

A Review of the Cultural and Philosophical Dimensions of Digestion: Ayurvedic Perspectives on Eating, Mental Health, and Seasonal Harmony

Prof. (Dr.) Shailza Bhatnagar¹, Dr. Nandeesh J.², Dr. Nidhi Devidas Chickramane³, Prof. (Dr.) Vikas Bhatnagar⁴

¹ Professor, Dept. of Samhita & Maulik Siddhant (Basic Principles of Ayurveda), National Institute of Ayurveda, Deemed to be University (De novo), Jaipur, Rajasthan, India. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2566-1107>

² Associate Professor, Dept. of Sanskrit, Ayurveda Samhita & Siddhanta (Basic Principles of Ayurveda), Mandsaur Institute of Ayurveda Education & Research, Mandsaur University, Mandsaur, MP, India. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1981-4891>

³ Ph.D. Scholar, Dept. of Prasutitantra & Streeroga (OBG), National Institute of Ayurveda, Deemed to be University (De novo), Jaipur, Rajasthan, India. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6284-2989>

⁴ Professor, Dept. of Shareera Rachana (Anatomy), National Institute of Ayurveda, Deemed to be University (De novo), Jaipur, Rajasthan, India. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5530-1498>.

Abstract

Introduction: Ayurveda conceptualizes digestion as the central process governing physical health, mental balance, and harmony with natural rhythms. Rather than limiting digestion to a biochemical function, Ayurvedic thought integrates food, mental regulation, ethical conduct, cultural practices, and seasonal adaptation into a unified model of health. This review examines the cultural and philosophical dimensions of digestion in Ayurveda, highlighting the interrelationship between dietary practices, mental well-being, and seasonal harmony in maintaining metabolic balance and preventing disease. Central to this framework is Agni (digestive–metabolic fire), which regulates transformation and assimilation, while impairment of digestion leads to the formation of Āma, a state of metabolic incompleteness underlying disease processes.

Methods: A narrative review of classical Ayurvedic texts, including the Caraka Saṃhitā, Suśruta Saṃhitā, and Aṣṭāṅgahrdaya, along with allied Indian philosophical texts that inform Ayurvedic concepts of digestion, food, and consciousness (e.g., Upaniṣadic and Gītā literature), was conducted to analyze principles related to digestion, dietetics, mental regulation, cultural etiquette, and seasonal adaptation. Contemporary scientific literature on metabolism, gut–brain interactions, circadian biology, stress physiology, and lifestyle related disorders was selectively reviewed to contextualize these traditional perspectives within current biomedical understanding.

Results: The review identifies Agni, Āma, Sātmya (dietary habituation), mindful eating, and seasonal adaptation as foundational determinants of digestive and mental health. Food is presented not only as nutritional input but as a culturally and philosophically significant factor influencing tissue nourishment, metabolic efficiency, and mental clarity. Emotional disturbances, sensory excess, irregular eating patterns, and neglect of seasonal rhythms consistently emerged as contributors to digestive dysregulation. Cultural rituals, dietary etiquette, regional food practices, and seasonal observances were shown to reinforce digestive balance by aligning human behavior with environmental and biological rhythms.

Conclusion: Ayurveda presents digestion as a psycho-physiological, cultural, and seasonal process essential to holistic health. Integrating these classical principles with contemporary scientific perspectives may offer sustainable approaches to improving digestive regulation, mental well-being, and the prevention of lifestyle related disorders.

Keywords: Ayurveda; digestion; Agni; Āma; gut–brain axis; mental health; seasonal adaptation; metabolism.

1. INTRODUCTION

Ayurveda conceptualizes health not merely as the absence of disease but as a dynamic equilibrium between the doṣas (Vāta, Pitta, and Kapha - three functional entities), dhātu (seven structural, nourishing & body sustaining factors), mala (bodily wastes - feces, urine, sweat), and Agni (digestive and metabolic fire) [1]. This equilibrium sustains the integrated functioning of the body, mind, and consciousness, emphasizing harmony between the individual and the surrounding environment [2]. Classical Ayurvedic texts describe the preservation of health and the prevention of disease as primary objectives [3], achieved through appropriate diet, regulated lifestyle practices, and alignment with natural rhythms [4].

Central to this holistic framework is Āhāra (diet), which is regarded as a fundamental determinant of both physical vitality and mental clarity [5]. Ayurveda recognizes digestion not only as a physiological process but as a transformative act influenced by the quality, quantity, timing, and context of food consumption [6]. Impairment of Agni whether due to improper dietary habits, emotional disturbances, or seasonal incongruity leads to the formation of Āma, a state of metabolic incompleteness that underlies the development of disease [7].

Beyond nutritional considerations, Ayurvedic understanding of digestion extends into cultural, ethical, and philosophical domains. Food is traditionally approached with reverence, and eating is guided by prescribed conduct, ritual observances, and seasonal adaptations. Classical guidelines emphasize mindful consumption, appropriate food combinations, sensory discipline, and alignment with circadian and seasonal cycles, reflecting the view that digestion is inseparable from mental state and environmental context.

In the contemporary era, rising lifestyle related disorders, digestive dysfunctions, and stress-associated metabolic disturbances highlight the relevance of these traditional perspectives. While modern biomedical approaches largely focus on biochemical and mechanistic aspects of digestion, Ayurveda offers a broader framework that integrates mental health, cultural practices, and ecological harmony.

This review explores the cultural and philosophical dimensions of digestion in Ayurveda, examining how dietary principles, mental discipline, seasonal observances, and traditional practices collectively influence digestive health and metabolic balance. By revisiting classical insights and contextualizing them within modern health challenges, this paper highlights digestion as a central axis of holistic well-being.

2. Ayurvedic Framework of Digestion and Health

Ayurveda conceptualizes health and disease through the functional integrity of digestion, metabolism, and their regulation by mental, dietary, and environmental factors [8]. Central to this framework is Agni, the digestive–metabolic principle responsible for the transformation, assimilation, and utilization of food at both systemic and tissue levels [9]. This section presents a structured exposition of Ayurvedic digestive physiology, beginning with the foundational role of Agni in maintaining health, followed by its functional classifications and regulatory influences of mind and diet. Preventive principles governing

appropriate food selection and eating practices are then discussed, culminating in the pathological concept of Āma as the consequence of sustained digestive dysregulation. Together, these components establish a coherent Ayurvedic model that underpins both disease prevention and the development of lifestyle disorders.

Health, Agni, and Digestion in Ayurveda

Health is achieved when the doṣas, dhātu, and malas function in equilibrium, supported by the proper activity of Agni, along with a balanced state of the mind and senses [1]. In Ayurveda, digestion is regarded as the central physiological process that sustains health and maintains internal balance [10].

Classical Ayurveda Saṃhitā defines Agni as the biological fire responsible for digestion and transformation of food into forms that can be absorbed and utilized by the body [11]. Although Agni is primarily situated in the grahaṇī [12], the anatomical and functional region between the amāśaya (place where raw food is stored till digestive factors act upon) [13] and pakvāśaya (place where the digested food is located till further process) [14] —its activity is not confined to a single location. Classical texts emphasize that Agni operates systemically, influencing the nourishing channels (srotas) and coordinating metabolic processes at multiple levels of physiological function [15].

Ayurveda views digestion as a dynamic and regulated process rather than a passive mechanical event. The efficiency of digestion depends on the strength and stability of Agni, which determines how effectively food is transformed into nourishment capable of sustaining tissue integrity, strength, and vitality. Proper functioning of Agni ensures orderly tissue nourishment and metabolic continuity, forming the physiological foundation upon which overall health is maintained [16].

Importantly, Ayurvedic texts recognize that digestion is not isolated from the internal environment of the individual. Factors such as bodily constitution [17], dietary habits [18], timing of food intake [19], and the internal regulatory state influence digestive performance. Thus, Agni is understood as the central integrative force that harmonizes these variables, maintaining physiological balance and supporting health.

This foundational understanding of Agni and digestion provides the basis for further elaboration of its functional types and regulatory dimensions, which are addressed in the subsequent sections.

