructures. This review demonstrates that systematic integration of clinical support roles into maternal-pediatric care teams correlates with reduced medication errors, improved laboratory turnaround times, enhanced health information management, and more efficient resource allocation. Recommendations emphasize the necessity of formal interprofessional education, standardized competency frameworks, and policy reforms recognizing the essential contributions of health assistants and clinical support professionals.

Keywords: interprofessional collaboration, maternal health, pediatric care, health assistants, clinical support staff

INTRODUCTION

The complexity of modern maternal and pediatric healthcare necessitates coordinated efforts among diverse professional groups working within hospital environments. Traditional hierarchical models emphasizing physician-centric decision-making have increasingly given way to collaborative frameworks recognizing the contributions of nurses, allied health

professionals, and clinical support staff (Reeves et al., 2017). Within specialized maternal and pediatric hospitals, the integration of health assistants, laboratory technicians, pharmacy technicians, health informatics specialists, and administrative personnel represents a fundamental shift toward team-based care delivery models that distribute responsibilities according to competency rather than professional status alone (World Health Organization, 2010).

Saudi Arabia's healthcare system has undergone substantial transformation over the past two decades, characterized by significant infrastructure investment, workforce expansion, and adoption of international quality standards (Almalki et al., 2011). The Kingdom's Vision 2030 initiative emphasizes healthcare quality improvement and patient safety enhancement, creating policy environments conducive to interprofessional practice implementation (Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, 2016). Maternal and child health services represent priority areas within national health strategies, given their importance for population health outcomes and their complexity requiring coordinated multidisciplinary input (Al-Hanawi et al., 2019).

Despite growing recognition of interprofessional collaboration's importance, substantial gaps exist in understanding how clinical support roles specifically contribute to maternal-pediatric care quality within Middle Eastern healthcare contexts. While international literature extensively documents physician-nurse collaboration, considerably less attention has focused on the contributions of laboratory technicians, pharmacy technicians, health informatics specialists, and health assistants within specialized maternal-child health settings (Matziou et al., 2014). Furthermore, cultural and organizational factors unique to Saudi Arabian hospitals may influence collaborative practice patterns in ways not captured by Western-based research frameworks.

Health assistants occupy critical positions within Saudi hospital systems, performing diverse responsibilities ranging from patient care assistance to administrative coordination and clinical documentation support (Alshahrani & Baig, 2016). Laboratory technicians ensure timely diagnostic information availability essential for maternal and neonatal clinical decision-making. Pharmacy technicians contribute to medication safety systems particularly important given the vulnerability of pediatric and obstetric populations to adverse drug events. Health informatics specialists maintain electronic health record systems that facilitate information sharing across professional boundaries, while administrative personnel coordinate scheduling, resource allocation, and inter-departmental communication (Altuwaijri, 2008).

Understanding how these diverse professional roles interact within maternal-pediatric care environments holds significant implications for quality improvement initiatives, workforce development strategies, and organizational design. Effective collaboration requires not merely co-location of different professionals but rather structured interaction patterns, shared mental models regarding patient care goals, and organizational systems supporting cross-professional communication (D'Amour et al., 2005). The extent to which Saudi specialized hospitals have developed such systems remains incompletely documented.

This review systematically examines existing literature regarding interprofessional collaboration in maternal and pediatric hospital settings, with particular emphasis on health assistant and clinical support staff contributions. The review addresses three primary questions: What roles do health assistants and clinical support professionals perform within maternal-pediatric care teams? What evidence exists regarding the impact of their contributions on care quality and patient outcomes? What organizational factors facilitate or impede effective interprofessional collaboration involving these professional groups?

LITERATURE REVIEW

Theoretical Foundations of Interprofessional Collaboration

Interprofessional collaboration in healthcare has been conceptualized through multiple theoretical lenses. Donabedian's structure-process-outcome framework provides foundational understanding of how organizational structures enable collaborative processes that subsequently influence patient outcomes (Donabedian, 1988). Within this framework, structural elements including staffing patterns, physical layouts, communication technologies, and administrative policies create conditions enabling or constraining collaborative interactions among team members.

Social identity theory offers insight into professional boundary dynamics affecting collaboration. Tajfel and Turner's work suggests that individuals derive identity partly from professional group membership, potentially creating in-group favoritism and out-group discrimination that impedes cross-professional collaboration (Tajfel & Turner, 1979). Healthcare environments characterized by rigid professional hierarchies may intensify such dynamics, particularly affecting lower-status support staff whose contributions receive insufficient recognition (Lingard et al., 2012).

Organizational learning theory emphasizes collaboration as a dynamic capability developed through repeated interaction, feedback mechanisms, and knowledge sharing across professional boundaries (Edmondson, 2012). High-performing teams develop shared mental models enabling coordinated action even under time pressure and uncertainty, characteristics particularly relevant to acute maternal-pediatric care situations. Development of such capabilities requires deliberate organizational investment in interprofessional education, structured communication protocols, and psychological safety enabling team members to voice concerns regardless of professional status.

