# Beauvais Cathedral and the Axiology of Limit: When Technique Becomes a Moral Gesture

Rubén Rodríguez Elizalde
PhD in Architecture and Heritage, Universidad Villanueva, https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3314-5129

**Abstract**
This article advances a philosophical reading of Beauvais Cathedral through the lens of what is here termed an *axiology of limit*. Rather than framing the building either as a technical failure or as the most extreme manifestation of Gothic ambition, the study examines the extent to which architectural practice embodies structured systems of value that orient the relationship between aspiration and constraint. On the basis of the cathedral's historical trajectory—most notably the collapse of the choir in 1284 and its subsequent reconstruction—it is argued that Beauvais discloses not only the structural boundary conditions of the Gothic system, but also the cultural logic that led its builders to operate in close proximity to those limits.
Within this framework, Gothic architecture is situated in a broader axiological field in which height, light, and verticality function as material correlates of transcendence. Beauvais emerges, in this context, as a critical instance. Here, technical ambition and structural stability enter into a state of productive tension, rendering explicit the role of limit as a constitutive component of architectural knowledge. The notion of an *axiology of limit* is thus introduced as a conceptual tool to account for the values that regulate—and, at times, intensify—this relationship.
In conclusion, the paper proposes that Beauvais may be understood as a form of material thought: a constructed artefact in which technique assumes the character of a moral act, and in which the recognition of limit becomes a necessary condition for the maturation of technical rationality.
**Keywords:** Beauvais Cathedral; axiology; values; Gothic architecture; culture; technique; limit

## **1.** INTRODUCTION

Among the great works of European architecture, few embody as clearly the tension between ambition and limit as the Cathedral of Saint-Pierre of Beauvais. Initiated in 1225 in the heart of the former region of Picardy, this cathedral represents the most extreme attempt of Gothic architecture to push constructional technique to its ultimate frontier. Its choir, whose vault rises to 48.5 meters, still constitutes the highest elevation ever achieved by a masonry structure in medieval architecture (Heyman, 1967; Murray, 1989; Rodríguez Elizalde, 2025a). Yet this technical feat is inseparably linked to a history of collapses, reconstructions, and reinforcements that transformed the building into a kind of structural laboratory over the centuries (Leblond, 1926; Murray, 2011; Rodríguez Elizalde, 2025b).
The history of Beauvais has frequently been studied from the perspectives of art history and structural engineering. In the former case, it has been interpreted as a stylistic culmination of French Gothic, a work that carries to its extreme the formal logic of the great thirteenth-century cathedrals. In the latter, it has been the subject of detailed analyses concerning the stability mechanisms of Gothic vaults, lateral thrusts, and the role of flying buttresses in load transfer (Heyman, 1967; Murray, 2016). These approaches

have enabled a remarkably precise understanding of the physical causes behind the collapse of the choir in 1284 and the failure of the crossing spire in 1573, as well as the construction strategies adopted to stabilize the building in subsequent decades (Courtenay, 2016; Taupin & Hoffsummer, 2009).

However, while such interpretations remain indispensable, they leave unresolved a more fundamental question. If the structural adequacy of the Gothic system had already been convincingly demonstrated in cathedrals such as Chartres, Reims, or Amiens, on what grounds did the builders of Beauvais choose to extend that system to a condition approaching instability? What form of cultural logic could justify an undertaking that, when assessed in strictly mechanical terms, entailed an evident increase in risk? Put differently: by what rationale did a medieval community accept—indeed, assume—the structural uncertainty inherent in its most emblematic construction?

To address these questions, a shift in analytical perspective becomes necessary. Constructional technique cannot be reduced to a repertoire of material solutions directed toward the resolution of physical problems. Every technical system develops within a cultural horizon that defines not only what is feasible, but what is considered desirable; not only which risks may be tolerated, but which limits are acknowledged as binding. In this sense, the decisions that gave form to Beauvais cannot be interpreted as purely engineering acts. They must also be understood as expressions of an underlying hierarchy of values, structuring both intention and action within the Gothic Enterprise (Heyman, 1967, 1977; Murray, 1989; Viollet-le-Duc, 1868).

From this standpoint, the building may be interpreted as an axiological artefact. The extraordinary height of its choir, the extreme slenderness of its piers, and the audacity of its flying buttress system are not merely technical solutions, but material manifestations of a particular worldview (Frankl, 1962; Huerta Fernández, 2006). Gothic architecture, in general, has often been understood as a spatial translation of the medieval aspiration to transcendence: the elevation of stone toward light as a metaphor for the elevation of the soul toward the divine (Murray, 1989; Rodríguez Elizalde, 2025c). In Beauvais, however, this aspiration reaches a level of intensity that disrupts the customary equilibrium between form and stability.

The cathedral thus becomes a turning point in the history of technique. Where other cathedrals express the maturity of the Gothic system, Beauvais reveals its frontier. Stone, driven to the extreme of its load-bearing capacity, ceases to fully comply with the geometry intended to govern it. The collapse of 1284 is not merely a constructional accident, but the visible manifestation of that limit. And yet, far from leading to the abandonment of the project, the failure gave rise to new constructional solutions (Como, 2009; Murray, 2016; Rodríguez Elizalde, 2025b; Wolfe & Mark, 1976): iron reinforcements (Disser et al., 2014; Ferauge & Mignerey, 1996), the enlargement of buttresses (Heyman, 1966, 1977; Huerta Fernández, 2010; Nikolinakou et al., 2005), and the duplication of flying buttresses (Heyman, 1977; Lavinia, 2024; Nikolinakou et al., 2005). The cathedral continued to rise, as if failure itself had revealed a new form of knowledge (Murray, 1980, 1989).

In this respect, Beauvais invites a reconsideration of medieval technique. Rather than a closed corpus of empirical rules, Gothic construction may be more accurately described as an experimental practice, one in which error is not extraneous but constitutive. The building becomes, in this sense, a site of confrontation between intention and material resistance—a locus in which knowledge emerges precisely from that interaction.

The present study seeks to examine this cultural dimension of technique through the specific case of Beauvais Cathedral. Instead of treating the monument exclusively as a structural problem or as an object of art-historical classification, it is here interpreted as

a paradigmatic instance of what may be termed an *axiology of limit*. By this is meant the set of cultural values that governs the extent to which a society is willing to extend its technical capacity beyond the bounds of strict prudence.

The working hypothesis is that the architecture of Beauvais embodies a precise axiological position: a prioritisation of transcendence over structural conservatism. The decision to elevate the choir to unprecedented height cannot be fully accounted for by reference to inter-diocesan rivalry or to the general religious intensity of the period. It must also be understood as the expression of a conception of technique in which risk is not merely tolerated, but integrated into the very significance of the work.

From this perspective, constructional decisions acquire the character of moral acts inscribed in material form. Each increment in height, each reduction in sectional mass, each effort toward structural attenuation constitutes an implicit declaration of value—whether oriented toward divine glorification, civic prestige, formal audacity, or confidence in the capacity to discipline matter through geometry.

Such an interpretative shift allows the focus to move beyond the description of technical facts toward their cultural intelligibility. Beauvais ceases to be merely the most extreme realisation of the French Gothic and becomes, instead, a document on the relation between technique and value. Its history demonstrates that architecture is not only governed by physical law, but also operates as a form of material thought, in which each constructive decision encodes a particular understanding of the world.

The following sections will first address the relationship between technique and value within medieval culture, examining the role of Gothic architecture as a system for the expression of collective aspiration. The case of Beauvais will then be considered as a radical intensification of that programme, showing how the pursuit of maximum elevation brought the system to its structural boundary conditions. Finally, the collapse of 1284 and the subsequent interventions will be interpreted within the framework of a *pedagogy of the limit*, in which technical failure is assimilated as a source of knowledge (Rodríguez Elizalde, 2025b, 2025c).