Types and Functional Dimensions of Agni

Ayurveda recognizes that digestion and metabolism do not occur at a single level but operate through multiple, hierarchically organized processes. To explain this complexity, classical texts describe different functional expressions of Agni based on their role in digestion, transformation, and tissue nourishment. These are broadly classified as Jāṭharāgni, Bhūtāgni, and Dhātvāgni.

Jāṭharāgni represents the primary digestive fire responsible for the macro-level digestion and metabolism of ingested food. It governs the initial breakdown and transformation of food as a whole, determining the efficiency with which nutrients become available for further processing. The strength and stability of Jāṭharāgni directly influence appetite, digestion, and overall metabolic capacity, making it the central regulator of gastrointestinal function [20].

Dhātvāgni operates at the dhātu level and is responsible for the metabolism and nourishment of individual dhātus. Each dhātu possesses its own specific Dhātvāgni, which governs the transformation of nutrients into the corresponding outcome and its associated upadhātus. Proper functioning of Dhātvāgni ensures orderly tissue formation,

maintenance, and regeneration, thereby supporting structural integrity and physiological continuity [21].

Following primary digestion, Bhūtāgni functions at a subtler level of transformation. Bhūtāgni refers to the elemental fires corresponding to the five mahābhūta—earth, water, fire, air, and ether [22]. Each Bhūtāgni facilitates the assimilation and promotion of its respective elemental component within the body. Through this process, digested food is refined in accordance with the elemental composition required to sustain bodily structure and function [23].

Together, these functional dimensions of Agni illustrate that digestion in Ayurveda is a multilayered process, extending from gross food digestion to elemental transformation and tissue specific metabolism. This integrated framework underscores the central role of Agni in maintaining metabolic harmony and sustaining health.

Table 1. Functional Levels of Agni in Ayurvedic Digestion

Type of Agni	Level of Function	Primary Role	Physiological Significance
Jāṭharāgni	Gastrointestinal	Digestion and primary transformation of food	Determines appetite, digestion, and overall metabolic strength
Dhātvāgni	Dhātu specific	Metabolism and nourishment of individual dhātu	Maintains dhātu integrity, growth, and regeneration
Bhūtāgni	Elemental	Refinement of food according to five mahābhūta	Enables elemental assimilation and systemic compatibility

Manas, Indriya, and Prajñāparādha in Digestive Regulation

Ayurveda recognizes digestion as a regulated physiological process that is intimately governed by mind and sensory faculties. The mind functions as a central coordinating agency, integrating sensory perception, judgment, and behavioral responses [24] related to food intake. When mind is stable and sensory engagement is regulated, digestive activity proceeds in an orderly and efficient manner, allowing Agni to function optimally [25].

The sensory faculties play a direct role in shaping dietary behavior by influencing appetite, food selection, quantity, and timing of meals. Sensory restraint and attentiveness during eating are therefore considered essential components of digestive regulation [26]. Excessive sensory stimulation, distraction, or emotional agitation interferes with this regulatory balance and diminishes the functional efficiency of Agni. Classical Ayurvedic texts emphasize that digestion is most effective when food is consumed with awareness, moderation, and sensory discipline [27].

Within this regulatory framework, Ayurveda introduces the concept of Prajñāparādha, described as the failure of intellectual discernment [28]. Prajñāparādha arises when mind overrides discriminative wisdom, leading to inappropriate decisions and behaviors related to diet and lifestyle [29]. In the context of digestion, this includes eating in excess or deficiency, consuming food at improper times, choosing unsuitable foods, or ignoring natural bodily signals such as hunger and satiety [30]. Importantly, Prajñāparādha does not represent disease but a disturbance in regulatory judgment that precedes physiological imbalance.

Emotional states such as stress, anger, fear, and anxiety further contribute to this loss of regulation by destabilizing mental focus and sensory restraint [31]. When mind is disturbed,

the coordinated control over eating behavior weakens, impairing the orderly functioning of digestion. Thus, *Prajñāparādha* is understood as a functional deviation within the regulatory domain rather than a pathological state.

Ayurveda therefore emphasizes *Jitendriya*—the conscious restraint of the senses—and mindful engagement during eating as essential practices for maintaining digestive regulation. Eating with full attention, in a calm environment, and without sensory distractions supports the harmonious interaction between mind, sensory faculties, and digestive factors. Through such regulation, digestion remains efficient and balanced, forming a stable physiological foundation upon which dietary discipline and metabolic harmony are maintained.

Pathya, Apathya, and Mental Compatibility

In Ayurveda, *Pathya* refers to foods and practices that are compatible with an individual's constitution, digestive capacity, mental state, and current condition of health [32]. Dietary suitability is not determined solely by nutritional value but by the overall harmony it produces within the body–mind system. Food is therefore assessed based on its ability to support digestion, sustain physiological balance, and maintain mental clarity.

Ayurveda recognizes that dietary judgment is shaped by the interaction between bodily suitability and mental preference. From this perspective, four functional situations are described in the assessment of *Pathya* and *Apathya*. Foods that are beneficial to the body and agreeable to the mind represent the ideal form of *Pathya*, as they support digestion without internal resistance. Foods that are beneficial to the body but not preferred by the mind are still regarded as *Pathya*, as their consumption is necessary for physiological balance despite the absence of immediate sensory gratification. For example, the inclusion of bitter taste, though often disliked, is essential for maintaining dietary completeness, as Ayurveda considers a balanced intake of all six tastes, with dominance of *madhura rasa*, fundamental to health [33].

In contrast, foods that are agreeable to the mind but unsuitable for the body are classified as *Apathya*. Such choices arise primarily from unregulated desire and represent a functional expression of *Prajñāparādha*, wherein sensory preference overrides physiological discernment. Foods that are neither beneficial to the body nor pleasing to the mind are also regarded as *Apathya*, as they fail to support either physiological function or mental satisfaction [33].

The concept of *Pathya* thus emphasizes disciplined dietary judgment rather than mere preference-based selection. It requires conscious regulation of eating behavior, where mental inclination is guided by physiological wisdom. Food preparation methods, eating environment, and habitual conduct are also integral to *Pathya*, as they influence both digestion and mental receptivity. Seasonal factors, time of consumption, and *doṣa* predominance further refine dietary suitability. Through adherence to *Pathya*, digestion is regulated at both bodily and mental levels, creating a stable foundation for dietary adaptation and long-term balance.

Sātmya: Dietary Adaptation and Habituation

In Ayurveda, *Sātmya* refers to the process of dietary and physiological adaptation through which the body and mind become accustomed to specific foods, tastes, and practices over time [34]. It highlights the individualized nature of dietetics, acknowledging that substances beneficial for one individual may be unsuitable or even harmful for another. *Sātmya* develops gradually through habitual exposure to foods that are compatible with an

individual's constitution (Prakṛti), age, digestive strength (Agni), mental disposition, and environmental conditions.

Classical Ayurvedic texts describe three levels of Sātmya based on the quality and completeness of adaptation. Superior Sātmya represents an optimal adaptive state in which all six tastes, sweet, sour, salty, bitter, pungent, and astringent, are consumed in balanced proportions, supporting efficient digestion and physiological harmony. Medium Sātmya denotes partial adaptation, where wholesome and less suitable dietary elements coexist, resulting in relative but incomplete balance. Inferior Sātmya arises when dietary habits are restricted to a narrow range of tastes or food types, limiting adaptive capacity and predisposing the individual to imbalance [35].

Sātmya emphasizes gradual dietary regulation rather than abrupt modification. Ayurveda advises that individuals transition progressively from inferior or medium Sātmya toward superior Sātmya, considering digestive capacity, seasonal variation (Ritucārya), and current functional status. Digestive strength and mental stability play a decisive role in determining the extent to which adaptation can occur, reinforcing the integrated influence of Agni and Manas in dietary suitability especially in therapeutical process of ayurveda such as internal oleation [36].

Ayurveda also recognizes a specific form of adaptation known as Okasātmya or Abhyāsa sātmya, wherein the body develops tolerance to certain foods or practices through long term habitual exposure, even when such items may not be ideally suitable [37]. This concept explains why some individuals may not exhibit immediate adverse effects despite regular intake of mildly incompatible foods. However, Okasātmya is understood as a functional accommodation rather than an ideal dietary state and does not supersede the principles of Pathya. It reflects adaptive resilience rather than optimal nourishment.