Evidence Regarding Interprofessional Collaboration Outcomes

Substantial evidence supports associations between interprofessional collaboration and improved patient outcomes across multiple healthcare contexts. A systematic review by Zwarenstein et al. (2009) examining interprofessional collaboration interventions found modest but consistent improvements in patient care processes and outcomes. Rosen et al. (2018) demonstrated through meta-analysis that team training interventions in healthcare settings significantly improved both teamwork processes and clinical performance measures. Within maternal and obstetric care specifically, Nielsen et al. (2007) documented that structured teamwork training including physicians, nurses, and support staff reduced adverse events in labor and delivery units. Siassakos et al. (2009) found that multiprofessional simulation-based team training improved technical and non-technical skills relevant to obstetric emergencies. These studies consistently emphasize the importance of including all team members, regardless of professional status, in collaborative training and practice.

Pediatric care literature similarly demonstrates collaboration benefits. Cooley et al. (2009) showed that family-centered rounds involving physicians, nurses, social workers, and other team members improved family satisfaction and communication quality. Manser (2009) reviewed teamwork in acute pediatric settings, identifying communication patterns and role clarity as critical factors distinguishing high-performing from low-performing teams.

However, much existing research focuses predominantly on physician-nurse collaboration, with considerably less attention to clinical support staff roles. Where support staff are mentioned, they often appear as peripheral team members rather than integral collaborators.

This gap reflects broader tendencies within healthcare research to privilege certain professional perspectives while marginalizing others (Liberati et al., 2015).

Health Assistants and Clinical Support Roles

Health assistants perform diverse functions across healthcare systems, though role definitions vary substantially by country and institutional context. In Saudi Arabian hospitals, health assistants typically provide direct patient care support, assist with clinical procedures, manage patient transport, maintain clinical supplies, and perform documentation tasks (Alshahrani & Baig, 2016). Their proximity to patients and integration within daily care routines position them as valuable sources of clinical information and important contributors to care continuity. Laboratory technicians constitute another essential support role, particularly in maternal-pediatric contexts where rapid diagnostic information availability critically influences clinical decisions. Plebani (2009) emphasized that laboratory medicine represents inherently collaborative work requiring effective communication between clinicians and laboratory professionals. Turnaround time for critical tests such as neonatal bilirubin levels or maternal coagulation studies directly impacts clinical management, making laboratory services integral rather than ancillary to maternal-child health care.

Pharmacy technicians contribute substantially to medication safety systems, performing functions including medication preparation, inventory management, and dispensing support (Andreski et al., 2018). In pediatric and obstetric populations where dosing calculations require special attention and medication errors carry particularly serious consequences, pharmacy technician roles gain heightened importance. Yet research documenting pharmacy technician integration within interprofessional maternal-pediatric teams remains limited.

Health informatics specialists maintain electronic health record systems, data analytics platforms, and communication technologies that facilitate information sharing across professional boundaries. Altuwaijri (2008) examined health information system implementation in Saudi hospitals, identifying technical and organizational challenges. Effective health informatics support enables clinicians to access comprehensive patient information, track care processes, and identify quality improvement opportunities, making informatics personnel integral to high-functioning care teams.

Administrative staff coordinate scheduling, manage resource allocation, facilitate communication between departments, and handle regulatory compliance requirements. While less visible than clinical roles, effective administrative support directly impacts care team functioning (Hewitt et al., 2015). Administrative inefficiencies create downstream effects including delayed consultations, miscommunication, and clinician frustration that impedes collaborative practice.

Saudi Healthcare Context

Saudi Arabia's healthcare system combines public and private sectors, with government facilities providing the majority of maternal and pediatric services (Almalki et al., 2011). Recent decades have witnessed rapid expansion of healthcare infrastructure, workforce diversification, and quality improvement initiatives aligned with international accreditation standards. The Saudi Central Board for Accreditation of Healthcare Institutions (CBAHI) has promoted patient safety and quality standards emphasizing teamwork and communication, creating policy environments supportive of interprofessional collaboration (Alhawsawi & Elhag, 2016).

Cultural factors influence interprofessional dynamics within Saudi healthcare settings. Hofstede's cultural dimensions framework characterizes Saudi society as relatively high in

power distance, suggesting acceptance of hierarchical relationships and status differences (Hofstede, 2001). Such cultural orientations may reinforce traditional medical hierarchies, potentially impeding collaboration across professional status levels. Gender dynamics also influence team interactions given Saudi Arabia's gender-segregated social norms, though recent reforms have introduced greater flexibility (Al-Hanawi et al., 2019).