From this standpoint, Beauvais Cathedral can no longer be regarded either as an unfinished fragment or as an isolated anomaly within the broader development of Gothic architecture. It assumes, instead, the character of a privileged field of reflection—one in which the interdependence of technical ambition, cultural intention, and structural limit becomes explicitly legible. Its historical trajectory suggests that the significance of a work cannot be measured solely by the extent of what it manages to construct, but also by the clarity with which it reveals the conditions—and the boundaries—of human action.

## 2. Axiology and Technique: The Cultural Horizon of Construction

All technique develops within a horizon of values. Even where modern engineering presents itself as a rigorously rational activity—apparently governed solely by physical law and mathematical formalisation—the historical record suggests otherwise. Constructional decisions are never entirely neutral. Every technical solution presupposes a hierarchy of ends: a determination of what is worth pursuing, which risks may be accepted, and what sacrifices are admissible in the pursuit of a given objective.

The philosophical inquiry into such systems of value is designated as axiology. From this standpoint, technique cannot be reduced to a repertoire of efficient procedures for the transformation of matter; it must also be understood as a cultural practice through which collective aspirations acquire material form. To build is, inevitably, to choose—and every choice presupposes an antecedent act of valuation, whether explicit or implicit (Boothby & Coronelli, 2024; Como, 2015; Heyman, 1966).

In the Middle Ages, this axiological dimension of technique found particularly clear expression in architecture. Within this context, one may speak of an *axiology of the limit*, understood as the set of cultural values that regulate the relationship between technical ambition and the recognition of the conditions that make the stability of a constructional system possible. The great Gothic cathedrals were not merely buildings intended for worship; they were collective projects that condensed, in stone, the worldview of an entire society (Frankl, 1962; Recht & Whittall, 2009). Their construction mobilized economic resources, technical knowledge, and symbolic energies across generations. Every decision taken during the building process—the height of the vaults, the size of the windows, the configuration of the flying buttresses—formed part of a coherent system of meanings (Huerta Fernández, 2014; Kathryn Brush, 2016).

For this reason, any attempt to understand the logic of Gothic architecture must extend beyond the description of its structural behaviour. It becomes necessary to interrogate the values that guided the selection of certain solutions over others. Why were vaults raised to unprecedented heights? Why were walls progressively reduced, until they approached the condition of a permeable membrane of glass? Why was the increasing slenderness of the structural system accepted, despite the risks it entailed?

Such questions cannot be resolved through reference to the internal development of constructional technique alone. They require consideration of the cultural horizon within which those decisions acquired meaning. Gothic architecture emerged in a period marked by profound transformations in the spiritual and intellectual life of Europe. The growth of urban centres, the consolidation of ecclesiastical authority, and the institutionalisation of knowledge through the universities contributed to a climate characterised by an increasing confidence in the human capacity to comprehend and order the World (Bony, 2023; Fitchen, 1997).

Within this context, architecture acquired an unprecedented symbolic dimension. Cathedrals became the visible expression of a worldview that conceived the universe as an intelligible order, governed by harmonic proportions reflecting divine wisdom (Bork & Schurr, 2018; Murray, 1989). Geometry was not merely a design tool; it was also a language through which the order of the cosmos could be translated into built form.

This conception helps to account, at least in part, for the technical audacity of the Gothic enterprise. If the world was understood to be governed by an underlying rational order, then architecture could aspire to reproduce that order through proportion, geometry, and structural clarity. The elevation of vaults and the progressive opening of walls were not merely formal innovations; they constituted attempts to materialise an ideal of intelligibility and light, one endowed with clear theological resonance.

Such an impulse, however, was never without restraint. Gothic construction operated within a finely calibrated balance between ambition and prudence. Medieval master builders, although lacking formalised calculation in the modern sense, possessed a body of accumulated empirical knowledge that allowed them to identify practical thresholds of stability. The combined system of ribbed vaults, flying buttresses, and massive supports had, by the thirteenth century, demonstrated a high degree of reliability across numerous constructions.

It is precisely for this reason that the case of Beauvais acquires particular significance. Here, the established system was extended beyond the parameters that experience had shown to be safe. The exceptional height of the choir cannot be interpreted as the inevitable outcome of a linear technical progression; it reflects a deliberate decision—one that implied the acceptance of a substantially increased level of risk.

Such a decision becomes fully intelligible only when its axiological dimension is taken into account. At Beauvais, the pursuit of architectural transcendence was given

precedence over structural conservatism. Height ceased to function as a mere technical variable and was elevated to the status of value. To build higher was, in itself, to affirm a particular conception of the world: one grounded in a radical confidence in the capacity of technique to elevate matter toward light.

Within this framework, the cathedral may be understood as the material resolution of a tension inherent to all technical practice. On the one hand, the principle of stability seeks to ensure durability through solutions validated by experience. On the other, the principle of aspiration drives the extension of known limits in the search for new formal and constructive possibilities (Boothby & Coronelli, 2024; Heyman, 1966, 1977).

In most Gothic cathedrals, these two principles remain in equilibrium. Innovation is introduced gradually, building upon previously tested solutions. In Beauvais, by contrast, the principle of aspiration acquires exceptional intensity. Architecture becomes an act of cultural affirmation that consciously challenges the constraints imposed by matter (Courtenay, 2016; Murray, 2011; Viollet-le-Duc, 1868).

This circumstance explains why the collapse of 1284 cannot be interpreted merely as a technical error. The failure forms part of the very cultural process that gave rise to the building (Murray, 2016; Rodríguez Elizalde, 2025b). In a certain sense, the collapse reveals the project's underlying logic: a logic in which risk is not an anomaly, but an inevitable consequence of the ambition that drives it (Rodríguez Elizalde, 2025a).

Beauvais Cathedral thus allows us to understand that technique, even in its most material manifestations, is always permeated by values (Heyman, 1967). Every constructional decision expresses a particular conception of what is worth attempting. Architecture does not merely solve physical problems; it also translates cultural aspirations into tangible forms.

On this basis, the building may be approached from a shifted analytical standpoint. Rather than confining the inquiry to the identification of the structural causes underlying collapse, it becomes possible to interrogate the cultural logic that led the builders to operate in close proximity to the limits of stability. The cathedral thus ceases to be read solely as a technical artefact and assumes the character of a document—one that records the interaction between human ambition and the resisting behaviour of matter.

From this perspective emerges the central question of the present study: which system of values led the builders of Beauvais to incorporate structural risk into the very meaning of the work?

To address this question, it is necessary to examine more closely the axiological framework within which Gothic architecture developed. Only through such an analysis can Beauvais be understood not merely as the point at which the Gothic system encounters its technical boundary, but as the moment at which it attains its highest degree of cultural intensity.

## 3. Gothic Architecture as a System of Values

If technique is never entirely neutral, Gothic architecture offers one of the most eloquent examples of how a constructional system can become the material expression of a set of cultural values. From its emergence in the Île-de-France in the mid-twelfth century, the Gothic was not merely a structural innovation based on the systematic use of ribbed vaults, flying buttresses, and reduced wall masses (Lavinia, 2024; Nikolinakou et al., 2005). It was, above all, a new way of conceiving the relationship between human beings, matter, and the transcendent.

The great Gothic cathedrals developed within a historical moment marked by remarkable intellectual and spiritual dynamism. The growth of cities, the consolidation of cathedral schools, and the rise of scholasticism fostered a cultural environment in which reason,

faith, and experience began to articulate themselves in unprecedented ways. Architecture actively participated in this transformation, becoming a privileged medium through which an ordered and hierarchical vision of the universe could be expressed (Binski, 2017; Bony, 2023).