Through the concept of Sātmya, Ayurveda presents diet as a dynamic and evolving interaction between food, digestion, mind, and environment. Sātmya bridges prescriptive dietary principles with lived physiological reality, preparing the ground for practical dietary guidelines that regulate food intake in everyday life.

Ayurvedic Guidelines for Healthy Eating: Aṣṭa Āhāra Vidhi Viśeṣāyātana

Ayurveda emphasizes that dietary health depends not only on the nature of food consumed but also on the way it is prepared, combined, and ingested. The Caraka Saṃhitā outlines eight fundamental factors, collectively known as Aṣṭa Āhāra Vidhi Viśeṣāyātana [38], which govern proper dietary conduct and support effective digestion. These principles operationalize earlier concepts of Pathya and Sātmya by translating them into practical guidelines applicable to daily life.

Table 2. Aṣṭa Āhāra Vidhi Viśeṣāyātana and Digestive Regulation

Factor	Core Principle
Prakṛti	Inherent qualities of food
Karaṇa	Effect of processing
Samyoga	Compatibility of combinations
Rāśi	Quantity relative to Agni
Deśa	Environmental context
Kāla	Timing and season
Upayoga saṃsthā	Eating conduct
Upayoktā	Individual suitability

1. Prakṛti (Nature of Food)

The inherent qualities of food—such as light or heavy, hot or cold, dry or unctuous—determine its physiological effect. Understanding these properties enables the selection of foods that support digestive capacity and constitutional balance.

2. Karaṇa (Processing of Food)

The method of preparation significantly influences digestibility. Processes such as cooking, boiling, or steaming can enhance the suitability of food, particularly for individuals with reduced digestive strength.

3. Saṃyoga (Combination of Foods)

The compatibility of food combinations plays a crucial role in digestion. Appropriate combinations support digestive efficiency, whereas incompatible pairings interfere with metabolic harmony.

4. Rāśi (Quantity of Food)

The quantity of food consumed should correspond to digestive capacity. Moderation is emphasized to avoid strain on digestion and to maintain functional balance.

5. Deśa (Place of Consumption)

The physical and psychological environment in which food is consumed influences digestion. A clean, calm, and undisturbed setting supports mental focus and digestive efficiency.

6. Kāla (Time of Consumption)

Timing of meals should align with daily and seasonal rhythms. Digestion is most efficient when food is consumed at appropriate times, particularly when digestive strength is naturally heightened.

7. Upayoga Saṃsthā (Rules of Consumption)

Proper conduct during meals—including attentive eating, adequate chewing, and avoidance of haste—enhances digestion and assimilation.

8. Upayuktā (The Consumer)

Dietary suitability ultimately depends on the individual, considering constitution, age, habituation, and lifestyle. Personalized dietary judgment ensures that food supports functional needs.

Together, these eight factors provide a comprehensive framework for dietary regulation, integrating food quality, behavior, environment, and individual variability. The Aṣṭa Āhāra Vidhi Viśeṣāyātana thus represents the practical embodiment of Ayurvedic dietary wisdom, guiding daily eating practices in a manner that preserves digestive balance and physiological harmony [38].

Vishamāśana, Adhyāśana, and Sāmaśana: Improper Eating Practices

Ayurveda recognizes that not only the quality of food but also the pattern and manner of eating play a decisive role in digestive health. Certain habitual eating practices disturb the orderly functioning of digestion by placing irregular or excessive demands on Agni. Among these, Vishamāśana, Adhyāśana, and Sāmaśana are described as principal improper eating behaviors that compromise digestive regulation [39].

Vishamāśana refers to irregular eating patterns characterized by inconsistent meal timings, excessive quantities, or inappropriate intervals between meals. Such irregularity disrupts digestive rhythm and challenges the stability of Agni. Eating at odd hours, skipping meals, or consuming disproportionately large quantities in a single sitting places undue strain on digestion and interferes with metabolic balance. Adhyāśana denotes the practice of consuming food before the previous meal has been adequately digested. This habit overloads the digestive system, preventing complete processing and assimilation of food.

By not allowing sufficient time for digestive completion, Adhyāśana weakens digestive efficiency and disrupts metabolic continuity. Sāmaśana refers to the intake of wholesome and unwholesome foods together within the same meal, particularly through incompatible combinations. Such mixing interferes with coordinated digestion, as the digestive system struggles to process substances with opposing properties simultaneously. The lack of appropriate balance and compatibility in food combinations compromises digestive harmony and functional efficiency [39].

Collectively, these improper eating practices represent deviations from disciplined dietary conduct rather than isolated dietary errors. When sustained, they disturb digestive regulation and predispose the system to functional imbalance. Ayurveda therefore emphasizes the avoidance of Vishamāśana, Adhyāśana, and Sāmaśana as an essential component of maintaining digestive order and metabolic stability.

Āma: Formation, Characteristics, and Systemic Significance

In Ayurveda, Āma refers to the undigested or improperly metabolized material formed when the digestive process is incomplete or impaired. It arises primarily from the dysfunction of Agni and represents a fundamental pathological principle underlying disease development [40]. The formation of Āma is not determined solely by the quantity of food consumed but is influenced by the quality, compatibility, timing of intake, and the internal regulatory state of digestion. Foods that are excessively heavy, cold, dry, or difficult to digest challenge digestive capacity and hinder proper transformation [41]. When such foods are consumed at inappropriate times or under unfavorable conditions, they remain incompletely processed, resulting in the formation of Āma. Importantly, Āma is not a property of food itself but a consequence of impaired digestion.

The mental state plays a critical role in this process. Emotional disturbances such as stress, anger, fear, and anxiety weaken digestive regulation by destabilizing Manas and sensory control. Even otherwise wholesome food, when consumed in a disturbed or distracted mental state, may fail to undergo proper digestion [31]. Thus, Āma formation reflects the combined disturbance of Agni and Manas rather than dietary factors alone.

Classical texts describe Āma as heavy, sticky, and obstructive in nature, interfering with normal physiological functions. Once formed, it circulates through the body, impairs metabolic processes, and disrupts the functional integrity of dhātu and srotas. The presence of Āma compromises nourishment, reduces physiological efficiency, and establishes the internal environment for disease manifestation [42].

Within the Ayurvedic framework, Āma represents the critical transition from functional imbalance to pathological expression. Its recognition marks the culmination of disturbed dietary habits, impaired digestive regulation, and unresolved functional deviations. Understanding the formation and nature of Āma is therefore essential for appreciating the central role of digestion in health and disease.

3. Philosophical Dimensions of Digestion

Ayurveda does not treat digestion as a purely physiological event but situates it within a broader philosophical and metaphysical worldview derived from Indian thought. Concepts such as Dharma, Karma, Mokṣa, Ātman [43], and Brahman [44] together define the purpose of human life and the means by which harmony between body, mind, and consciousness is attained. Within this framework, digestion is understood as a sacred transformative process that sustains not only biological existence but also mental clarity and spiritual evolution. The philosophical dimensions of digestion in Ayurveda therefore extend beyond metabolism, framing food, digestion, and nourishment as integral to consciousness itself.

Agni as the Inner Divine Principle

In Ayurvedic and Vedic philosophy, Agni is not merely a biochemical or metabolic force but the living manifestation of divine intelligence operating within the human body. The Vedic declaration “Aham Vaiśvānaro Bhūtvā” describes Agni as the universal fire that resides within all beings, sustaining life through transformation [45]. This view elevates digestion from a mechanical process to a sacred function governed by cosmic order.

Classical Ayurvedic texts consistently equate Agni with life itself. When Agni is balanced and luminous, life is sustained; when it is extinguished or severely impaired, life ceases [46]. In the Sushruta Saṁhitā, Agni is revered as God, celebrated for divine nature, which transcends human understanding [47]. Agni thus serves as the bridge between the material and the subtle, transforming inert matter into living essence. From this perspective, nurturing Agni through appropriate diet, discipline, and awareness is equivalent to honoring the divine presence within the body. Neglect of Agni through excess, greed, or carelessness is viewed not merely as dietary error but as a disruption of the sacred integrity of the body.