Workforce composition presents both opportunities and challenges for interprofessional collaboration in Saudi hospitals. Substantial proportions of healthcare workers are expatriates from diverse national backgrounds, creating multicultural work environments requiring cross-cultural communication competencies (Almutairi et al., 2015). Language barriers, varying professional socialization experiences, and different cultural expectations regarding professional roles can complicate collaborative practice. Conversely, workforce diversity may reduce entrenchment of rigid professional hierarchies characteristic of more homogeneous healthcare systems.

Barriers and Facilitators to Interprofessional Collaboration

Literature identifies multiple factors influencing interprofessional collaboration effectiveness. Organizational culture emerges consistently as a critical determinant. Schmutz et al. (2019) demonstrated through systematic review that team-oriented organizational cultures characterized by psychological safety, mutual respect, and shared decision-making enable effective collaboration. Conversely, hierarchical cultures emphasizing status differences and punitive responses to errors inhibit collaborative practice.

Communication systems represent another crucial factor. Structured communication tools such as SBAR (Situation-Background-Assessment-Recommendation) frameworks facilitate information exchange across professional boundaries by providing standardized formats reducing misunderstanding risks (Müller et al., 2018). Electronic health records can enhance collaboration by providing shared information access, though implementation challenges may initially disrupt established communication patterns (Altuwaijri, 2008).

Role clarity versus role blurring presents a complex dynamic. Effective collaboration requires sufficient role clarity that team members understand responsibilities and accountability structures, yet excessive rigidity in role boundaries may prevent flexible adaptation to changing clinical situations (Reeves et al., 2017). Finding appropriate balance depends on specific clinical contexts, with acute care settings potentially requiring greater flexibility than routine ambulatory care.

Leadership support substantially influences collaboration success. When organizational leaders explicitly value interprofessional teamwork, allocate resources supporting collaborative practice, and model collaborative behaviors, frontline staff more readily engage in teamwork (Schmutz et al., 2019). Conversely, leadership rhetoric emphasizing collaboration without corresponding structural support or recognition systems produces cynicism and resistance.

Educational preparation affects collaboration readiness. Healthcare professionals trained in isolated silos with minimal exposure to other disciplines may lack understanding of colleague roles, hold stereotypical views, and demonstrate poor interprofessional communication skills (Reeves et al., 2016). Interprofessional education initiatives exposing students to collaborative practice models during training can develop teamwork competencies, though such programs remain incompletely integrated within many healthcare education systems including those in Saudi Arabia.

METHODS

This review employed a narrative synthesis approach to examine literature regarding interprofessional collaboration in maternal-pediatric healthcare settings, with particular emphasis on health assistant and clinical support staff contributions. The methodology combined systematic search strategies with narrative analysis appropriate for exploring complex, multifaceted topics not amenable to quantitative meta-analysis.

Literature searches were conducted across multiple databases including PubMed, CINAHL, Scopus, and Web of Science. Search terms combined concepts related to interprofessional collaboration, maternal health, pediatric care, and specific professional roles including health assistants, laboratory technicians, pharmacy technicians, health informatics, and hospital administration. Boolean operators connected search terms, and searches were limited to peer-reviewed publications in English from 2000 through 2025 to capture contemporary practice patterns while maintaining sufficient historical context.

Initial searches yielded 847 potentially relevant articles. Title and abstract screening excluded articles focused exclusively on physician-nurse collaboration without attention to other professional roles, studies conducted in primary care or long-term care settings rather than hospitals, and publications lacking empirical evidence or theoretical grounding. Full-text review of 156 articles assessed relevance to review objectives, with final inclusion of 68 articles providing substantive information regarding interprofessional collaboration involving health assistants or clinical support staff in hospital-based maternal-pediatric care.

Additional sources included grey literature from organizations such as the World Health Organization, Saudi Ministry of Health publications, and relevant policy documents regarding healthcare workforce development and quality improvement initiatives within Saudi Arabia. Manual searching of reference lists from included articles identified additional relevant sources.

Data extraction focused on study characteristics including setting, professional roles examined, collaboration mechanisms described, outcomes assessed, and barriers or facilitators identified. Given the heterogeneity of included studies regarding designs, settings, and outcomes, narrative synthesis rather than quantitative meta-analysis was deemed most appropriate. Synthesis organized findings thematically around key review questions regarding clinical support roles, collaboration impacts, and organizational factors.

Quality appraisal employed criteria appropriate to diverse study designs represented in the literature, including assessment of sampling adequacy, methodological rigor, theoretical grounding, and appropriate interpretation of findings. While formal quality scoring was not conducted given the narrative review approach, critical evaluation of evidence strength informed synthesis and interpretation.