In this context, the construction of a cathedral was never merely a technical or economic undertaking. It was a cultural act of the highest order, in which religious aspirations, urban prestige, and intellectual ambition converged. Cities competed to erect ever more magnificent temples, not only as expressions of piety but also as visible signs of prosperity and power. Architecture thus became a public language, capable of translating collective values into enduring form (Murray, 1989; Rodríguez Elizalde, 2025a).

Among the values that inform this system, one emerges with particular clarity: the aspiration to transcendence. The pronounced verticality of Gothic cathedrals cannot be accounted for solely in technical terms. The elevation of vaults, the attenuation of wall masses, and the opening of expansive glazed surfaces respond not only to structural logic, but to a symbolic programme. Architecture seeks here to materialise a spiritual condition—the elevation of the human toward the divine—through the controlled manipulation of form and space.

Within this framework, light assumes a decisive role. The stained-glass envelopes that define the enclosure are not merely ornamental additions; they operate as active elements in the transformation of space. Filtered through coloured glass, light dissolves the apparent solidity of matter into a continuous chromatic field, converting the interior into an experiential medium rather than a static container. The structure, which ensures stability, simultaneously becomes the instrument of its own dematerialisation.

Such an effect presupposes a substantial reconfiguration of the constructional logic inherited from the Romanesque. The Gothic system is founded upon a principle that is, in essence, structurally economical: the concentration and redirection of loads through discrete lines of force. Ribbed vaults channel compressive stresses toward relatively slender supports, while flying buttresses intercept and transfer lateral thrusts to external counteracting elements. Therefore, walls are progressively relieved of their load-bearing function and may be reduced to permeable surfaces, open to light.

From a technical standpoint, this innovation permits levels of elevation that would have been unattainable within the Romanesque paradigm. At the same time, however, it introduces a more sensitive equilibrium. As height increases and structural members become slenderer, the system exhibits a growing dependence on the precise balance of forces. Stability is no longer guaranteed by mass, but by the exact coordination of compressive paths, lateral thrusts, and external reactions.

Medieval builders were not unaware of this condition. Although they operated without a formalised theory of structural analysis, their accumulated empirical knowledge enabled them to identify practical thresholds of stability. The development of Gothic architecture may thus be understood as a sequence of calibrated experiments, in which each new construction introduced controlled modifications to previously validated configurations. At Chartres, the system achieves a notable degree of stability through the substantial dimensioning of its buttresses and the relative moderation of its vertical development. At Reims and Amiens, height increases and wall sections are further reduced, yet within proportions that experience had shown to be reliable. Each of these cathedrals represents a stage in the progressive refinement of the Gothic system.

This technical progression, however, was accompanied by an intensification of the ideal of verticality. The height of the vaults gradually became a measure of the cathedral's prestige and, by extension, of the city that had erected it. The upward impulse thus acquired both symbolic and competitive dimensions (Binski, 2017; Bony, 2023). To build

higher was to draw closer to the heavens—but also to surpass rival cities (Murray, 1989; Rodríguez Elizalde, 2025a).

This development introduces an additional, and often underemphasised, dimension into Gothic architecture: the political. Cathedrals did not function solely as expressions of spiritual aspiration; they also embodied the social, economic, and institutional ambitions of the communities that produced them. Within this context, elevation acquires a representational function. To build higher was, in effect, to assert presence, prestige, and capacity. Each new cathedral may thus be read as a public declaration—an inscription of collective ambition in stone.

It is precisely at this juncture that the case of Beauvais assumes its distinctive significance. Whereas most Gothic enterprises maintained a carefully negotiated balance between symbolic aspiration and structural reliability, Beauvais intensifies the principle of verticality to an unprecedented degree. The choir was conceived at a height that surpassed all contemporary precedents, placing the building beyond the established envelope of empirical stability.

Such a decision cannot be accounted for as a mere continuation of technical development. It represents, rather, a radicalisation of the Gothic programme. Height is no longer a by-product of structural refinement; it becomes the governing objective of the project. Architecture, in consequence, is transformed into an explicit act of cultural affirmation—a deliberate attempt to extend material construction beyond previously recognised limits.

At Beauvais, the Gothic system reaches its most complete expression at precisely the point where its fragility becomes manifest. The extreme slenderness of the supports, the exceptional elevation of the vaults, and the increasing complexity of the flying buttress system produce a condition of equilibrium that is both precise and precarious. The structure appears to exist in a continuous state of negotiation with gravity, as though its configuration were itself an exploration of the maximum reach of constructive intention.

This condition imparts to the building a singular intensity. In contrast to other Gothic cathedrals—where the structural system tends to resolve itself with an apparent naturalness—at Beauvais the effort of construction becomes legible. The architecture does not conceal the difficulty of its own realisation; it exposes it. Each element participates in a coordinated act that might be described, without exaggeration, as a controlled defiance of material constraint.

For this reason, Beauvais may be interpreted as the point at which the Gothic value system becomes most explicit. Where other cathedrals achieve a synthesis between technique and symbolism, Beauvais reveals the tension that underlies that synthesis. The pursuit of transcendence is carried to a degree at which stability can no longer be assumed but must instead be continuously secured.

The building thus becomes a privileged testimony to the cultural logic that animated Gothic architecture. It is not merely an exceptional work within the history of art or engineering (Courtenay, 2016), but a document that allows us to understand how a society may come to place symbolic aspiration above technical prudence.

Understanding this logic is essential for interpreting the events that shaped the cathedral's history. The collapse of the choir in 1284 cannot be explained simply as a constructional error or a deficiency in the structural system. It must also be understood as the inevitable consequence of a project that had deliberately chosen to explore the limits of the Gothic (Como, 2009; Wolfe & Mark, 1976).

From this standpoint, Beauvais should not be understood merely as the culmination of medieval architectural ambition, but as the point at which that ambition encounters its own boundary conditions. It is precisely in this encounter that the axiological dimension

of technique becomes most explicit: the extent to which cultural values are capable of driving a constructional system to the very limits of its operability.

An examination of this radicalisation of the Gothic ideal allows for a more precise understanding of the singularity of the Beauvais enterprise, while also preparing the ground for the subsequent analysis. In the following section, the pursuit of absolute height will be considered as the principal factor leading the structure into a condition of structural extremity. At that juncture—when the Gothic system had already demonstrated its reliability over more than a century of practice—Beauvais introduces a question of a different order: to what extent can architecture extend itself before material behaviour reasserts its governing constraints?

**4. Beauvais, or the Radicalization of the Gothic Ideal**

If Gothic architecture is understood as the material expression of a system of values structured around light, elevation, and transcendence, then Beauvais Cathedral represents the point at which that system attains its most intensified formulation. Whereas other cathedrals maintained a calibrated balance between symbolic aspiration and constructional prudence, Beauvais extends the logic of verticality to a condition approaching the threshold of structural stability.

The decision to raise the choir to 48.5 meters cannot be interpreted merely as a natural consequence of the technical development of the Gothic. The great cathedrals of the thirteenth century had already demonstrated that considerable heights could be attained while maintaining a reasonable degree of structural safety. Chartres, Reims, and Amiens offered examples of a constructional system that had reached a notable level of maturity (Branner et al., 1963; Lavinia, 2024). Master builders were well acquainted with the proportions that ensured stability and relied on a body of accumulated experience that guided their decisions (Mark, 2016).