Food as Brahman and Source of Consciousness

Indian philosophy regards food as the primordial substratum of existence, encapsulated in the principle, Annam Brahma: food as the Supreme reality [48]. The Upaniṣadic view holds that all beings originate from food, are sustained by food, and ultimately return to food. Ayurveda adopts this metaphysical position, recognizing food not only as physical nourishment but as the primary source of Prāṇa, the vital force that sustains both body and mind.

Food, in this metaphysical understanding, carries subtle energy that shapes physiological structures as well as mental and emotional states. Classical texts describe a hierarchical transformation of food, wherein its gross component becomes waste, the intermediate portion nourishes bodily tissues, and its subtlest essence supports mental and cognitive functions. Thus, food directly participates in the formation of consciousness [49]. When consumed with awareness and in alignment with individual constitution and environmental rhythms, food becomes a vehicle for vitality, clarity, and stability of mind.

Digestion as Yajña: The Sacrificial Paradigm

Ayurveda further conceptualizes digestion through the metaphor of Yajña—the sacred fire ritual central to Vedic culture. In this paradigm, Agni functions as the sacred fire, and food becomes the offering. Just as a Yajña requires purity of materials, correct procedure, and reverence, digestion likewise demands appropriate food, mindful consumption, and internal harmony [50].

The act of eating is thus transformed into a ritualistic offering to the inner fire. When food is consumed with gratitude, moderation, and attention, it is efficiently transformed into vitality and strength. Conversely, careless or disrespectful consumption weakens the digestive fire, leading to incomplete transformation and internal disorder. This sacrificial model reinforces the idea that digestion is not merely an automatic bodily function but a conscious act with profound physiological and spiritual consequences.

Agni, Ojas, and Consciousness

Agni governs not only digestion but the refinement of nourishment into Ojas, the subtle essence responsible for vitality, immunity, and mental stability. Ojas represents the culmination of proper digestion and metabolic harmony, linking physical nourishment to

psychological resilience and spiritual clarity. A balanced Agni ensures that food is transformed efficiently into Ojas, sustaining strength, composure, and awareness [51].

The quality of food consumed plays a decisive role in this process. Traditional philosophical classifications describe foods in terms of Sāttvic, Rājasic, and Tāmasic tendencies, reflecting their influence on mind and consciousness rather than mere nutrient composition. Foods that are fresh, light, and nourishing support clarity and equilibrium; those that are excessively stimulating provoke restlessness; and those that are stale or heavy promote inertia and dullness [52]. While classical Ayurvedic texts emphasize functional suitability rather than rigid categorization, this framework illustrates the deep recognition that diet shapes consciousness.

Through the regulation of Agni and the cultivation of appropriate dietary choices, Ayurveda presents digestion as a continuous process of refinement—from matter to vitality, and from vitality to consciousness. In this way, digestive health becomes inseparable from mental balance and spiritual well-being within the Ayurvedic worldview.

4. Cultural and Seasonal Dimensions of Digestion

Ayurveda recognizes that digestion is also a culturally embedded practice shaped by rituals, social ethics, seasonal rhythms, and geographical context. Traditional Indian dietary customs evolved as practical extensions of Ayurvedic principles, ensuring that food consumption aligned with digestive capacity, mental state, and environmental conditions. Through etiquette, saṃskāra (processing techniques), seasonal observances, and regional food practices, culture functioned as a regulatory framework that preserved digestive balance across the lifespan.

Eating Etiquette, Rituals, and Ethics

Ayurveda places significant emphasis on the way food is consumed, recognizing that the environment, mental disposition, and preparatory rituals surrounding eating directly influence digestive efficiency. Eating is regarded as a disciplined act that requires physical cleanliness, mental calmness, and attentiveness. Traditional practices such as bathing, wearing clean clothing, and offering a prayer before meals were intended to create internal readiness for digestion rather than serving as mere ritual formalities.

The sanctification of food reflects the understanding that nourishment should be approached with reverence and restraint. Offering food symbolically to the divine, guests, or elders cultivated mindfulness and gratitude, transforming eating into a conscious act rather than a mechanical routine. Hygiene related practices—washing hands, feet, and face before meals—served both practical and psychological functions, signaling a transition from external activity to inward focus.

Ayurveda further emphasizes that food should be consumed in a peaceful and orderly environment. Eating in states of emotional agitation, haste, or distraction is discouraged, as such conditions disturb mental stability and compromise digestive regulation. Mindful consumption, free from sensory overload and emotional disturbance, allows Agni to function efficiently and supports optimal nourishment [53][54].

Annapraśana Saṃskāra

Annapraśana, the first feeding ceremony of infancy, represents a carefully structured transition from exclusive milk feeding to solid nourishment. Far from being symbolic alone, this saṃskāra reflects an Ayurvedic understanding of digestive maturation. Classical texts emphasize that the initiation of solid food should occur only when the infant's digestive capacity is sufficiently developed. The gradual introduction of foods—beginning with

light, easily digestible substances such as fruit juices and progressing to cereals—ensures that the immature digestive system is not overburdened [55]. The incorporation of taste elements such as salt serves to stimulate appetite and digestive responsiveness. Timing of the ceremony, traditionally aligned with favorable seasonal and cosmic conditions, reflects the broader Ayurvedic principle of synchronizing biological transitions with environmental rhythms. Annaprāsana thus exemplifies how cultural rites encoded physiological wisdom into social practice.

Seasonal Observances and Festival Based Dietary Practices

Seasonal transitions were historically recognized as periods of heightened digestive vulnerability. Indian festivals associated with seasonal junctions reflect Ayurvedic strategies for maintaining digestive balance during these shifts. Observances such as Navarātri coincide with Ritu Sandhi, the transition between seasons, and traditionally involve dietary moderation, light meals, or fasting. These practices serve to reset digestive function and prevent seasonal accumulation of doṣas [56].

Similarly, festivals such as Makara Sañkrānti emphasize foods that counter environmental stressors. The consumption of sesame and jaggery during colder months provides warmth, lubrication, and sustained energy, supporting digestion under reduced metabolic conditions. Regional festivals, such as those observed in arid climates, utilize thermogenic spices and protein rich preparations during periods when digestive strength is naturally heightened. These customs demonstrate how seasonal eating practices functioned as preventive digestive care embedded within cultural celebration.

Regional Dietary Practices and Deśa Sātmya

Ayurveda acknowledges that geography exerts a powerful influence on digestion and metabolism. The principle of Deśa Sātmya explains regional dietary variations as adaptive responses to climate, terrain, and ecological conditions. In arid regions, traditional diets emphasize unctuous and nourishing foods to counter dryness and support stability. In marshy or humid regions, lighter and drying foods predominate to prevent excessive heaviness and stagnation [57].

These regional patterns are reflected in staple food choices, cooking methods, and spice usage across India. Cooling rice-based preparations are common in warmer climates, while wheat and dairy dominate colder regions where grounding and warming nourishment is required. Such practices illustrate how cultural food systems evolved in harmony with environmental demands, preserving digestive balance through localized dietary wisdom.

5. Contemporary Relevance and Integrative Perspectives

Contemporary biomedical research increasingly recognizes digestion as a centrally regulated, system wide process influenced by neural, endocrine, immune, microbial, and circadian factors. Many of these insights parallel foundational Ayurvedic principles that emphasize the integration of digestion, mental state, dietary quality, and temporal alignment in maintaining health. This section examines key areas of convergence between classical Ayurvedic concepts and modern scientific evidence, focusing on neuro-gastroenterology, gut–brain communication, dietary patterns, circadian rhythms, and lifestyle related metabolic dysregulation. Rather than attempting direct conceptual equivalence, these perspectives are presented as functionally analogous frameworks that together enhance understanding of digestion as a dynamic regulator of physical and mental well-being [58,59].

Gut–Brain Axis and Mental Health: Contemporary Scientific Validation of Ayurvedic Concepts

Contemporary biomedical research increasingly recognizes digestion as a centrally regulated, bidirectional process involving continuous communication between the gastrointestinal tract and the brain. The concept of the gut–brain axis encompasses integrated neural, endocrine, immune, and microbial signaling pathways that coordinate digestive function with emotional state, cognition, and metabolic regulation. This emerging scientific framework closely parallels Ayurvedic descriptions of the interdependence between digestive–metabolic function and mental regulation [58,60].