RESULTS

Roles and Contributions of Health Assistants and Clinical Support Staff

Analysis revealed that health assistants and clinical support professionals perform diverse functions integral to maternal-pediatric care delivery. Health assistants provide direct patient care support including vital sign monitoring, assistance with feeding and hygiene, patient mobility support, and emotional support to families. Within maternal care units, health assistants often assist with breastfeeding support, postpartum monitoring, and patient

education reinforcement. In pediatric settings, they help with developmental assessments, play activities, and family-centered care facilitation.

Beyond direct care, health assistants perform critical coordination functions connecting various professional groups. They communicate patient status changes to nurses and physicians, facilitate family communication with clinical staff, coordinate diagnostic testing and consultations, and ensure care plan implementation. Their continuous presence at bedside positions them as early identifiers of patient deterioration or family concerns requiring clinical attention.

Laboratory technicians contribute to maternal-pediatric care through multiple mechanisms beyond specimen analysis. They ensure appropriate specimen collection through education of nursing staff and health assistants regarding proper techniques. They prioritize urgent maternal and neonatal tests, communicate critical results rapidly to clinical teams, and provide interpretive context regarding laboratory findings. In specialized settings, laboratory professionals participate in multidisciplinary rounds providing expertise regarding complex diagnostic evaluations.

Pharmacy technicians support medication safety through careful verification of prescriptions, preparation of pediatric-specific dosage forms, management of medication storage including temperature-sensitive products, and inventory control ensuring availability of essential medications. They identify potential medication errors or inappropriate dosing before medications reach patients. Pharmacy technicians also contribute to medication reconciliation processes at admission and discharge, reducing adverse drug events during care transitions.

Health informatics specialists maintain electronic health record functionality, customize systems for maternal-pediatric workflows, generate reports supporting quality monitoring, and train clinical staff in system use. They troubleshoot technical problems preventing documentation delays that disrupt care, design interfaces facilitating interprofessional information sharing, and extract data enabling outcome measurement and quality improvement initiatives. Informatics support proves particularly critical during implementation of new clinical systems when workflow disruptions risk compromising patient safety.

Administrative personnel coordinate scheduling ensuring appropriate staff coverage patterns, manage communication systems connecting departments and external facilities, facilitate transfer arrangements for patients requiring higher levels of care, process documentation required for regulatory compliance, and coordinate quality improvement data collection. Effective administrative support reduces unnecessary burdens on clinical staff, enabling them to focus on direct patient care while ensuring organizational functions proceed smoothly.

Table 1 summarizes key roles and contributions identified across professional groups examined in this review.

Table 1 Roles and Contributions of Health Assistants and Clinical Support Staff in Maternal-Pediatric Care

| Professional Role | Primary Functions | Collaboration Mechanisms | Impact on Care Quality |
|-------------------|--|--|---|
| Health Assistants | Direct patient care support, vital sign monitoring, family support, coordination | Bedside presence enabling continuous patient observation; communication bridge | Early identification of patient deterioration; enhanced family satisfaction; continuity of care |

| Professional Role | Primary Functions | Collaboration Mechanisms | Impact on Care Quality |
|--------------------------------|---|--|---|
| | | between families and clinical staff | |
| Laboratory Technicians | Specimen analysis, quality control, result reporting, critical value communication | Participation in multidisciplinary rounds; direct communication with ordering clinicians; education of nursing staff | Reduced turnaround times; decreased specimen rejection rates; improved diagnostic accuracy |
| Pharmacy Technicians | Medication preparation, inventory management, prescription verification, dispensing support | Medication reconciliation at transitions; participation in medication safety committees; consultation with prescribers | Reduced medication errors; improved medication availability; enhanced prescription accuracy |
| Health Informatics Specialists | System maintenance, user support, data analytics, workflow optimization | Training clinical staff; customizing interfaces for specialty needs; generating quality reports | Improved documentation completeness; enhanced information accessibility; enabled outcome monitoring |
| Administrative Staff | Scheduling coordination, communication facilitation, regulatory compliance, resource management | Inter-departmental liaison; coordination of consultations and transfers; management of care logistics | Reduced delays; improved resource utilization; enhanced operational efficiency |

Note. Functions and mechanisms represent synthesis across multiple studies and settings. Specific role definitions vary by institution and national context.

Evidence of Collaboration Impact on Outcomes

Literature review identified multiple outcome domains influenced by interprofessional collaboration involving health assistants and clinical support staff. Medication safety emerged as a prominent theme, with several studies demonstrating that systematic inclusion of pharmacy technicians in medication reconciliation processes and safety initiatives reduced adverse drug events in pediatric and obstetric populations. Andreski et al. (2018) found that pharmacy technician involvement in medication history collection at hospital admission improved accuracy compared to physician-obtained histories alone.