Within this context, the project of Beauvais introduces a decisive inflection. The projected height of the choir clearly exceeds the proportions that accumulated experience had established as reliable. From a structural standpoint, the building enters a domain for which no fully validated precedents existed. The relationship between span, elevation, and support geometry reaches values that demand an exceptional degree of precision in the transmission of loads and in the performance of the flying buttress system (Huerta Fernández, 2014).

This audacity, however, should not be interpreted as mere technical imprudence. Medieval builders did not proceed arbitrarily. Their empirical understanding of stone behaviour and of the mechanics of vaulting—though not formalised—was both extensive and operationally effective. The decision to elevate the cathedral to such a height must therefore be read as deliberate: a conscious attempt to extend the Gothic system beyond its established limits.

In this sense, Beauvais may be understood as a cultural experiment. The building constitutes a test—both technical and symbolic—of how far the Gothic system could be developed without abandoning its internal logic. The elevation of the choir is not only an expression of aspiration; it is also an inquiry into the boundary conditions of the constructional system refined over the course of the thirteenth century.

This radicalisation is legible in several aspects of the structure. First, in the pronounced slenderness of the supports. The clustered piers of the choir exhibit relatively reduced cross-sections in proportion to the height of the vaults they sustain. The resulting interior achieves an extraordinary visual attenuation, yet this condition requires that forces be transmitted with minimal deviation through the rib system and into the external buttressing.

A second aspect concerns the configuration of the flying buttresses. At Beauvais, these elements attain exceptional elevations and adopt comparatively shallow inclinations. From a structural perspective, such geometry diminishes their effectiveness in counteracting lateral thrusts. The system remains operative, but its margin of safety is significantly reduced when compared with that of other contemporary cathedrals.

Finally, the spatial conception of the choir reinforces this condition of extremity. The combination of expansive glazing, attenuated supports, and highly elevated vaults produces an interior of remarkable lightness—almost to the point of dematerialisation. This effect represents one of the most refined achievements of Gothic architecture; yet it is obtained at the cost of a reduced structural reserve, leaving the system increasingly dependent on the exact balance of forces.

All these elements indicate that the Beauvais project was not merely a quantitative extension of known solutions. It represented a qualitative intensification of the Gothic programme. Architecture no longer sought only the harmonious balance between structure and symbolism, but deliberately explored the domain in which that balance begins to be strained (Bork & Schurr, 2018).

This radicalization was, to a large extent, shaped by the cultural and political context of the time. During the thirteenth century, episcopal cities competed to assert their prestige through the construction of increasingly impressive cathedrals (Tadgell, 2020). The height of the building became one of the most visible indicators of such ambition. Within this competitive landscape, Beauvais aspired to surpass neighbouring sees, demonstrating the economic vitality and spiritual intensity of its community.

Yet to reduce the project to a matter of urban rivalry would be analytically insufficient. The exceptional height of the choir must also be understood in relation to a specific conception of architecture as an instrument of spiritual elevation. In the medieval imagination, the cathedral was not simply a functional enclosure for worship; it was conceived simultaneously as an image of the cosmos and as a symbolic itinerary toward the divine. To raise architecture was, in this sense, to diminish the distance between earth and heaven.

At Beauvais, this aspiration is intensified to an unusual degree. The building appears conceived as an act of uncompromising confidence in the capacity of technique to discipline matter. Stone is ordered according to a structural geometry that gives the impression of near immaterial ascent, as if governed less by weight than by form.

And yet, this very confidence introduces a fundamental paradox. As elevation increases, stability becomes progressively dependent upon an increasingly exact equilibrium. The internal forces are magnified, and the tolerance of the system to deviation is correspondingly reduced. Under such conditions, even minor irregularities in load transmission may acquire disproportionate effects. The cathedral thus assumes the character of a structure operating in permanent proximity to its own threshold of stability.

This condition endows the building with a singular intensity that distinguishes it from other Gothic cathedrals. At Chartres or Amiens, architecture conveys a sense of serene stability (Branner et al., 1963; Viollet-le-Duc, 1868). At Beauvais, by contrast, space appears suspended in a state of almost dramatic equilibrium. Extreme verticality introduces a tension that becomes an essential part of the aesthetic experience of the building.

From this standpoint, the cathedral may be read as the point at which Gothic architecture renders its own internal logic fully explicit. The impulse toward elevation—operative throughout more than a century of development—finds in Beauvais its most radical formulation. The system is refined to such a degree of coherence that, in the same movement, it begins to disclose its own limits.

This condition helps to explain why the collapse of the choir in 1284 cannot be interpreted merely as a contingent structural accident. The failure is not external to the project; it is inscribed within the very logic that governs it. When a technical system approaches its boundary conditions, error ceases to be a simple anomaly and assumes the character of knowledge.

Beauvais corresponds precisely to this moment. The building demonstrates the extent to which Gothic architecture can be developed when it remains rigorously faithful to its own principle of elevation. It does not fail by departing from that principle, but by pursuing it to its ultimate implications.

In this sense, the radicalisation of the Gothic ideal at Beauvais establishes the conditions for a deeper understanding of collapse. The event of 1284 marks not merely the interruption of a constructional sequence, but the point at which medieval building practice begins to incorporate the notion of limit into its own conceptual framework.

The following section will address this decisive transition. Where material yields and structural continuity is interrupted, a new dimension of technique emerges: the recognition that failure itself may operate as a vehicle of collective learning. At Beauvais, collapse does not terminate the Gothic enterprise; it compels it to become reflexive.

## 5. Collapse as a Pedagogy of the Limit

The collapse of the choir of Beauvais Cathedral in 1284 stands as one of the most widely discussed episodes in the history of medieval architecture. From a structural perspective, the event may be explained through the interaction of several factors: the extreme slenderness of the supports, the exceptional height of the vaults, and the insufficient capacity of the flying buttress system to absorb the horizontal thrusts generated by the structure. Modern research has shown that the building existed in a state of particularly delicate equilibrium, in which even minor cumulative deformations could trigger a sudden loss of stability (Rodríguez Elizalde, 2025b).

Yet to interpret the collapse solely as the result of miscalculation or technical limitation would be to diminish its historical significance. The fall of the Beauvais choir must also be understood as a cultural event of considerable importance, for it marks the moment at which Gothic architecture confronts its own limit explicitly.

The failure of a vault in a medieval cathedral cannot be reduced to a purely technical incident. The building itself occupied the spiritual, political, and symbolic centre of the community. Its stability was therefore not only a material condition, but also a moral one. The cathedral stood as a visible manifestation of divine order; its continuity affirmed coherence, while its collapse introduced a disquieting awareness of fragility within that order.

And yet, the response of the builders and the cathedral chapter after the collapse was not to abandon the project. On the contrary, they chose to rebuild the choir, reinforcing its structure through new technical measures: the enlargement of buttresses, the duplication of flying buttresses, and the introduction of metal elements designed to restrain the thrusts of the vaults (Murray, 2014).

This response is particularly revealing. The collapse was not read as a definitive refutation of the architectural ideal that had guided the work, but rather as an occasion to clarify the conditions governing structural equilibrium. Technical failure was not externalised or dismissed; it was internalised—transformed into a moment within the process of learning.

At this point, what may be termed a *pedagogy of the limit* becomes clearly visible. All technique develops through a process of progressive approximation to the boundaries of the possible. As long as these boundaries remain invisible, progress proceeds through

trial and accumulated experience. However, when a technical practice reaches the threshold of its stability, error ceases to be a mere accident and becomes a source of knowledge (Mas-Guindal Lafarga, 2011).

Gothic architecture had evolved over more than a century through a gradual process of refinement. Each new cathedral introduced innovations based on previously validated solutions (Cowan, 1992). Beauvais disrupts this incremental rhythm by placing the system in a condition of extremity. The collapse of the choir renders visible what had previously been only intuited: the existence of a structural threshold beyond which the system can no longer sustain itself.