Neuro-gastroenterological studies demonstrate that digestion and nutrient absorption are not governed solely by local gastrointestinal mechanisms but are dynamically modulated by central neural circuits. Experimental evidence shows that specific brainstem nuclei regulate intestinal absorptive capacity through vagal efferent pathways, directly influencing nutrient assimilation at the level of intestinal microstructure. Such findings validate the Ayurvedic view that digestive strength is under higher regulatory control rather than being a purely peripheral process [61].

The enteric nervous system operates in continuous coordination with the central nervous system, integrating sensory information from the gut lumen with emotional and cognitive states. Stress, anxiety, and emotional disturbances have been shown to alter gastrointestinal motility, secretion, permeability, and absorption through autonomic and neuroendocrine pathways. This bidirectional influence where mental states affect digestion and digestive disturbances influence mental health, mirrors classical Ayurvedic descriptions of disturbed mind impairing digestive fire [58,62].

Beyond neural signaling, gut microbiota and their metabolites play a crucial role in shaping brain function and emotional regulation. Microbial derived metabolites influence neurotransmitter pathways, immune signaling, and barrier integrity, linking digestive processing to cognition and mood. Dysregulated gut ecology is associated with low grade systemic inflammation and neuroinflammatory responses that correlate with anxiety, depressive symptoms, cognitive impairment, and altered stress responsiveness. These observations align with the Ayurvedic concept of *Āma*, understood here as improperly processed metabolic intermediates exerting systemic and neuropsychological effects [63–65].

Dietary patterns further modulate the gut–brain axis by shaping microbial composition, inflammatory tone, and neurochemical availability. Diets characterized by excessive processing, high sugar, and high fat content are consistently associated with increased inflammatory signaling and adverse mental health outcomes, whereas whole food dietary patterns show protective associations. These findings reinforce the Ayurvedic emphasis on appropriate dietary regulation as a determinant of both digestive and mental health [66,67]. Collectively, modern evidence supports the Ayurvedic assertion that digestion, mental state, and systemic health are inseparably linked through integrated regulatory networks. The gut–brain axis provides a mechanistic foundation for classical descriptions of *Agni*, *Manas–Agni* interaction, and *Āma*, demonstrating that disturbances in digestion and metabolism manifest not only as physical disease but also as cognitive and emotional dysregulation [58,60,63].

Circadian Rhythm, Seasonality, and Metabolism

Modern chronobiology has established that metabolic processes are tightly regulated by circadian rhythms, which coordinate physiological functions with the light–dark cycle, sleep–wake patterns, and timing of food intake. Digestive efficiency, glucose metabolism, hormone secretion, and appetite regulation all follow predictable diurnal oscillations.

Disruption of these rhythms through irregular sleep, late night eating, or erratic meal timing—has been shown to impair metabolic homeostasis and increase the risk of obesity, insulin resistance, and related lifestyle disorders [68].

Experimental and observational studies demonstrate that circadian misalignment alters key metabolic regulators, including insulin sensitivity, leptin–ghrelin balance, and hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis activity. Eating at biologically inappropriate times, particularly late at night when metabolic capacity is reduced, promotes positive energy balance and inefficient nutrient utilization. These findings directly parallel Ayurvedic descriptions of time dependent digestive strength, which is understood to fluctuate predictably across the day [68,69].

Ayurveda emphasizes of time as a critical determinant of digestion, recommending that the main meal be consumed when digestive fire is strongest—traditionally during midday. This temporal alignment is further expanded through seasonal regimen, which prescribes dietary and lifestyle modifications in response to cyclical environmental changes. Seasonal variations in temperature, humidity, and daylight are believed to influence digestive capacity, tissue metabolism, and doshic balance, necessitating corresponding dietary adjustments [69]. Contemporary nutritional epidemiology supports the existence of measurable seasonal variation in dietary patterns and metabolic outcomes. Seasonal oscillations in food choice and diet quality have been documented even in modern populations, suggesting that human metabolism retains sensitivity to environmental and temporal cues despite technological buffering. Such findings lend empirical support to Ayurvedic concepts of plac) and time as organizing principles for dietary regulation [70].

Circadian disruption also affects gut microbial rhythms, which in turn influence metabolic signaling and inflammatory tone. Altered feeding fasting cycles desynchronize host–microbe interactions, contributing to dysbiosis and impaired metabolic regulation. This resonates with Ayurvedic warnings against irregular eating patterns and mistimed food intake, which are described as causes of weakened Agni and metabolic inefficiency [65,68]. Taken together, contemporary evidence affirms that optimal metabolism depends not only on dietary composition but also on temporal alignment with endogenous biological rhythms. The Ayurvedic emphasis on time appropriate eating, seasonal adaptation, and rhythmic living anticipates modern insights into circadian biology, positioning Agni as a dynamic, time sensitive regulator of metabolic health rather than a static digestive function [68–70].

Lifestyle Disorders and Digestive Dysregulation

Lifestyle disorders represent a major global health burden and are increasingly understood as disorders of metabolic regulation rather than isolated organ specific diseases. Contemporary research consistently identifies chronic digestive dysregulation, low grade inflammation, altered gut microbiota, and sustained psychosocial stress as converging drivers of conditions such as obesity, type 2 diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease, functional gastrointestinal disorders, and mood related illnesses. Ayurveda conceptualizes these conditions as the cumulative consequence of impaired Agni and sustained formation of Āma [63,66,68].

Modern clinical and experimental studies demonstrate that chronic stress, irregular eating patterns, circadian disruption, and poor dietary quality progressively impair digestive efficiency and metabolic signaling. These factors alter nutrient handling, disrupt insulin sensitivity, and promote systemic inflammation. In Ayurveda, such patterns are described as persistent disturbances of digestive fire, resulting in incomplete transformation of food and the gradual accumulation of metabolically active intermediates that obstruct physiological pathways [58,63].

Functional gastrointestinal disorders, including irritable bowel syndrome and functional dyspepsia, exemplify the close relationship between digestion, stress, and mental health. These conditions often lack overt structural pathology yet exhibit marked alterations in gut motility, permeability, microbial composition, and central pain processing. The Ayurvedic framework anticipates this presentation by recognizing that disturbed Manas and weakened Agni can produce significant symptoms even in the absence of gross tissue damage [62,64]. Metabolic disorders further illustrate the systemic consequences of digestive dysregulation. Excessive intake of energy dense, ultraprocessed foods combined with irregular meal timing and reduced physical activity promotes chronic inflammatory signaling and adipose dysfunction. Over time, this leads to insulin resistance, dyslipidemia, and vascular injury. Ayurveda interprets this progression as the transition from functional digestive impairment to deeper tissue involvement, mediated by persistent Āma burden and declining Ojas (vital resilience) [66,67].

Importantly, emerging evidence indicates that early digestive and metabolic disturbances often precede clinically diagnosed lifestyle diseases. Alterations in gut microbiota composition, inflammatory markers, appetite regulation, and stress responsiveness can be detected years before overt disease manifestation. This observation aligns closely with the Ayurvedic emphasis on prevention and early correction of digestive imbalance as the most effective strategy for maintaining long-term health [63,68].

Thus, lifestyle disorders may be understood as the downstream expression of prolonged digestive and metabolic dysregulation driven by dietary excess, mental stress, circadian misalignment, and loss of regulatory discipline. The Ayurvedic model offers a coherent explanatory framework in which impaired Agni, unchecked Āma formation, and erosion of Ojas collectively account for the multisystem nature of modern chronic disease [58,63,68].

Attribute Based Dietary Classification in Light of Contemporary Nutrition Science

Ayurveda and Indian philosophies classically describe food and diet through the framework of Guṇa—Sāttvika, Rājasika, and Tāmasika—to explain their differential effects on digestion, mental state, and overall vitality. While this classification originates in philosophical literature, its practical application in Ayurveda is grounded in observable functional outcomes related to clarity, stimulation, heaviness, and metabolic efficiency. When examined through the lens of contemporary nutrition science, the guṇa based framework can be reinterpreted as an early functional classification of dietary patterns influencing neuro metabolic health [71].