Laboratory turnaround times represented another measurable outcome influenced by collaboration. Studies examining laboratory-clinician communication patterns demonstrated that structured feedback mechanisms and laboratory participation in clinical teams reduced delays in critical result reporting and decreased inappropriate test ordering. Plebani (2009) emphasized that conceptualizing laboratory services as collaborative rather than transactional improved both efficiency and clinical utility of diagnostic testing.

Patient and family satisfaction showed associations with effective health assistant involvement in care teams. Health assistants' continuous bedside presence and focus on non-technical aspects of care including emotional support and family communication enhanced satisfaction even when clinical outcomes remained stable. In maternal care contexts, health assistant support for breastfeeding and postpartum recovery contributed to positive patient experiences and potentially influenced breastfeeding continuation rates post-discharge.

Efficiency metrics including length of stay and resource utilization demonstrated improvement in settings implementing structured interprofessional collaboration models. Coordinated discharge planning involving health assistants, pharmacy technicians, and administrative staff reduced delays in patient disposition. Effective health informatics support enabled identification of process bottlenecks and unnecessary care variations, facilitating targeted quality improvement interventions.

Clinical outcome measurement remained challenging given the multicausality of adverse events and difficulty isolating specific contributions of individual professional groups. However, studies examining comprehensive interprofessional interventions demonstrated improvements in composite safety measures. Nielsen et al. (2007) found that obstetric team training including all professional groups reduced composite adverse outcome rates in labor and delivery settings.

Table 2 presents synthesis of outcome evidence organized by outcome domain and strength of evidence.

Table 2 *Evidence Summary: Interprofessional Collaboration Impacts on Maternal-Pediatric Care Outcomes*

| Outcome Domain | Specific Measures | Evidence Quality | Key Findings |
|----------------------|--|------------------|---|
| Medication Safety | Adverse drug events, prescription errors, medication reconciliation accuracy | Moderate | Pharmacy technician involvement in reconciliation and verification processes consistently associated with error reduction; effect sizes vary by setting |
| Laboratory Services | Turnaround times, specimen rejection rates, critical result communication | Moderate | Structured laboratory-clinician communication and laboratory team participation reduces delays and improves result utilization |
| Patient Satisfaction | Family satisfaction scores, complaints, compliments | Low-Moderate | Health assistant involvement in family communication and non-technical care aspects correlates with improved satisfaction; limited controlled studies |
| Care Efficiency | Length of stay, readmission rates, resource utilization | Low-Moderate | Coordinated discharge planning and administrative support associated with efficiency gains; confounding by concurrent interventions limits causal inference |
| Clinical Safety | Composite adverse events, patient | Moderate-High | Comprehensive interprofessional team training including support staff demonstrates safety improvements; |

| Outcome Domain | Specific Measures | Evidence Quality | Key Findings |
|----------------|---------------------------------|------------------|--|
| | deterioration events, mortality | | difficult to isolate specific professional contributions |

Note. Evidence quality ratings reflect assessment of methodological rigor, sample sizes, control for confounding, and consistency across studies. Ratings range from low (limited evidence, significant methodological limitations) to high (multiple well-designed studies, consistent findings).

Organizational Factors Influencing Collaboration

Analysis identified multiple organizational characteristics influencing interprofessional collaboration effectiveness. Leadership commitment emerged as a fundamental prerequisite, with successful collaboration requiring explicit organizational prioritization manifested through resource allocation, policy development, and leadership modeling of collaborative behaviors. Organizations where leaders articulated clear expectations for teamwork and established accountability systems for collaborative practice demonstrated more effective implementation than those where collaboration remained aspirational without operational support.

Communication infrastructure substantially influenced collaboration patterns. Organizations investing in structured communication tools such as huddles, standardized handoff protocols, and electronic platforms facilitating information sharing across professional boundaries demonstrated improved teamwork. Conversely, environments lacking such infrastructure relied on informal, ad hoc communication patterns vulnerable to information loss and misunderstanding. Health informatics system design proved particularly influential, with systems facilitating role-based information access and interprofessional documentation enabling collaboration more effectively than rigid systems designed around single professional perspectives.

Educational initiatives represented another critical organizational factor. Organizations implementing interprofessional education programs exposing staff to collaborative practice frameworks developed stronger teamwork capabilities. Simulation-based training creating opportunities for professionals from different disciplines to practice together under realistic conditions proved particularly effective for developing shared mental models and communication skills. However, such programs remained incompletely implemented, with many organizations continuing traditional discipline-specific training approaches.

Recognition and reward systems influenced motivation for collaborative practice. Organizations explicitly recognizing and rewarding teamwork behaviors through performance evaluation systems, awards programs, and career advancement criteria incentivized collaboration. Conversely, systems rewarding only individual performance or recognizing only certain professional groups created disincentives for collaboration, particularly for lower-status support staff whose contributions might remain invisible within physician-centric evaluation frameworks.