From this perspective, the failure of 1284 may be understood as a moment of technical revelation. Medieval architecture discovers, empirically, that geometric purity alone is insufficient to guarantee structural stability (Heyman, 1977; Theodossopoulos & Sinha, 2008). Matter possesses its own logic, and any constructional system must learn to negotiate with it.

This recognition was not formulated in explicit theoretical terms during the Middle Ages. A formal science of materials did not yet exist, nor was there a mathematical theory capable of describing structural equilibrium. And yet, the experience of collapse introduced a decisive shift within constructional practice. Master builders began to apprehend—through observation and correction—that formal ambition required a more exact correspondence with the physical behaviour of materials.

In this sense, Beauvais marks a critical inflection in the history of architecture. It demonstrates that technique cannot develop indefinitely through the simple reiteration of previously successful solutions. There arises a point at which the system demands reflection upon its own boundary conditions.

What may be termed a *pedagogy of the limit* emerges precisely from this condition. Failure is no longer interpreted as the negation of knowledge, but as one of its operative moments. Each collapse discloses information that had remained implicit: the actual manner in which forces are distributed, transmitted, and resisted within the structure.

The subsequent evolution of Gothic architecture indicates the degree to which this lesson was assimilated. In the generations following Beauvais, major European cathedrals exhibit a tendency toward more moderated proportions. Extreme verticality ceases to function as the primary objective. Greater emphasis is placed on structural legibility, stability, and the controlled balance of form.

This shift does not imply a renunciation of the fundamental values of the Gothic. Light, spatial continuity, and ornamental refinement remain central. What changes is the understanding of the relation between ambition and prudence. The experience of Beauvais introduces a more articulated awareness of limit as a condition internal to the system.

From this perspective, collapse does not signify the failure of the Gothic enterprise, but rather its moment of self-recognition. Architecture comes to understand that its durability depends not only on its capacity to overcome material constraints, but on its ability to identify and respect the conditions that govern its persistence.

This process of learning is transmitted across successive generations. Later constructions reveal an increased attentiveness to structural behaviour. Even as the formal language continues to evolve, the overall proportions of buildings remain within ranges that experience had validated as stable.

The significance of Beauvais, however, extends beyond the medieval context. Its history offers a paradigmatic example of how technical cultures evolve through engagement with their own limits. Every innovation introduces a degree of uncertainty; to extend a system

is to accept the possibility of error. The history of technique shows that decisive advances frequently occur at precisely those moments when practice approaches its frontier.

In this light, Beauvais acquires a particular symbolic value. It does not merely represent the highest point attained by Gothic architecture; it marks the moment at which that architecture incorporates the notion of limit into its own development.

The pedagogy that emerges from this case does not advocate the renunciation of ambition. On the contrary, it suggests that genuine progress depends upon an explicit awareness of the conditions that render it possible. Technique advances not only through success, but through the interpretation of its own failures.

The collapse of 1284 may therefore be regarded as one of the most productive episodes in the history of construction. At the point where the structure gave way, the system revealed something essential about its own operation. Material failure exposed the otherwise invisible constraints governing equilibrium.

Beauvais thus demonstrates that technique does not evolve as a linear sequence of improvements. It develops through a continuous interaction between aspiration and limit. When this interaction becomes perceptible, knowledge advances.

The building itself preserves the trace of this process. Its reinforcements, modifications, and successive adaptations constitute the material record of a community that elected to continue building in the aftermath of failure. Beauvais does not merely expose the limit of the Gothic system; it shows how that limit may be transformed into knowledge.

The following section will extend this analysis within a broader conceptual framework, examining the relationship between technique and ethics. At the point where architecture consciously assumes the risks inherent in its own ambition, construction ceases to be a purely material operation and assumes the character of a moral act inscribed in stone.

**6. Technique as a Moral Gesture**

The history of Beauvais Cathedral makes it clear that technique cannot be reduced to the mere resolution of material problems. Every constructional decision simultaneously implies a judgement of value. When medieval builders resolved to raise their cathedral to an unprecedented height, they were not simply applying an available structural system; they were giving form to a particular vision of the world.

From this standpoint, technique may be understood as a mode of moral action. To build is not only to arrange matter in accordance with physical law, but to orient that arrangement toward ends that a society considers worthy of pursuit. Architecture, perhaps more than any other technical discipline, renders this axiological dimension explicit, insofar as it translates collective values into durable and visible form.

Beauvais provides a particularly lucid case. The decision to elevate the choir to such an exceptional height was not structurally compelled. Contemporary practice already offered more conservative and demonstrably stable solutions. The choice, therefore, cannot be explained in terms of necessity; it reflects a deliberate preference for an intensified expression, with full awareness—implicit or explicit—of the risks involved.

This circumstance indicates that the architecture of Beauvais was not governed solely by criteria of efficiency or stability. It was informed by a cultural ideal in which elevation, luminosity, and audacity were understood as material correlates of transcendence. Height, in this context, operates as an affirmation: a projection of confidence in the capacity of technique to refine matter beyond its ordinary condition.

Each element of the structure participates in this collective gesture. The attenuated piers, the elevated vaults, and the system of flying buttresses are not merely functional devices; they constitute the visible syntax of an intention. Loads are redirected, sections are

reduced, and geometry is sharpened—not only to ensure equilibrium, but to sustain a specific formal and symbolic effect. The building, in this sense, records a will.

Yet this gesture is not without ambiguity. The same impulse that drives the system toward refinement also brings it into proximity with instability. When technique is oriented exclusively toward the intensification of symbolic objectives, it risks losing correspondence with the physical conditions that guarantee its persistence. The margin between equilibrium and failure narrows, and with it the tolerance of the system.

Beauvais embodies this condition with clarity. It stands simultaneously as an assertion of confidence in the capacity to master matter and as evidence of the fragility inherent in that assertion. The structure becomes the locus in which two fundamental dimensions of technique—aspiration and control—are brought into tension.

From an ethical perspective, this tension is decisive. No technical act is neutral with respect to its consequences. To build at the limit is to accept, whether explicitly or not, a certain level of risk. The collapse of 1284 renders this dimension visible: it is not only a structural event, but the materialisation of the boundary conditions implicit in the original choice.

The response to that event is equally instructive. The project was neither abandoned nor disavowed. The choir was rebuilt, and the structure reinforced. In this, one observes a second phase of the same moral act: if the initial decision consisted in accepting the risk inherent in ambition, the subsequent one consisted in recognising the limit revealed by failure and incorporating that knowledge into the work.

What emerges is not a sequence of error and correction, but a continuous process of adjustment. Technique appears here not as a fixed repertoire of solutions, but as a reflective practice, capable of evolving through experience. The architecture of Beauvais does not merely express an aspiration toward elevation; it demonstrates an ability to adapt when confronted with the resistance of matter.

In this sense, the ethical significance of technique resides not only in the boldness of its aims, but in the manner in which it responds to its own limits. A mature practice is not one that eliminates failure, but one that is able to interpret it. Collapse, deformation, and reinforcement become moments of clarification, through which the system acquires greater precision.

The building itself preserves the trace of this process. Metal ties, reinforced buttressing, and subsequent modifications are not ancillary additions; they are the material inscription of acquired knowledge. Each intervention registers a refinement in understanding, transforming the structure into an open work, extended across generations.

This dimension acquires particular relevance when considered in relation to the symbolic function of the cathedral. The building was not only a place of worship, but also a public representation of the relationship between human beings, nature, and the divine. Its construction therefore entailed, implicitly, a reflection on the position of humanity within an ordered world.