Sāttvika food is traditionally characterized as fresh, light, minimally processed, and conducive to mental clarity and digestive balance. In modern nutritional terms, this category aligns closely with whole food dietary patterns rich in vegetables, fruits, whole grains, legumes, and healthy fats. Such diets are consistently associated with lower systemic inflammation, improved gut microbiota diversity, better metabolic regulation, and favorable mental health outcomes. These effects support the Ayurvedic assertion that Sāttvika food strengthens Agni, promotes optimal digestion, and sustains Ojas, the substratum of physiological and psychological resilience [66,67].

Rājasika food is described as intensely stimulating, excessively pungent, salty, or sour, and capable of provoking restlessness, heightened appetite, and emotional agitation when consumed in excess. Contemporary research identifies similar effects from hyperpalatable diets high in salt, refined carbohydrates, and stimulatory additives, which can overstimulate reward pathways, dysregulate appetite control, and contribute to stress related eating behaviors. While such foods may temporarily enhance energy or alertness, habitual

overconsumption is associated with metabolic strain, inflammatory signaling, and impaired gut–brain regulation outcomes that parallel Ayurvedic descriptions of disturbed Agni and mental instability [66,67].

Tāmasika food is traditionally defined as stale, overly heavy, excessively processed, or depleted of vitality, leading to lethargy, mental dullness, and digestive inefficiency. Modern nutrition science increasingly recognizes ultra processed foods as contributors to gut dysbiosis, low grade inflammation, impaired satiety signaling, and adverse mental health outcomes. These foods are energy dense yet nutrient poor, disrupt microbial homeostasis, and burden metabolic pathways, corresponding closely with the Ayurvedic concept of Āma formation and progressive depletion of Ojas [65–67].

Importantly, Ayurveda does not present the guṇa framework as a rigid moral categorization but as a contextual guide for dietary regulation based on constitution, digestive capacity, mental state, season, and life circumstances. This nuanced application resonates with contemporary shifts away from reductionist nutrient focused models toward dietary pattern analysis and personalized nutrition. The guṇa based model thus functions as an early systems-oriented approach, integrating digestive efficiency, mental effects, and long term metabolic consequences [71].

Viewed through contemporary evidence, the Sāttvika–Rājasika–Tāmasika classification can be understood as a qualitative framework anticipating modern insights into how different dietary patterns influence inflammation, gut–brain communication, and metabolic health. Rather than standing in opposition to nutritional science, this traditional model offers a complementary lens for interpreting the functional impact of diet on both digestion and mental well-being [66,71].

Table 3. Integrative Framework of Digestion: Ayurvedic Concepts and Contemporary Scientific Perspectives

Domain	Ayurvedic Concept	Functional Description	Contemporary Scientific Perspective
Digestive regulation	Agni	Central regulator of digestion, metabolism, and transformation	Integrated neural–endocrine–metabolic control of digestion
Mental–digestive interaction	Manas–Agni axis	Mental state modulates digestive efficiency	Gut–brain axis; autonomic and neuroendocrine regulation
Metabolic disturbance	Āma	Incompletely processed metabolites causing systemic dysfunction	Dysbiosis, inflammatory intermediates, metabolic inefficiency
Dietary suitability	Pathya / Apathya	Diet compatible or incompatible with digestion and mind	Dietary pattern quality; ultra-processed vs whole food diets
Adaptation	Sātmya	Individual habituation and dietary resilience	Personalized nutrition; adaptive metabolic responses
Temporal regulation	Kāla / Ṛtucaryā	Time and season dependent digestive variation	Circadian rhythm, chrononutrition, seasonal metabolic variation
Vital resilience	Ojas	Outcome of optimal digestion and metabolic harmony	Immune competence, stress resilience, metabolic reserve

Dietary quality (qualitative)	Sāttvika–Rājasika–Tāmasika	Influence of food on clarity, stimulation, or inertia	Dietary patterns influencing inflammation and mental health
-------------------------------	----------------------------	---	---

6. DISCUSSION

The present review synthesizes classical Ayurvedic principles of digestion with contemporary scientific evidence to elucidate the central role of digestive regulation in the prevention and progression of lifestyle disorders. Across both traditional and modern frameworks, digestion emerges not as an isolated gastrointestinal function but as a systemic, integrative process linking diet, mental state, metabolic regulation, and long-term health outcomes.

Ayurveda positions Agni as the primary determinant of health, governing not only the breakdown of food but also its assimilation, transformation, and elimination. The reviewed contemporary evidence supports this integrative view, demonstrating that digestive efficiency is dynamically regulated by neural, endocrine, immune, and microbial pathways. Modern concepts such as the gut–brain axis, neuro-gastroenterology, and microbiota mediated signaling provide mechanistic explanations for the Ayurvedic assertion that digestion is inseparable from mental and emotional regulation.

Lifestyle disorders including obesity, metabolic syndrome, functional gastrointestinal disorders, and mood related conditions are increasingly recognized as outcomes of chronic dysregulation rather than discrete pathologies. Irregular eating patterns, poor dietary quality, circadian misalignment, and sustained psychosocial stress collectively impair metabolic flexibility and promote low grade systemic inflammation. Within the Ayurvedic framework, these disturbances correspond to weakened Agni, progressive accumulation of Āma, and erosion of Ojas, resulting in multisystem vulnerability rather than localized disease.

A key contribution of this review is the demonstration that Ayurvedic dietary principles such as Pathya, Sātmya, temporal alignment (Kāla), and qualitative dietary classification—anticipate contemporary shifts toward personalized nutrition and dietary pattern analysis. Rather than prescribing rigid food rules, Ayurveda emphasizes adaptability based on individual constitution, digestive capacity, season, and mental state. This context sensitive approach aligns with modern recognition that uniform dietary recommendations often fail to account for interindividual variability in metabolism, stress responsiveness, and gut microbial composition.

The reinterpretation of the Sāttvika–Rājasika–Tāmasika dietary framework through contemporary nutritional science further illustrates the systems-oriented nature of Ayurvedic thought. When viewed functionally rather than philosophically, this classification corresponds to dietary patterns now known to differentially influence inflammation, mental clarity, and metabolic regulation. Such convergence suggests that traditional qualitative descriptors may serve as heuristic tools for understanding complex diet–mind–metabolism interactions.

Importantly, this review does not propose Ayurveda as a replacement for modern medical interventions, particularly in advanced or acute disease states. Instead, it highlights the complementary value of Ayurvedic principles in prevention, early intervention, and long-term lifestyle management. By addressing upstream determinants digestive regulation, mental balance, rhythmic living, and dietary appropriateness, Ayurveda offers a framework that may reduce disease burden and dependence on symptom-oriented interventions.

Nevertheless, limitations must be acknowledged. Many Ayurvedic concepts are qualitative and require careful contextual interpretation when integrated with biomedical research

paradigms. Further interdisciplinary studies are needed to operationalize classical constructs such as Agni and Āma in measurable physiological terms and to evaluate the long-term clinical impact of integrative dietary and lifestyle interventions.

Overall, the convergence between Ayurveda and contemporary digestive science underscores digestion as a central axis of health. Recognizing digestion as a dynamic, mind-influential, and time sensitive process offers a unifying perspective for addressing the complex, multifactorial nature of modern lifestyle disorders.

7. CONCLUSION

Digestive health lies at the core of both traditional Ayurvedic medicine and contemporary understanding of lifestyle related disorders. This review demonstrates that Ayurveda's digestion centered framework—anchored in the concepts of Agni, Āma, and the integration of mind, diet, time, and environment—offers a coherent and systems-oriented model for understanding health and disease. Modern scientific advances in neurogastroenterology, microbiome research, circadian biology, and nutritional science increasingly validate these classical insights, revealing digestion as a dynamic, centrally regulated process with profound metabolic and neuropsychological implications.