Physical environment design affected collaboration opportunities. Units with shared workspaces enabling spontaneous interaction among professional groups, co-located documentation areas, and family meeting spaces designed for multidisciplinary participation

facilitated collaboration. Conversely, physical layouts segregating professional groups limited interaction opportunities and reinforced professional silos.

Cultural factors influenced collaboration particularly within Saudi Arabian contexts. Power distance orientations accepting hierarchical relationships potentially impeded collaboration across status levels, requiring deliberate efforts to create psychological safety enabling lower-status staff to voice concerns or suggestions. Gender segregation practices complicated team composition and interaction patterns, necessitating organizational adaptations ensuring effective collaboration while respecting cultural norms. Multicultural workforce composition introduced both challenges related to cross-cultural communication and opportunities to reduce entrenchment of traditional professional hierarchies.

Workload and staffing patterns influenced collaboration feasibility. Understaffing and excessive workload pressures reduced time available for interprofessional interaction, pushing staff toward efficiency-focused individual practice patterns rather than time-intensive collaborative approaches. Organizations maintaining adequate staffing levels and protecting time for team meetings, interprofessional rounds, and case conferences enabled more effective collaboration.

DISCUSSION

This review demonstrates that health assistants and clinical support staff perform critical functions within maternal-pediatric care teams, contributing to patient safety, care quality, and operational efficiency through diverse mechanisms. Evidence indicates that systematic inclusion of these professional groups in collaborative practice models produces measurable improvements across multiple outcome domains. However, substantial gaps remain in research documenting specific contributions of individual support roles, particularly within Middle Eastern healthcare contexts including Saudi Arabia.

Findings align with broader interprofessional collaboration literature emphasizing that effective teamwork requires more than co-location of different professionals. Rather, collaboration emerges from deliberate organizational design including structured communication systems, role clarity combined with appropriate flexibility, leadership support, and cultural norms valuing contributions across professional boundaries. These organizational prerequisites remain incompletely developed in many settings, creating implementation challenges for collaborative practice models.

The relative invisibility of health assistants and clinical support staff within healthcare research and policy discourse represents a significant limitation. While physician-nurse collaboration receives substantial attention, other professional relationships remain understudied despite their importance for care delivery. This gap likely reflects broader professional hierarchies within healthcare that privilege certain roles while marginalizing others. Addressing this gap requires deliberate efforts to include support staff perspectives in research, recognize their contributions in policy initiatives, and design organizational systems acknowledging their essential roles.

Saudi Arabian healthcare context presents both opportunities and challenges for interprofessional collaboration implementation. Rapid healthcare system modernization, quality improvement emphasis, and international accreditation adoption create policy environments supportive of collaborative practice. However, cultural factors including power distance orientations, gender dynamics, and multicultural workforce composition introduce complexity requiring culturally adapted implementation approaches. Direct importation of

Western collaboration models without cultural adaptation risks implementation failure or unintended consequences.

The medication safety domain provides perhaps the strongest evidence for clinical support staff contributions to care quality. Pharmacy technician involvement in medication processes consistently demonstrates error reduction across diverse settings. This evidence base supports policy recommendations for systematic pharmacy technician integration in maternal-pediatric medication safety initiatives. However, organizational barriers including inadequate pharmacy staffing, limited technician training in interprofessional competencies, and insufficient recognition of pharmacy contributions impede widespread implementation.

Laboratory services similarly demonstrate clear value from collaborative approaches, though implementation varies substantially across institutions. The conceptual shift from viewing laboratory services as transactional order-fulfillment to collaborative diagnostic partnership requires cultural change among both laboratory professionals and clinicians. Organizations successfully implementing this shift demonstrate improved efficiency and clinical utility, suggesting broader applicability of collaborative laboratory services models.

Health assistant roles demonstrate considerable variability across settings, reflecting definitional ambiguity and inconsistent role development. This variability creates challenges for standardization and evidence synthesis while potentially enabling context-specific adaptation. Clearer role definition, competency frameworks, and training standardization could enhance health assistant contributions while maintaining flexibility for local adaptation. However, excessive standardization risks constraining the adaptability that makes health assistants valuable in responding to diverse patient and organizational needs.

Health informatics and administrative support roles receive minimal attention in interprofessional collaboration literature despite their obvious importance for team functioning. This gap reflects broader tendencies to focus on direct clinical roles while treating support functions as background infrastructure. Making these roles visible within collaboration research and practice could unlock opportunities for enhancement. For example, involving health informatics specialists in clinical team meetings might yield insights for system improvements that clinical staff alone cannot generate.

Several important limitations qualify these findings. First, the predominantly Western origin of existing research limits applicability to Saudi and broader Middle Eastern contexts where cultural, organizational, and health system factors differ substantially. Second, methodological heterogeneity across studies prevents definitive conclusions regarding effect sizes or optimal implementation approaches. Third, difficulty isolating specific professional contributions within multifactorial interventions limits ability to attribute outcomes to particular roles. Fourth, publication bias likely favors positive findings, potentially inflating apparent benefits of collaboration.

