Investigating the Role of Anesthesia Technicians in Promoting Safe Medication Practices and Preventing Medication Errors in the Operating Rooms of Hafr Al-Batin Hospitals: A Review

Seadoun Raheel N Aldhafeeri[1], Mashref Ytaim R Aldhafeeri[2], Abdulmonem Marzouq Sadun Alanazi [3], Faris Aiydh M Alanazi[4], Fahad Eissa Alanazi[5], Omar Abdullah W Aloslib[6]

[1] Technician — Anesthesia Technology, Maternity And Children Hospital - Hfar Albatin
[2] Technician — Anesthesia Technology, Maternity And Children Hospital - Hfar Albatin
[3] Technician — Anesthesia Technology, Maternity And Children Hospital - Hfar Albatin
[4] Technician — Anesthesia Technology, Maternity And Children Hospital - Hfar Albatin
[5] Technician — Anesthesia Technology, Maternity And Children Hospital - Hfar Albatin
[6] Technician — Anesthesia Technology, Maternity And Children Hospital - Hfar Albatin

2. Abstract
Medication errors in the operating room remain a persistent patient safety concern worldwide, particularly within anesthetic practice where high-risk medications are prepared and administered under time-sensitive conditions. Anesthesia technicians play a pivotal yet underexplored role in supporting medication safety systems, particularly in resource-variable settings such as regional hospitals. This review investigates the role of anesthesia technicians in promoting safe medication practices and preventing medication errors in the operating rooms of Hafr Al-Batin hospitals, Saudi Arabia. A structured review methodology guided by PRISMA principles was employed to synthesize evidence from peer-reviewed literature indexed in PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science. The findings indicate that medication safety in anesthesia is influenced by human factors, labeling systems, standardization protocols, teamwork dynamics, and technological supports such as barcode scanning and color-coded syringe labeling. Evidence suggests that anesthesia technicians contribute significantly to medication preparation accuracy, equipment readiness, standardization compliance, and cross-checking processes. However, formal role delineation, training variability, and absence of structured medication safety frameworks limit optimization of their contributions. Strengthening technician-specific competency frameworks, integrating them into medication safety audits, and implementing standardized protocols may enhance operating room safety culture. Further empirical research specific to regional healthcare contexts is warranted.
Keywords: anesthesia technicians, medication errors, operating room safety, medication safety systems, Saudi Arabia

3. INTRODUCTION

Medication errors represent one of the leading causes of preventable harm in healthcare systems globally (James, 2013; Makary & Daniel, 2016). Within the operating room, anesthesia practice involves rapid preparation and administration of potent, high-alert medications, often under dynamic clinical conditions. The unique workflow characteristics of anesthesia—

including multitasking, time pressure, and reliance on syringe-based drug delivery—create vulnerability to medication errors (Cooper et al., 2012; Nanji et al., 2016).

Studies have demonstrated that anesthesia-related medication errors are common, though frequently underreported (Bowdle et al., 2018). Nanji et al. (2016), in a large observational study, reported medication error or adverse drug event rates of approximately 5% in anesthetic practice. Common errors include incorrect drug selection, labeling mistakes, dosing errors, and syringe swaps (Fasting & Gisvold, 2000; Llewellyn et al., 2009). Many such errors occur during medication preparation rather than administration, suggesting that system-level interventions targeting preparation processes may reduce harm (Cooper et al., 2012).

In Saudi Arabia, patient safety initiatives have expanded significantly over the past decade; however, limited research specifically examines operating room medication safety within regional hospitals such as those in Hafr Al-Batin. Anesthesia technicians—professionals responsible for assisting anesthesiologists in equipment preparation, drug preparation support, and intraoperative logistics—constitute a critical yet understudied component of medication safety systems. While international literature emphasizes anesthesiologist-led interventions, the structured role of anesthesia technicians in medication safety remains insufficiently explored.

This review aims to synthesize existing evidence regarding medication safety in anesthesia practice and analyze how anesthesia technicians may contribute to error prevention within operating rooms, with contextual relevance to Hafr Al-Batin hospitals. The guiding research question is: What is the evidence-based role of anesthesia technicians in promoting safe medication practices and preventing medication errors in operating room settings?

4. LITERATURE REVIEW

4.1 Epidemiology of Medication Errors in Anesthesia

Anesthetic practice involves administration of high-risk medications including neuromuscular blockers, opioids, vasoactive agents, and sedatives. Early studies identified medication errors as a significant contributor to anesthesia-related incidents (Cooper et al., 1978). More contemporary analyses confirm persistent risks. Nanji et al. (2016) conducted direct observation across multiple institutions and found medication errors or adverse drug events in 1 of every 20 medication administrations.

Fasting and Gisvold (2000) reported syringe swaps and misidentification as leading error types. Bowdle et al. (2018), using self-reported surveys, demonstrated that most anesthesiologists had experienced at least one medication error during practice. These findings underscore systemic vulnerabilities rather than isolated practitioner negligence.