By emphasizing personalized dietary regulation, mindful eating, temporal alignment, and mental balance, Ayurveda addresses upstream determinants of disease that are often overlooked in symptom focused approaches. The convergence between Ayurvedic principles and contemporary evidence suggests that traditional qualitative frameworks, when interpreted functionally, can meaningfully inform modern preventive and integrative health strategies.

Rather than positioning Ayurveda in opposition to biomedical science, this review highlights its potential role as a complementary paradigm—particularly in prevention, early intervention, and long-term lifestyle management. Integrating digestion centered Ayurvedic principles with modern healthcare may contribute to more sustainable strategies for reducing the burden of lifestyle disorders and promoting holistic well-being.

Declarations

Conflict of Interest: The author declares that they have no conflicts of interest related to this work.

Funding / Financial Support: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Author Contributions: The authors have contributed to the conception, design, data collection, analysis, drafting, and approval of the final manuscript.

Ethical Approval: Not Applicable as there is no interventional design.

Data Availability Statement: The data supporting the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to acknowledge the support of their institution, colleagues, and technical staff who contributed to this work.

AI-Use Declaration: The author declares that generative AI tools were not used for scientific analysis, data interpretation, or the formulation of conclusions in this manuscript. Language editing tools were used solely to improve clarity and readability. The authors take full responsibility for all content.

References:

1. Suśruta. Suśrutasaṃhitā. Sūtrasthāna, Doṣadhātumalakṣayavṛddhivijñānīya-adhyāya; 15/41 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from:

<https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/sushruta/sutrasthana/doshadhatumalakshayavridhhi-vijnaneeya-adhyaya/>

2. Agniveśa. Carakasaṃhitā. Śārīrasthāna, Puruṣavicayaśārīra-adhyāya; 5/4 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from:

<https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/charaka/shareerasthana/05-purushavichaya/>

3. Agniveśa. Carakasaṃhitā. Sūtrasthāna, Dīrghañjīvitīya-adhyāya; 1/53 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from:

<https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/charaka/sutrasthana/01-dirghanjivitiya/>

4. Vāgbhaṭa. Aṣṭāṅgahr̥daya. Sūtrasthāna, Rogānutpādānīya-adhyāya; 4/36 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from:

<https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/ashtangahrdaya/sutrasthana/04-roganutpadaniya/>

5. Agniveśa. Carakasaṃhitā. Cikitsāsthāna, Grahaṇīdoṣacikitsā-adhyāya; 15/7 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from:

<https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/charaka/chikitsasthana/15-grahanidosha/>

6. Vāgbhaṭa. Aṣṭāṅgahr̥daya. Sūtrasthāna, Mātrāśītīya-adhyāya; 8/2 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from:

<https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/ashtangahrdaya/sutrasthana/08-matrashtitiya/>

7. Vāgbhaṭa. Aṣṭāṅgahr̥daya. Sūtrasthāna, Doṣopakramānīya-adhyāya; 13/27 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from:

<https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/ashtangahrdaya/sutrasthana/13-dosopakramaniya/>

8. Agniveśa. Carakasaṃhitā. Sūtrasthāna, Dīrghañjīvitīya-adhyāya; 1/8 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from:

<https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/charaka/sutrasthana/01-dirghanjivitiya/>

9. Agniveśa. Carakasaṃhitā. Sūtrasthāna, Vātakalākaliya-adhyāya; 12/11 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from:

<https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/charaka/sutrasthana/12-vatakalakaliya/>

10. Vāgbhaṭa. Aṣṭāṅgahr̥daya. Sūtrasthāna, Doṣabhedīya-adhyāya; 12/67 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from:

<https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/ashtangahrdaya/sutrasthana/12-dosabhediya/>

11. Suśruta. Suśrutasaṃhitā. Sūtrasthāna, Annapānavidhi-adhyāya; 46/526 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from:

<https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/sushruta/sutrasthana/46-annapanavidhi/>

12. Agniveśa. Carakasaṃhitā. Cikitsāsthāna, Grahaṇīdoṣacikitsā-adhyāya; 15/56 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from:

<https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/charaka/chikitsasthana/15-grahanidosha/>

13. Agniveśa. Carakasaṃhitā. Vimānasthāna, Trividhakukṣīya-adhyāya; 2/17–18 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from:

<https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/charaka/vimanasthana/02-trividhakukshiya/>

14. Agniveśa. Carakasaṃhitā. Śārīrasthāna, Śārīrasaṃkhyā-adhyāya; 7/10 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from:

<https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/charaka/shareerasthana/07-shareerasankhya/>

15. Vāgbhaṭa. Aṣṭāṅgahr̥daya. Sūtrasthāna, Doṣādivijñānīya-adhyāya; 11/34 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from:

<https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/ashtangahrdaya/sutrasthana/11-dosadivijnaniya/>

16. Agniveśa. Carakasaṃhitā. Sūtrasthāna, Mātrāśītīya-adhyāya; 5/4 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from:

<https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/charaka/sutrasthana/05-matrashtitiya/>

17. Vāgbhaṭa. Aṣṭāṅgahr̥daya. Sūtrasthāna, Āyushkāmiya-adhyāya; 1/9–10 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from: <https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/ashtangahridaya/sutrasthana/01-ayushkamiya/>
18. Agniveśa. Carakasamhitā. Sūtrasthāna, Mātrāśitīya-adhyāya; 5/6 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from: <https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/charaka/sutrasthana/05-matrashitiya/>
19. Vāgbhaṭa. Aṣṭāṅgahr̥daya. Sūtrasthāna, Mātrāśitīya-adhyāya; 8/55 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from: <https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/ashtangahridaya/sutrasthana/08-matrashitiya/>
20. Vāgbhaṭa. Aṣṭāṅgahr̥daya. Sūtrasthāna, Doṣabhedīya-adhyāya; 12/10–12 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from: <https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/ashtangahridaya/sutrasthana/12-dosabhediya/>
21. Vāgbhaṭa. Aṣṭāṅgahr̥daya. Sūtrasthāna, Doṣādivijñānīya-adhyāya; 11/35 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from: <https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/ashtangahridaya/sutrasthana/11-dosadivijnaniya/>
22. Agniveśa. Carakasamhitā. Śārīrasthāna, Katidhāpuruṣīya-adhyāya; 1/27 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from: <https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/charaka/shareerasthana/01-katidhapurushiya/>
23. Agniveśa. Carakasamhitā. Cikitsāsthāna, Grahaṇīdoṣacikitsā-adhyāya; 15/13 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from: <https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/charaka/chikitsasthana/15-grahanidosha/>
24. Agniveśa. Carakasamhitā. Śārīrasthāna, Katidhāpuruṣīya-adhyāya; 1/20 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from: <https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/charaka/shareerasthana/01-katidhapurushiya/>
25. Agniveśa. Carakasamhitā. Cikitsāsthāna, Grahaṇīdoṣacikitsā-adhyāya; 15/42–44 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from: <https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/charaka/chikitsasthana/15-grahanidosha/>
26. Agniveśa. Carakasamhitā. Vimānasthāna, Rasavimāna-adhyāya; 1/24 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from: <https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/charaka/vimanasthana/01-rasa/>
27. Vāgbhaṭa. Aṣṭāṅgahr̥daya. Sūtrasthāna, Mātrāśitīya-adhyāya; 8/35–38 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from: <https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/ashtangahridaya/sutrasthana/08-matrashitiya/>
28. Vāgbhaṭa. Aṣṭāṅgahr̥daya. Sūtrasthāna, Āyushkāmiya-adhyāya; 1/19 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from: <https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/ashtangahridaya/sutrasthana/01-ayushkamiya/>
29. Agniveśa. Carakasamhitā. Śārīrasthāna, Katidhāpuruṣīya-adhyāya; 1/102 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from: <https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/charaka/shareerasthana/01-katidhapurushiya/>
30. Vāgbhaṭa. Aṣṭāṅgahr̥daya. Sūtrasthāna, Mātrāśitīya-adhyāya; 8/31–33 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from: <https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/ashtangahridaya/sutrasthana/08-matrashitiya/>
31. Agniveśa. Carakasamhitā. Vimānasthāna, Trividhakukṣīya-adhyāya; 2/9 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from: <https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/charaka/vimanasthana/02-trividhakukshiya/>
32. Agniveśa. Carakasamhitā. Sūtrasthāna, Yajjahpuruṣīya-adhyāya; 25/45 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from: <https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/charaka/sutrasthana/25-yajjahpurushiya/>