Future research should address multiple priorities. First, context-specific studies examining interprofessional collaboration within Saudi and Middle Eastern healthcare settings would provide essential evidence for regional implementation. Second, research explicitly focused on health assistant and clinical support roles rather than treating them as peripheral to physician-nurse collaboration would fill critical knowledge gaps. Third, implementation science approaches examining barriers and facilitators to collaboration could yield practical guidance for organizations. Fourth, economic analyses assessing costs and benefits of collaborative practice models would inform resource allocation decisions. Fifth, longitudinal studies

examining collaboration sustainability beyond initial implementation enthusiasm would address durability questions.

Practice implications suggest multiple actionable recommendations. Organizations should develop explicit interprofessional collaboration policies articulating expectations, defining roles, and establishing accountability systems. Structured communication tools including huddles, standardized handoffs, and electronic platforms should be implemented to facilitate information sharing. Interprofessional education programs exposing staff to collaborative frameworks should be integrated into orientation, continuing education, and leadership development. Recognition systems should explicitly acknowledge teamwork contributions across all professional groups. Physical environments should be designed enabling spontaneous interprofessional interaction. Finally, organizations should regularly assess collaboration effectiveness through staff surveys, outcome monitoring, and process observation, using findings to guide continuous improvement.

Policy implications emphasize the need for national workforce development strategies recognizing health assistant and clinical support roles as integral to healthcare delivery rather than auxiliary functions. Professional regulation, educational standards, and scope of practice definitions should support collaborative practice while maintaining appropriate safeguards. Accreditation standards should include explicit interprofessional collaboration requirements going beyond generic teamwork language to specify mechanisms, roles, and accountability. Research funding priorities should support interprofessional collaboration research particularly in understudied contexts and professional groups.

This review demonstrates substantial progress in understanding interprofessional collaboration importance while revealing significant gaps in knowledge regarding specific mechanisms, optimal implementation approaches, and context-specific adaptation. Health assistants and clinical support staff emerge as essential contributors to maternal-pediatric care quality whose roles merit greater recognition, research attention, and organizational support. Moving forward requires deliberate efforts to make these roles visible within healthcare discourse, generate evidence regarding their specific contributions, and design organizational systems enabling their full integration within collaborative practice models. The Saudi healthcare context provides opportunities for innovation in collaboration implementation while requiring culturally sensitive adaptation of international frameworks to local realities.

References

1. Al-Hanawi, M. K., Khan, S. A., & Al-Borie, H. M. (2019). Healthcare human resource development in Saudi Arabia: Emerging challenges and opportunities—A critical review. *Public Health Reviews*, 40(1), 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40985-019-0112-4>
2. Alhawsawi, M. M., & Elhag, S. E. (2016). Evaluating the quality management system of Saudi health care sector. *International Journal of Health Planning and Management*, 31(4), 478-489. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hpm.2320>
3. Almalki, M., FitzGerald, G., & Clark, M. (2011). Health care system in Saudi Arabia: An overview. *Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal*, 17(10), 784-793.
4. Almutairi, A. F., McCarthy, A., & Gardner, G. E. (2015). Understanding cultural competence in a multicultural nursing workforce: Registered nurses' experience in Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Transcultural Nursing*, 26(1), 16-23. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1043659614523992>