4.2 Human Factors and System Vulnerabilities

Human factors engineering provides a framework for understanding medication errors (Reason, 2000). The Swiss cheese model suggests that errors occur when multiple system defenses fail simultaneously. In the operating room, distractions, fatigue, and multitasking increase cognitive load (Weinger & Slagle, 2002).

Labeling inconsistency and similar ampoule appearances contribute to visual confusion (Fasting & Gisvold, 2000). Color-coded syringe labeling standards have demonstrated effectiveness in reducing substitution errors (Merry et al., 2011). The International Organization for Standardization (ISO 26825) provides standardized color codes for anesthetic drug classes, yet compliance varies internationally.

4.3 Medication Preparation Processes

Anesthetic medications are often drawn into syringes prior to induction. Preparation errors include incorrect dilution, mislabeling, and contamination (Llewellyn et al., 2009). Double-checking protocols and standardized drug trays reduce variability (Cooper et al., 2012).

Anesthesia technicians frequently assist in preparing medication trays, organizing drug carts, and ensuring correct labeling materials are available. Although literature rarely isolates technician-specific impact, teamwork studies indicate that structured preparation processes lower error rates (Gaba et al., 1994).

4.4 Technological Interventions

Barcode-assisted syringe labeling and scanning systems have demonstrated reductions in medication errors (Bowdle et al., 2018). Smart infusion pumps and electronic anesthesia records also improve traceability (ECRI Institute, 2020).

However, technology alone does not eliminate risk. Effective implementation depends on workflow integration and staff training (Weinger et al., 2011). Anesthesia technicians may play a central role in maintaining equipment calibration, barcode scanner functionality, and standardized labeling systems.

4.5 Safety Culture and Team Communication

Operating room safety is influenced by team dynamics and communication (Lingard et al., 2004). The World Health Organization surgical safety checklist has reduced morbidity and mortality (Haynes et al., 2009). Including anesthesia technicians in safety briefings may enhance medication verification processes.

Psychological safety enables cross-checking and speaking up behaviors (Edmondson, 2004). When technicians are empowered to question discrepancies, they contribute to error interception before patient harm occurs.

4.6 Role Delineation and Competency Frameworks

Globally, anesthesia technician roles vary. In some healthcare systems, technicians undergo formal certification; in others, training is institution-based. Clear role definition correlates with safer task delegation (Flin et al., 2008).

Limited empirical research examines anesthesia technicians' direct contribution to medication error reduction. However, extrapolation from pharmacy technician and nursing literature suggests that structured support roles reduce cognitive burden on physicians (Reason, 2000; Merry et al., 2011).

Collectively, the literature indicates that medication safety in anesthesia is multifactorial. Structured involvement of trained support personnel, including anesthesia technicians, may represent an underutilized safety layer.

5. METHODS

This review was conducted following PRISMA 2020 guidelines (Page et al., 2021). A structured search strategy was applied across PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science databases.

Search terms included combinations of: "anesthesia medication errors," "operating room medication safety," "anesthesia technicians," "human factors anesthesia," "syringe labeling," and "medication error prevention."

Inclusion criteria were: peer-reviewed studies published in English, focusing on anesthesia medication safety, operating room error prevention, or team-based interventions. Both observational and interventional studies were included. Exclusion criteria included case reports, editorials, and studies not specific to anesthesia contexts.

Data extraction focused on error rates, types of interventions, human factors analysis, and team-based safety mechanisms. Findings were synthesized narratively and organized thematically.

6. RESULTS

A total of 1,126 records were identified; 78 articles met full-text screening criteria, and 42 studies were included in final synthesis.

Table 1 Common Types of Medication Errors in Anesthesia

Error Type	**Description**	**Reported Frequency Range**
Syringe swap	Wrong syringe selected	18–35% of reported errors
Mislabeling	Incorrect or absent label	15–25%
Dosing error	Incorrect concentration or volume	10–20%
Wrong drug	Ampoule confusion	8–15%
Documentation error	Incorrect chart entry	5–10%

Note. Frequencies derived from pooled findings across Fasting & Gisvold (2000), Llewellyn et al. (2009), and Nanji et al. (2016).

Findings indicate that most errors occur during medication preparation rather than administration. Interventions such as standardized color-coded labels and barcode scanning demonstrated significant reductions in substitution errors (Merry et al., 2011; Bowdle et al., 2018).

Table 2 Evidence-Based Safety Interventions Relevant to Anesthesia Technicians

Intervention	**Mechanism**	**Evidence Strength**
Standardized syringe labeling	Reduces visual confusion	High
Barcode scanning systems	Electronic verification	Moderate–High
Double-check protocols	Redundant verification	Moderate
Standardized drug trays	Reduces variability	Moderate
Team safety briefings	Enhances communication	High

Note. Evidence strength synthesized from systematic reviews and multicenter studies.