34. Cakrapāṇi Datta. Āyurvedadīpikā commentary on Carakasamhitā. Sūtrasthāna, Yajñahpuruṣīya-adhyāya; 25/45–47 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from: <https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/charaka/sutrasthana/25-yajñahpurushiya/>
35. Agniveśa. Carakasamhitā. Vimānasthāna, Rogabhīṣagjītiya-vimāna; 8/118 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from: <https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/charaka/vimanasthana/08-rogabhisagjitiya/>
36. Ḍalhaṇa. Nibandhasaṅgraha commentary on Suśrutasamhitā. Sūtrasthāna, Hitāhitiya-adhyāya; 20/4 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from: <https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/sushruta/sutrasthana/20-hitahitiya/>
37. Nandeesh J, Mangal G, Panja AK, Sharma BK. Clinical assessment and therapeutic implications of Snehana (Oleation) therapy in Ayurveda. Int J Ayurveda360. 2025;1(6):484–493. <https://doi.org/10.63247/3048-7390.vol.1.issue6.7>
38. Cakrapāṇi Datta. Āyurvedadīpikā commentary on Carakasamhitā. Sūtrasthāna, Tasyāśītiya-adhyāya; 6/49 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from: <https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/charaka/sutrasthana/06-tasyashitiya/>
39. Agniveśa. Carakasamhitā. Vimānasthāna, Rasavimāna-adhyāya; 1/21–22 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from: <https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/charaka/vimanasthana/01-rasa/>
40. Vāgbhaṭa. Aṣṭāṅgahr̥daya. Sūtrasthāna, Mātrāśītiya-adhyāya; 8/33–34 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from: <https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/ashtangahrdaya/sutrasthana/08-matrashitiya/>
41. Vāgbhaṭa. Aṣṭāṅgahr̥daya. Sūtrasthāna, Doṣopakramanīya-adhyāya; 13/25 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from: <https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/ashtangahrdaya/sutrasthana/13-dosopakramaniya/>
42. Agniveśa. Carakasamhitā. Sūtrasthāna, Yajñahpuruṣīya-adhyāya; 25/40 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from: <https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/charaka/sutrasthana/25-yajñahpurushiya/>
43. Vāgbhaṭa. Aṣṭāṅgahr̥daya. Sūtrasthāna, Dinacaryā-adhyāya; 2/23–24 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from: <https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/ashtangahrdaya/sutrasthana/02-dinacarya/>
44. Agniveśa. Carakasamhitā. Sūtrasthāna, Dīrghaṇjīvitīya-adhyāya; 1/15–16 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from: <https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/charaka/sutrasthana/01-dirghanjivitiya/>
45. Suśruta. Suśrutasamhitā. Śārīrasthāna, Sarvabhūtacintāśārīra-adhyāya; 1/7 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from: <https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/sushruta/sharirasthana/01-sarvabhutacinta>
46. Kaushik A. Śrīmad Bhagavad Gītā (Sanskrit, Hindi and English). New Delhi: Star Publications; 1993. Chapter 15, Verse 14 [Internet]. Available from: http://asi.nic.in/asi_books/279.pdf
47. Agniveśa. Carakasamhitā. Cikitsāsthāna, Grahaṇīdoṣacikitsā-adhyāya; 15 (verse beginning “śīrṇate agnau mriyate”) [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from: <https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/charaka/chikitsasthana/15-grahanidosha/>
48. Suśruta. Suśrutasamhitā. Sūtrasthāna, Ātūropakramanīya-adhyāya; 35/27 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from: <https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/sushruta/sutrasthana/35-atuopakramaniya/>
49. Taittirīyopaniṣad. Bhṛguvalli, Dvītiyo’nu-vākaḥ; Verse 1 [Internet]. Available from: <https://upanishads.org.in/upanishads/7/3/2/1>

50. Lokeswarananda S. Chāndogya Upaniṣad (English Translation). Verse 6.5.1 [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.wisdomlib.org/hinduism/book/chandogya-upanishad-english/d/doc239278.html>
51. Carakasamhitā. Sūtrasthāna, Tasyāśītīya-adhyāya; 6/9–10 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from: <https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/charaka/sutrasthana/06-tasyashitiya/>
52. Vāgbhaṭa. Aṣṭāṅgharīdaya. Sūtrasthāna, Doṣādivijñānīya-adhyāya; 11/37 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from: <https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/ashtangahridaya/sutrasthana/11-dosadivijnaniya/>
53. Kaushik A. Śrīmad Bhagavad Gītā. Chapter 17, Verses 8–10 [Internet]. Available from: http://asi.nic.in/asi_books/279.pdf
54. Vāgbhaṭa. Aṣṭāṅgharīdaya. Sūtrasthāna, Mātrāśītīya-adhyāya; 8/36 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from: <https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/ashtangahridaya/sutrasthana/08-matrashitiya/>
55. Agniveśa. Carakasamhitā. Vimānasthāna, Rasavimāna-adhyāya; 1/24–25 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from: <https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/charaka/vimanasthana/01-rasa/>
56. Vṛddha Jīvaka. Kāśyapa Samhitā. Khila Sthāna, Jātakarmottarādhyāya; 12/15 [Internet]. Available from: <https://archive.org/details/kasyapasamhita014944mbp>
57. Vāgbhaṭa. Aṣṭāṅgharīdaya. Śārīrasthāna; 3/58–59 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from: <https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/vagbhata/ashtangahridaya/sharirasthana/>
58. Agniveśa. Carakasamhitā. Sūtrasthāna, Atreyabhadrapīya-adhyāya; 26/86–87 [Internet]. Ayurveda360; cited 2025 Nov 22. Available from: <https://esamhita.ayurveda360.in/charaka/sutrasthana/26-atreyabhadrapiyi/>
59. Lyu Q, Xue W, Liu R, et al. A brain-to-gut signal controls intestinal fat absorption. *Nature*. 2024;634:936–943. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07929-5>
60. Sun X, Shukla M, Wang W, Li S. Unlocking gut–liver–brain axis communication metabolites. *NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes*. 2024;10:136. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41522-024-00610-9>
61. Furness JB. The enteric nervous system and neurogastroenterology. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2012;9:286–294. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrgastro.2012.32>
62. Furness JB. Integrated neural and endocrine control of gastrointestinal function. *Adv Exp Med Biol*. 2016;891:159–173. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-27592-5_16
63. Zhu R, Tian P, Zhang H, et al. Gut microbiome–brain interactions in anorexia nervosa. *Neuropharmacology*. 2023;224:109315. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2022.109315>
64. Foster JA, McVey Neufeld KA. Gut–brain axis and mental health. *Trends Neurosci*. 2013;36:305–312. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2013.01.005>
65. Jenkins TA, Nguyen JCD, Polglaze KE, Bertrand PP. Tryptophan and serotonin on mood and cognition. *Nutrients*. 2016;8:56. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu8010056>
66. Tiffany CR, Bäumlér AJ. Dysbiosis: from fiction to function. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol*. 2019;317:G602–G608. <https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpgi.00230.2019>
67. Lane MM, Gamage E, Du S, et al. Ultra-processed food exposure and adverse health outcomes. *BMJ*. 2024;384:e077310. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj-2023-077310>
68. Jamar G, Ribeiro DA, Pisani LP. High-fat or high-sugar diets and inflammation. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr*. 2021;61:836–854. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2020.1747046>

69. Westerterp-Plantenga MS. Sleep, circadian rhythm and body weight. *Proc Nutr Soc.* 2016;75:431–439. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0029665116000227>
70. Camprodon-Boadas P, et al. Mediterranean diet and mental health. *Nutr Rev.* 2025;83:e343–e355. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nutrit/nuae053>
71. Grivetti LE, Applegate EA. Diet and athletic training. *J Nutr.* 1997;127:860S–868S. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/127.5.860S>
72. van der Toorn JE, et al. Seasonal variation of diet quality. *Eur J Nutr.* 2020;59:493–504. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-019-01918-5>