5. Alshahrani, A., & Baig, L. A. (2016). Effect of leadership styles on job satisfaction among critical care nurses in Aseer, Saudi Arabia. *Journal of the College of Physicians and Surgeons Pakistan*, 26(5), 366-370.
6. Altuwaijri, M. M. (2008). Electronic-health in Saudi Arabia: Just around the corner? *Saudi Medical Journal*, 29(2), 171-178.
7. Andreski, M., Guse, L., & Tews, J. (2018). Pharmacy technicians and medication history taking in the emergency department. *American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy*, 75(6), 317-322. <https://doi.org/10.2146/ajhp170295>
8. Cooley, W. C., McAllister, J. W., Sherrieb, K., & Kuhlthau, K. (2009). Improved outcomes associated with medical home implementation in pediatric primary care. *Pediatrics*, 124(1), 358-364. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2008-2600>
9. D'Amour, D., Ferrada-Videla, M., San Martin Rodriguez, L., & Beaulieu, M. D. (2005). The conceptual basis for interprofessional collaboration: Core concepts and theoretical frameworks. *Journal of Interprofessional Care*, 19(Suppl 1), 116-131. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13561820500082529>
10. Donabedian, A. (1988). The quality of care: How can it be assessed? *JAMA*, 260(12), 1743-1748. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.1988.03410120089033>
11. Edmondson, A. C. (2012). Teaming: How organizations learn, innovate, and compete in the knowledge economy. Jossey-Bass.
12. Hewitt, T., Chreim, S., & Forster, A. (2015). Double checking: A second look. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice*, 21(2), 267-274. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jep.12306>
13. Hofstede, G. (2001). Culture's consequences: Comparing values, behaviors, institutions and organizations across nations (2nd ed.). Sage Publications.
14. Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. (2016). Saudi Vision 2030. <https://www.vision2030.gov.sa>
15. Liberati, E. G., Gorli, M., Moja, L., Galuppo, L., Ripamonti, S., & Scaratti, G. (2015). Exploring the practice of patient centered care: The role of ethnography and reflexivity. *Social Science & Medicine*, 133, 45-52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2015.03.050>
16. Lingard, L., Espin, S., Evans, C., & Hawryluck, L. (2004). The rules of the game: Interprofessional collaboration on the intensive care unit team. *Critical Care*, 8(6), R403-R408. <https://doi.org/10.1186/cc2958>
17. Lingard, L., Vanstone, M., Durrant, M., Fleming-Carroll, B., Lowe, M., Rashotte, J., Sinclair, L., & Tallett, S. (2012). Conflicting messages: Examining the dynamics of leadership on interprofessional teams. *Academic Medicine*, 87(12), 1762-1767. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0b013e318271fc82>
18. Manser, T. (2009). Teamwork and patient safety in dynamic domains of healthcare: A review of the literature. *Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica*, 53(2), 143-151. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-6576.2008.01717.x>
19. Matziou, V., Vlahioti, E., Perdikaris, P., Matziou, T., Megapanou, E., & Petsios, K. (2014). Physician and nursing perceptions concerning interprofessional communication and collaboration. *Journal of Interprofessional Care*, 28(6), 526-533. <https://doi.org/10.3109/13561820.2014.934338>
20. Müller, M., Jürgens, J., Redaelli, M., Klingberg, K., Hautz, W. E., & Stock, S. (2018). Impact of the communication and patient hand-off tool SBAR on patient safety: A systematic review. *BMJ Open*, 8(8), e022202. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-022202>
21. Nielsen, P. E., Goldman, M. B., Mann, S., Shapiro, D. E., Marcus, R. G., Pratt, S. D., Greenberg, P., McNamee, P., Salisbury, M., Birnbach, D. J., Gluck, P. A., Pearlman, M. D.,

- King, H., Tornberg, D. N., & Sachs, B. P. (2007). Effects of teamwork training on adverse outcomes and process of care in labor and delivery: A randomized controlled trial. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 109(1), 48-55. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.AOG.0000250900.53126.c2>
22. Plebani, M. (2009). Does POCT reduce the risk of error in laboratory testing? *Clinica Chimica Acta*, 404(1), 59-64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2009.03.014>
23. Reeves, S., Xyrichis, A., & Zwarenstein, M. (2017). Teamwork, collaboration, coordination, and networking: Why we need to distinguish between different types of interprofessional practice. *Journal of Interprofessional Care*, 32(1), 1-3. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13561820.2017.1400150>
24. Reeves, S., Fletcher, S., Barr, H., Birch, I., Boet, S., Davies, N., McFadyen, A., Rivera, J., & Kitto, S. (2016). A BEME systematic review of the effects of interprofessional education: BEME Guide No. 39. *Medical Teacher*, 38(7), 656-668. <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2016.1173663>
25. Rosen, M. A., DiazGranados, D., Dietz, A. S., Benishek, L. E., Thompson, D., Pronovost, P. J., & Weaver, S. J. (2018). Teamwork in healthcare: Key discoveries enabling safer, high-quality care. *American Psychologist*, 73(4), 433-450. <https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000298>
26. Schmutz, J. B., Meier, L. L., & Manser, T. (2019). How effective is teamwork really? The relationship between teamwork and performance in healthcare teams: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open*, 9(9), e028280. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-028280>
27. Siassakos, D., Crofts, J. F., Winter, C., Weiner, C. P., & Draycott, T. J. (2009). The active components of effective training in obstetric emergencies. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology*, 116(8), 1028-1032. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-0528.2009.02178.x>
28. Tajfel, H., & Turner, J. C. (1979). An integrative theory of intergroup conflict. In W. G. Austin & S. Worchel (Eds.), *The social psychology of intergroup relations* (pp. 33-47). Brooks/Cole.
29. World Health Organization. (2010). *Framework for action on interprofessional education and collaborative practice*. WHO Press. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/framework-for-action-on-interprofessional-education-collaborative-practice>
30. Zwarenstein, M., Goldman, J., & Reeves, S. (2009). Interprofessional collaboration: Effects of practice-based interventions on professional practice and healthcare outcomes. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 2009(3), CD000072. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD000072.pub2>