At Beauvais, this reflection is intensified. The architecture affirms that human dignity lies in the capacity to aspire—to project intention beyond immediate constraint. At the same time, it demonstrates that such aspiration must remain grounded in a rigorous comprehension of material behaviour. Stone does not indefinitely submit to geometric intention; it imposes its own conditions, which must be understood and respected.

This dual lesson confers upon the building a significance that exceeds its historical context. Beauvais does not simply inform us about the procedures of Gothic construction; it offers a framework for understanding how a society confronts the limits of its own technical agency.

Architecture thus emerges as a form of material thought. Each constructive decision embodies a position—an implicit answer to the question of how one ought to act within the constraints of the world. To elevate matter is, simultaneously, to affirm both the scope and the vulnerability of human action.

In this regard, Beauvais may be read as a silent manifesto on the relation between technique and ethics. Its significance lies not only in the extremity of its structural conception, but in the clarity with which it exposes the conditions under which such extremity becomes possible—and sustainable.

This perspective helps to explain the continued relevance of its history. Contemporary societies confront technological challenges of comparable structure, in which the extension of capability raises questions concerning legitimacy, risk, and responsibility. The problem is not new, even if its scale has changed.

Beauvais offers, therefore, a historical lens through which such questions may be examined. It reminds us that every technique is oriented by values, and that each innovation entails a decision regarding the limits that are to be accepted, negotiated, or transformed.

The following section will address this contemporary dimension explicitly. If Gothic construction brought stone to the threshold of its resistance, present-day technologies explore analogous frontiers in fields such as artificial intelligence, energy systems, and information infrastructures. In each case, the underlying question remains unchanged: how to reconcile technical ambition with a precise and responsible understanding of its limits.

## 7. Beauvais and the Ethics of Technological Ambition

The history of Beauvais Cathedral cannot be confined to the past of medieval construction. Although its foundations were laid more than eight centuries ago, the questions it articulates retain an unmistakable contemporaneity. At its core, the building confronts us with a problem that traverses the entire history of technique: the extent to which human ambition may be pursued before encountering the limits imposed by matter, by available knowledge, or by the ethical framework within which action takes place.

In the thirteenth century, this problem found its most lucid expression in the architecture of the great cathedrals. Gothic builders were systematically exploring the potential of a structural system that had profoundly redefined the relationship between mass, height, and light. Each new enterprise represented not merely a repetition, but an increment—an attempt to extend the system, to elevate stone a little further, to refine its equilibrium. The case of Beauvais marks the point at which this process reaches its critical threshold.

The contemporary condition differs in scale and instrumentation, yet the underlying logic remains comparable. Present-day societies are engaged in an accelerated process of technological expansion, extending across domains as diverse as artificial intelligence, genetic engineering, space exploration, and large-scale energy infrastructures. In each of these fields, the available technical capacity surpasses—by several orders of magnitude—that of any previous period.

Such capacity, however, introduces a question that cannot be resolved through considerations of efficiency or feasibility alone. As in the Gothic workshop, technical decisions are never purely technical; they are structured by systems of value. The relevant question is not limited to what can be done but extends to what ought to be attempted.

From this perspective, Beauvais may be interpreted as a historical analogue of technological ambition. The building embodies a moment in which a community elects to operate at the edge of its constructive capability, guided by the conviction that

technique may elevate matter toward progressively higher states of refinement. This impulse is neither accidental nor irrational; it forms part of the generative dynamic through which societies transform their environment and extend their operative horizon. Yet the same history demonstrates, with equal clarity, that ambition cannot be dissociated from an explicit awareness of its limits. As a structural system approaches its boundary conditions, risk ceases to be incidental and becomes intrinsic to the project. Architecture, in such circumstances, no longer merely gives form to an ideal; it becomes an experimental field in which the relation between aspiration and control is actively negotiated.

This condition persists in contemporary technologies. The development of increasingly complex systems generates challenges that exceed the scope of purely technical resolution. The expansion of artificial intelligence, for example, raises issues of control, accountability, and the societal implications of automated decision-making. In parallel, genetic engineering opens unprecedented possibilities in medicine and biology, while simultaneously provoking reflection on the ethical limits of intervention in living systems. In each of these domains, the underlying question remains analogous to that posed by Beauvais: how to establish an equilibrium between the drive to extend capability and a sufficient understanding of its consequences. The history of Gothic construction suggests that such equilibrium is not achieved by restraining innovation, but by learning to interpret the limits revealed through its exercise.

The *pedagogy of the limit* identified in Beauvais offers, in this regard, a particularly instructive model. The collapse of the choir in 1284 did not bring the Gothic enterprise to an end; it altered its trajectory. Failure was not excluded from the process, but incorporated into it, enabling a more precise identification of the conditions governing stability and guiding subsequent development toward more balanced configurations.

This dynamic may be understood as a process of technical maturation. A system does not reach full development solely through the accumulation of successful outcomes, but through its capacity to integrate the knowledge derived from its own boundary conditions. Error and failure, far from representing regression, may constitute decisive moments in the clarification of structural behaviour.

Beauvais illustrates this mechanism with particular precision. The building was neither abandoned nor repudiated after its collapse; it was reinforced, modified, and reinterpreted over successive generations. Each intervention represents an attempt to reconcile the initial ambition with an increasingly refined understanding of material response. In this sense, the structure embodies an ongoing dialogue between intention and resistance.

Such a dialogue offers a pertinent lesson for contemporary technological cultures. The expansion of capability is often represented as a linear progression, oriented toward an indefinite horizon. Yet the history of construction indicates that genuine development depends on the capacity to recognise and incorporate the limits that ensure systemic stability.

Beauvais thus reminds us that innovation entails responsibility. The decision to explore new technical domains must be accompanied by a parallel effort to understand the conditions under which such exploration remains viable. Ambition, if it is to be sustained, requires not only ingenuity, but judgement.

In this respect, the medieval fabric acquires a symbolic dimension that exceeds its historical specificity. Beauvais is not only an exceptional instance of Gothic construction; it is also an enduring demonstration that technical achievement is measured not solely by the audacity of its aims, but by the clarity with which it apprehends its own limits.

The relationship between technique and ethics disclosed in the history of the cathedral allows, finally, for a broader interpretation of technological culture. Societies are defined

not only by the instruments they produce, but by the manner in which those instruments are oriented toward particular ends. Technique, in this sense, becomes both a tool and a mirror—an expression of the values that govern collective action.

From this perspective, the lesson of Beauvais may be expressed with a certain clarity: technological ambition becomes truly creative only when it develops in dialogue with an awareness of limits (Rodríguez Elizalde, 2025a). Where innovation ignores the conditions of its own stability, progress risks degenerating into imbalance.

The historical development of the cathedral suggests, in contrast to deterministic interpretations, that the recognition of limits may act not merely as a constraint, but as a source of knowledge and technical maturity. Gothic architecture did not decline after the collapse at Beauvais; it was transformed. The experience of failure was progressively integrated into constructional practice, leading to more refined solutions in which elevation, stability, and formal coherence were brought into a more precise equilibrium.

From this perspective, the medieval fabric offers more than a closed historical episode; it provides a vantage point from which to examine contemporary technological challenges. Although tools, analytical methods, and constructional contexts have evolved, the underlying relationship between ambition and limit remains fundamentally unchanged. The problem persists, even as its parameters are reformulated.

Beauvais Cathedral thus endures not only as an object of study, but as a material record of this tension. Its soaring vaults, slender flying buttresses, and later reinforcements do not merely define a formal language; they document a continuous process of adjustment between intention and constraint. The building makes visible, with exceptional clarity, the delicate balance upon which all construction depends: that between what is projected and what material reality can sustain.