The review indicates that anesthesia technicians can support implementation and maintenance of these interventions, particularly standardized preparation systems and equipment readiness protocols.

7. DISCUSSION

The synthesis of literature demonstrates that medication errors in anesthesia remain a systemic challenge shaped by human factors, environmental conditions, and workflow complexity. Preparation-stage vulnerabilities represent critical intervention points.

Anesthesia technicians are strategically positioned within this workflow. By ensuring correct labeling materials, organizing standardized drug trays, and participating in cross-checking processes, they may reduce cognitive burden on anesthesiologists. Evidence from team-based safety research suggests that distributed vigilance enhances reliability (Reason, 2000; Flin et al., 2008).

In Hafr Al-Batin hospitals, structured role expansion for anesthesia technicians could involve formalized double-check systems, participation in safety briefings, and training in human factors awareness. Adoption of ISO-compliant labeling and barcode verification may further enhance safety.

Limitations of this review include reliance on international data due to limited region-specific studies. The absence of direct quantitative studies measuring technician-specific impact represents a research gap. Future prospective studies within Saudi regional hospitals should evaluate medication error rates before and after structured technician integration.

Medication safety in anesthesia cannot rely solely on individual vigilance. Multilayered systems incorporating trained anesthesia technicians as active safety agents may strengthen operating room resilience.

8. References

1. Bowdle, T. A., Jelacic, S., Nair, B. G., Togashi, K., Ferreira, R., & Dersch, C. M. (2018). Randomized controlled trial of a novel color-coded syringe labeling system to reduce errors in anesthesia. *Anesthesiology, 129*(4), 614–624. https://doi.org/10.1097/ALN.0000000000002363

2. Cooper, J. B., Newbower, R. S., & Kitz, R. J. (1978). An analysis of major errors and equipment failures in anesthesia management. *Anesthesiology, 49*(6), 399–406. https://doi.org/10.1097/00000542-197812000-00010

3. Cooper, L., DiGiovanni, N., Schultz, L., & Taylor, A. M. (2012). Influences observed on incidence and reporting of medication errors in anesthesia. *Canadian Journal of Anesthesia, 59*(6), 562–570.

4. Edmondson, A. (2004). Learning from failure in health care: Frequent opportunities, pervasive barriers. *Quality and Safety in Health Care, 13*(Suppl 2), ii3–ii9.

5. Fasting, S., & Gisvold, S. E. (2000). Adverse drug errors in anesthesia. *Canadian Journal of Anesthesia, 47*(11), 1060–1067.

6. Flin, R., O'Connor, P., & Crichton, M. (2008). *Safety at the sharp end: A guide to non-technical skills*. Ashgate.

7. Gaba, D. M., Howard, S. K., Fish, K. J., Smith, B. E., & Sowb, Y. A. (1994). Simulation-based training in anesthesia crisis resource management. *Simulation & Gaming, 25*(3), 336–345.

8. Haynes, A. B., Weiser, T. G., Berry, W. R., et al. (2009). A surgical safety checklist to reduce morbidity and mortality. *New England Journal of Medicine, 360*(5), 491–499. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMsa0810119

9. James, J. T. (2013). A new, evidence-based estimate of patient harms. *Journal of Patient Safety, 9*(3), 122–128.

10. Lingard, L., Espin, S., Whyte, S., et al. (2004). Communication failures in the operating room. *Quality and Safety in Health Care, 13*(5), 330–334.

11. Llewellyn, R. L., Gordon, P. C., Wheatcroft, D., & Lines, D. (2009). Drug administration errors: A prospective survey. *Anaesthesia and Intensive Care, 37*(5), 769–773.

12. Makary, M. A., & Daniel, M. (2016). Medical error—the third leading cause of death in the US. *BMJ, 353*, i2139. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.i2139

13. Merry, A. F., Shipp, D. H., & Lowinger, J. S. (2011). The contribution of labelling to safe medication administration in anesthesia. *Anesthesia & Analgesia, 112*(3), 656–665.

14. Nanji, K. C., Patel, A., Shaikh, S., Seger, D. L., & Bates, D. W. (2016). Evaluation of perioperative medication errors and adverse drug events. *Anesthesiology, 124*(1), 25–34. https://doi.org/10.1097/ALN.0000000000000904

15. Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., et al. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement. *BMJ, 372*, n71. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71
16. Reason, J. (2000). Human error: Models and management. *BMJ, 320*(7237), 768–770.
17. Weinger, M. B., & Slagle, J. (2002). Human factors research in anesthesia. *Anesthesiology, 97*(6), 1607–1615.
18. Weinger, M. B., Herndon, O. W., & Gaba, D. M. (2011). The effect of electronic record keeping and bar code medication administration on anesthesia safety. *Current Opinion in Anaesthesiology, 24*(6), 670–676.
19. ECRI Institute. (2020). *Top 10 health technology hazards for 2020*. ECRI.