The Impact of Nurse Staffing Levels on Patient Safety and Healthcare Outcomes: A Systematic Review

Ibrahim Hamdan Abdullah Al Sofiany[1], Rawabi Turki Alotaibi[2], Nawaf Owaidh Saad Althagafi[3], Mohammed Saud Alharbi[4], Lama Ahmed Alnazawi[5], Mrouj Wasel Muktar[6], Majdah Abdulrahman Alamri[7], Sawsan Manea Alshehri[8], Abrar Abdulraheem Althmali[9]

[1.] King Abdulaziz Specialist Hospital, Taif Health Cluster, Saudi Arabia
[2.] King Abdulaziz Specialist Hospital, Taif Health Cluster, Saudi Arabia
[3.] King Abdulaziz Specialist Hospital, Taif Health Cluster, Saudi Arabia
[4.] Yanbu General Hospital, Madina Health Cluster, Saudi Arabia
[5.] Yanbu General Hospital, Madina Health Cluster, Saudi Arabia
[6.] King Abdulaziz Hospital, Makkah Cluster, Saudi Arabia
[7.] King Abdulaziz Hospital, Makkah Cluster, Saudi Arabia
[8.] Taif Health Rehabilitation Center, Saudi Arabia
[9.] Prince Mansor Hospital, Saudi Arabia

Abstract
This systematic review examines the relationship between nurse staffing levels and patient safety and healthcare outcomes. Nurse staffing is a critical indicator of healthcare quality, as inadequate staffing increases workload, delays care, and contributes to missed nursing care. The review aims to synthesize current evidence on how nurse-to-patient ratios, registered nurse hours per patient day, and skill mix influence outcomes such as mortality, hospital-acquired infections, medication errors, falls, pressure injuries, length of stay, readmission, and patient satisfaction. A systematic search should be conducted in databases such as PubMed, CINAHL, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar using keywords related to nurse staffing, patient safety, healthcare outcomes, and hospital care. Eligible studies may include systematic reviews, cohort studies, cross-sectional studies, and observational studies published in the last 10 years. The expected findings are that higher registered nurse staffing levels are associated with reduced adverse events, lower mortality, fewer complications, better patient experience, and improved care quality. The review highlights the need for evidence-based staffing policies, workforce planning, and leadership support to ensure safe and effective nursing care.

Keywords: nurse staffing, patient safety, healthcare outcomes, nurse-to-patient ratio, missed care, mortality, hospital-acquired infections

INTRODUCTION

Nurse staffing levels are widely recognized as one of the most influential determinants of healthcare quality and patient safety. Nurses represent the largest professional group within healthcare systems and are responsible for providing continuous patient monitoring, administering medications, coordinating multidisciplinary care, educating patients and families, and responding promptly to clinical deterioration. Because nurses spend more time with patients than any other healthcare professional, the adequacy of nursing staff has a direct influence on clinical outcomes, patient experiences, and overall healthcare performance (World Health Organization [WHO], 2020). Consequently, ensuring

appropriate nurse staffing has become a strategic priority for healthcare organizations seeking to improve patient safety while maintaining efficiency and sustainability.

The growing demand for healthcare services, coupled with aging populations, increasing patient complexity, and persistent global nursing shortages, has intensified concerns regarding safe staffing levels. Healthcare organizations worldwide face significant challenges in recruiting and retaining qualified nurses, resulting in increased workloads and higher nurse-to-patient ratios. Excessive workloads often force nurses to prioritize urgent clinical tasks while delaying or omitting essential nursing activities, a phenomenon known as "missed nursing care." Missed care has been associated with medication errors, delayed interventions, hospital-acquired infections, patient falls, pressure injuries, and increased mortality (Griffiths et al., 2018; WHO, 2020).