To understand this balance is not a secondary concern, but a central task for any technological culture. The lesson of Beauvais is unequivocal: progress does not consist in ignoring limits, but in learning to build in conscious dialogue with them. Technique advances not through denial, but through integration.

Within this framework, the cultural meaning of the building becomes fully intelligible. The cathedral is not simply the most audacious example of French Gothic, but a constructed reflection—rigorous, material, and enduring—on the relationship between human ambition, technical practice, and limit.

The conclusion of this study will synthesise this interpretation, arguing that Beauvais may ultimately be understood as a work in which limit is transformed into knowledge, and fragility into a durable form of technical wisdom.

## **8.** CONCLUSION: THE CATHEDRAL THAT TEACHES THE LIMIT

Beauvais Cathedral occupies a singular—and, in many respects, irreducible—position within the history of European architecture. Its exceptional elevation, the finely poised nature of its structural equilibrium, and the well-documented sequence of collapses have long secured its place among the most intensely scrutinised monuments of the Gothic tradition. Yet, as the preceding analysis has sought to demonstrate, its significance cannot be fully accounted for in purely technical or historiographical terms. Beyond its measurable properties, the cathedral operates as a cultural artefact: a constructed discourse through which a society articulates, with remarkable clarity, its understanding of limit—both as constraint and as aspiration.

Conventional readings have tended to frame the building as the ultimate manifestation of medieval constructive ambition, an almost inevitable culmination of the Gothic pursuit of height and dematerialisation. Within this interpretative framework, the collapse

of the choir in 1284 is frequently reduced to a deterministic outcome—the direct and unavoidable consequence of having exceeded the structural capacities of the system. Such an explanation, while not without foundation, remains incomplete. The argument advanced here invites a more nuanced consideration: Beauvais should be understood not only as a point at which the Gothic system encounters its technical threshold, but also as evidence of the axiological structure that guided its builders—an internal logic of value that compelled them to operate, deliberately and knowingly, in close proximity to that very boundary.

Gothic architecture may be interpreted as the material consolidation of a coherent system of values in which elevation, luminosity, and transcendence occupy a central position. Within this framework, the cathedral exceeds the condition of mere utilitarian enclosure: it operates as a constructed statement, an artefact through which a society renders visible its understanding of the relationship between the human and the divine. Height, accordingly, cannot be reduced to a geometric or structural parameter; it must be read as a value-laden magnitude, simultaneously physical and symbolic.

At Beauvais, this logic is carried to an extreme. The decision to raise the choir to 48.5 metres was neither incidental nor solely technical—it constituted a deliberate act of intensification, an attempt to bring the Gothic system to the edge of its expressive and constructive capacity. In this sense, the project may be understood as an affirmation in built form: a will to refine matter to such a degree that light, structure, and space converge in an almost immaterial equilibrium, challenging the conventional limits of construction.

Yet it is precisely this radicalisation that renders visible a fundamental condition of all technique: the existence of limits inherent in the behaviour of materials and in the internal logic of structural systems. The collapse of the choir in 1284 does not merely signal a local failure; it reveals, with unusual clarity, the point at which symbolic intention ceases to be compatible with mechanical reality. No system—however refined—can indefinitely sustain a discrepancy between aspiration and physical law.

To interpret this event as definitive failure would, however, be to overlook its constructive consequences. The subsequent reconstruction, together with the reinforcements introduced into the fabric, demonstrates that error was not external to the process of building, but constitutive of it. What emerges is not a rupture, but a transformation: the progressive incorporation of experience into practice. Beauvais thus becomes a site of learning, in which technical ambition is compelled to confront, and ultimately to integrate, the conditions of its own possibility.

It is in this sense that one may speak of a *pedagogy of the limit*. Technique does not evolve exclusively through the accumulation of successful precedents; it advances, perhaps more decisively, through its capacity to interpret failure. Collapse, deformation, and correction are not anomalies within the history of construction—they are moments of heightened intelligibility. Each instance contributes to a more precise definition of equilibrium, refining the implicit knowledge upon which the system depends.

Within the broader trajectory of Gothic architecture, Beauvais may therefore be understood as a critical inflection point. It marks the moment at which constructive practice becomes reflexive—aware not only of its aims, but of the constraints that govern their realisation. Ambition is not abandoned; rather, it is recalibrated. The pursuit of height and light persists but is now mediated by a more explicit understanding of structural behaviour.

The relevance of this process extends beyond the historical specificity of the Gothic. Beauvais offers a framework for reflecting on the relationship between technique and values in any technological culture. Innovation is never neutral: it presupposes a hierarchy

of objectives and an implicit acceptance of risk. What is built, and how far it is pushed, depends not only on what is technically feasible, but on what is considered desirable.

In this light, the history of the cathedral reveals that technical decisions are inseparable from cultural judgement. The interpretation of success, the assimilation of failure, and the continuous redefinition of the possible are all governed by systems of value. At Beauvais, these values are inscribed directly into the structure, rendering technique itself a form of cultural expression.

One may thus regard the building as a kind of moral construction. The unprecedented elevation of the choir expresses a collective confidence in the capacity to aspire—to project human intention toward the highest. The subsequent recognition of structural limits introduces a necessary counterpoint: an acknowledgement that such aspiration must be grounded in an exact understanding of material behaviour. Between these two poles—impulse and constraint—architecture finds its operative field.

This duality, tension rather than contradiction, lies at the core of the present interpretation. Beauvais is neither solely an apex of Gothic audacity nor merely a cautionary example of excess. It is a work in which a culture encounters its own boundary conditions and, in doing so, transforms them into knowledge.

The building, as it stands today, preserves the trace of this process. Its soaring vaults, attenuated supports, and later reinforcements do not simply describe a form; they record a sequence of adjustments, a progressive clarification of structural necessity. In this respect, the cathedral functions as an exceptional document—one that reveals, with unusual precision, the interplay between technique, value, and limit.

Ultimately, the significance of Beauvais cannot be confined to questions of structural optimisation or formal ambition. Its deeper importance lies in its capacity to disclose something fundamental about the human condition: the persistent effort to reconcile desire with constraint, vision with reality. When architecture attains this level of intensity, it transcends its immediate function and becomes a mode of thought—material, yet reflective.

Beauvais endures because it articulates a question that remains unresolved: how to pursue the highest without neglecting the conditions that render such pursuit viable. This tension is not incidental to architecture; it defines it. Indeed, it extends to all forms of technical practice.

The cathedral does not merely instruct us in the mechanics of Gothic construction. It demonstrates how a society learns—through trial, error, and adjustment—to recognise limit not as an obstacle, but as a condition of possibility. The stone, drawn upward toward the light and marked by the memory of collapse, becomes the medium of that lesson.

At Beauvais, limit does not negate technique; it completes it.

## **9.** REFERENCES

1. Binski, P. (2017). The gothic line of beauty: Motif and medieval aesthetics. *Quintana Revista Do Departamento de Historia Da Arte*, *16*, 51–78. https://doi.org/10.15304/qui.16.5593

2. Bony, J. (2023). French Gothic Architecture of the 12th and 13th Centuries. In *French Gothic Architecture of the 12th and 13th Centuries*. University of California Press. https://doi.org/10.2307/3332318

3. Boothby, T. E., & Coronelli, D. (2024). The Stone Skeleton: A Reappraisal. *Heritage*, *7*(5), 2265–2276. https://doi.org/10.3390/heritage7050107

4. Bork, R., & Schurr, M. (2018). Gothic Architecture. *Art History*. https://doi.org/10.1093/obo/9780199920105-0126

5. Branner, R., Jantzen, H., & Palmes, J. (1963). High Gothic. The Classic Cathredrals of Chartres, Reims, Amiens. In *The Journal of Aesthetics and Art Criticism* (Vol. 22, Issue 1). Princeton University Press. https://doi.org/10.2307/427856
6. Como, M. (2009). The Collapse of the Beauvais Cathedral in 1284. The Conjecture of the Creep Buckling Piers. In K.-E. Kurrer, W. Lorenz, & V. Weltzk (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Third International Congress on Construction History, Brandenburg University of Technology Cottbus, Germany, 20th-24th May 2009* (pp. 393–400).
7. Como, M. (2015). Statics of Historic Masonry Constructions: An Essay. In Springer (Ed.), *Masonry Structures: Between Mechanics and Architecture* (pp. 49–72). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-13003-3_3
8. Courtenay, L. T. (2016). The Engineering of Medieval Cathedrals. *The Engineering of Medieval Cathedrals*, 1–360. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315239989
9. Cowan, H. J. (1992). Structural Design by Observation of Failures HOw the Gothic Master Masons Determined the Dimensions of their Structures. *Architectural Science Review*, *35*(2), 51–58. https://doi.org/10.1080/00038628.1992.9696713
10. Disser, A., Dillmann, P., Bourgain, C., L'Héritier, M., Vega, E., Bauvais, S., & Leroy, M. (2014). Iron reinforcements in Beauvais and Metz Cathedrals: From bloomery or finery? The use of logistic regression for differentiating smelting processes. *Journal of Archaeological Science*, *42*(1), 315–333. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2013.10.034
11. Ferauge, M., & Mignerey, P. (1996). L'utilisation du fer dans l'architecture gothique : l'exemple de la cathédrale de Bourges. *Bulletin Monumental*, *154*(2), 129–148. https://doi.org/10.3406/bulmo.1996.4550
12. Fitchen, J. (1997). *The construction of gothic cathedrals: A study of medieval vault erection* (University of Chicago Press (ed.)).
13. Frankl, P. (1962). *Gothic Architecture*. Harmondsworth, Middlesex: Penguin Books.
14. Heyman, J. (1966). The stone skeleton. *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, *2*(2). https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-7683(66)90018-7
15. Heyman, J. (1967). Beauvais cathedral. *Transactions of the Newcomen Society*, *40*(1), 15–35. https://doi.org/10.1179/tns.1967.002
16. Heyman, J. (1977). The gothic structure. *Interdisciplinary Science Reviews*, *2*(2), 151–164. https://doi.org/10.1179/030801877789826213
17. Huerta Fernández, S. (2006). Geometry and equilibrium: The gothic theory of structural design. *Structural Engineer*, *84*(2), 23–28. https://oa.upm.es/701/1/Huerta_Art_001.pdf
18. Huerta Fernández, S. (2010). The safety of masonry buttresses. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers - Engineering History and Heritage*, *163*(1), 3–24. https://doi.org/10.1680/ehah.2010.163.1.3
19. Huerta Fernández, S. (2014). The Debate about the Structural Behaviour of Gothic Vaults: From Viollet-le- Duc to Heyman The Debate about the Structural Behaviour of Gothic Vaults : From Viollet-le-Duc to Heyman. *Proceedings of the Third International Congress on Construction History, Brandenburg University of Technology Cottbus, Germany, 20th-24th May 2009*, *June*, 837–844.
20. Kathryn Brush, P. D. and V. C. R. (2016). *Artistic Integration in Gothic Buildings*. https://doi.org/10.3138/9781442671041
21. Lavinia, D. T. (2024). Flying Buttresses and the Artistic Expression of Vertical Ambition in Gothic Church Architecture. *Art and Society*, *3*(4), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.56397/as.2024.08.01
22. Leblond, V. (1926). *La Cathédrale de Beauvais*. Henri Laurens. https://gallica.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/bpt6k61054041/f8.item.texteImage
23. Mark, R. (2016). Robert Willis, Viollet-le-Duc and the structural approach to Gothic

architecture. In Routledge (Ed.), *The Engineering of Medieval Cathedrals* (pp. 1–13).
24. Mas-Guindal Lafarga, A. J. (2011). *Mecánica de las estructuras antiguas. O cuando las estructuras no se calculaban*. Editorial Munillaleria.
25. Murray, S. (1980). The Choir of the Church of St.-Pierre, Cathedral of Beauvais: A Study of Gothic Architectural Planning and Constructional Chronology in Its Historical Context. *The Art Bulletin*, *62*(4), 533–551. https://doi.org/10.1080/00043079.1980.10787813
26. Murray, S. (1989). *Beauvais Cathedral. Architecture of Trascendence*. Princeton University Press.
27. Murray, S. (2011). Back to Beauvais. In R. Odell Bork, W. W. Clark, & A. McGehee (Eds.), *New Approaches to Medieval Architecture* (pp. 45–60).
28. Murray, S. (2014). Plotting Gothic: A Paradox. *Architectural Histories*, *2*(1), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.5334/ah.bs
29. Murray, S. (2016). The collapse of 1284 at Beauvais Cathedral. In Routledge (Ed.), *The Engineering of Medieval Cathedrals* (pp. 141–168).
30. Nikolinakou, M.-K., Tallon, A. J., & Ochsendorf, J. A. (2005). Structure and form of early Gothic flying buttresses. *Revue Européenne de Génie Civil*, *9*(9–10), 1191–1217. https://doi.org/10.1080/17747120.2005.9692807
31. Recht, R., & Whittall, M. (2009). *Believing and seeing: the art of Gothic cathedrals*. University of Chicago Press. https://doi.org/10.5860/choice.46-4229
32. Rodríguez Elizalde, R. (2025a). Beauvais Cathedral: The Ambition, Collapse and Legacy of Gothic Engineering. *Heritage*, *8*(6). https://doi.org/10.3390/heritage8060203
33. Rodríguez Elizalde, R. (2025b). The Cathedral of Beauvais: Ruin as Discourse. The Gothic Structure and the Fall of Technical Meaning. *Journal of Cultural Analysis and Social Change*, *10*(3), 658–671. https://doi.org/10.64753/jcasc.v10i3.2473
34. Rodríguez Elizalde, R. (2025c). The Fragile Sky of Beauvais Cathedral: Gothic Architecture and the Posthuman Condition of Technical Ambition. *Journal of Posthumanism*, *5*(11), 44–63. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.63332/joph.v5i11.3629
35. Tadgell, C. (2020). France, 1135–1450. *Sir Banister Fletcher's Global History of Architecture*. https://doi.org/10.5040/9781474207768.047
36. Taupin, J.-L., & Hoffsummer, P. (2009). Case Studies: The Cathedral of Saint-Pierre in Beauvais. In *Roof Frames from the 11th to the 19th Century: Typology and Development in Northern France and in Belgium: Analysis of CRMH Documentation* (pp. 108–121).
37. Theodossopoulos, D., & Sinha, B. (2008). Structural safety and failure modes in Gothic vaulting systems. *8th International Seminar on Structural Masonry*, 2–9. http://www.research.ed.ac.uk/portal/files/8768078/Structural_Safety_and_failure_modes_in_Gothic_vaulting_systems.pdf
38. Viollet-le-Duc, E.-E. (1868). *Dictionnaire raisonné de l'architecture française du XI° au XVI° siècle*.
https://www.google.es/books/edition/Dictionaire_raisonné_de_l_architecture/LndJAAAAMAAJ?hl=es&gbpv=1&pg=PA207&printsec=frontcover
39. Wolfe, M. I., & Mark, R. (1976). The collapse of the vaults of beauvais cathedral in 1284. *Speculum*, *51*(3), 462–476. https://doi.org/10.2307/2851708