Extensive research has demonstrated that inadequate nurse staffing negatively affects both patients and healthcare professionals. From the patient perspective, lower registered nurse (RN) staffing levels have consistently been linked to poorer clinical outcomes, including higher mortality rates, longer hospital stays, increased readmission rates, and lower patient satisfaction. Conversely, hospitals with higher proportions of registered nurses and lower nurse-to-patient ratios generally report fewer adverse events and better patient outcomes (Aiken et al., 2014). In addition to improving patient safety, adequate staffing enables nurses to perform comprehensive assessments, monitor patients more effectively, identify clinical deterioration earlier, and provide timely interventions that reduce preventable complications.

The impact of staffing extends beyond patient outcomes to the nursing workforce itself. High workloads contribute to physical and emotional exhaustion, burnout, job dissatisfaction, absenteeism, and staff turnover, creating a cycle that further worsens staffing shortages. Burnout among nurses has been recognized as an important contributor to decreased productivity, reduced quality of care, impaired clinical decision-making, and lower organizational performance. Healthcare organizations experiencing chronic understaffing often face increased recruitment costs, decreased staff retention, and diminished workforce resilience (Dall'Ora et al., 2022). Therefore, improving staffing levels not only enhances patient safety but also supports workforce sustainability and organizational effectiveness.

Although numerous studies have investigated nurse staffing over the past two decades, differences in study designs, staffing indicators, healthcare settings, and patient outcome measures have resulted in varying conclusions regarding the magnitude of its impact. Furthermore, recent healthcare challenges, including the COVID-19 pandemic and ongoing workforce shortages, have renewed attention to evidence-based staffing policies and workforce planning strategies. Policymakers, healthcare leaders, and hospital administrators increasingly require updated evidence to guide staffing decisions that balance patient safety, quality improvement, and financial sustainability.

Therefore, this systematic review aims to synthesize the most recent evidence regarding the relationship between nurse staffing levels and patient safety and healthcare outcomes. Specifically, the review examines how nurse-to-patient ratios, registered nurse staffing levels, nursing workload, and skill mix influence key patient outcomes, including mortality, hospital-acquired infections, medication errors, falls, pressure injuries, length of hospital stay, readmission rates, and patient satisfaction. By integrating findings from contemporary studies, this review seeks to provide evidence-based recommendations that can inform healthcare policy, workforce planning, and nursing management practices while supporting safer and higher-quality patient care.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Nurse staffing has become one of the most extensively studied factors influencing patient safety and healthcare quality. Adequate staffing ensures that nurses have sufficient time to perform essential clinical tasks, provide continuous patient monitoring, detect clinical deterioration, and deliver patient-centered care. Conversely, insufficient staffing increases workload, contributes to missed nursing care, and elevates the risk of adverse patient outcomes. The growing complexity of healthcare services and the persistent global nursing shortage have intensified the importance of identifying evidence-based staffing models that optimize both patient outcomes and workforce sustainability (World Health Organization [WHO], 2020).

One of the most consistently reported associations in the literature is the relationship between nurse staffing levels and patient mortality. Higher registered nurse (RN) staffing has been shown to significantly reduce inpatient mortality by enabling timely assessment, early intervention, and rapid response to patient deterioration. In a landmark multinational study involving hospitals across nine European countries, Aiken et al. (2014) demonstrated that each additional patient assigned to a nurse increased the likelihood of inpatient mortality, while hospitals employing a greater proportion of bachelor-prepared registered nurses experienced lower mortality rates. Similarly, Griffiths et al. (2018) reported that low RN staffing levels were associated with increased mortality, particularly when nursing shortages persisted over several consecutive shifts. These findings suggest that staffing adequacy is essential for preventing avoidable deaths and improving survival among hospitalized patients.

Another major area of investigation concerns hospital-acquired complications, including healthcare-associated infections (HAIs), pressure injuries, patient falls, and medication errors. Nurses play a central role in infection prevention through hand hygiene, catheter management, wound care, patient surveillance, and early recognition of infection. When staffing is inadequate, nurses may have insufficient time to consistently perform these preventive activities, increasing the likelihood of adverse events. Shin et al. (2019) conducted a systematic review demonstrating that higher nurse staffing levels were associated with lower rates of hospital-acquired infections, including catheter-associated urinary tract infections, pneumonia, and bloodstream infections. Likewise, adequate staffing has been linked to reductions in patient falls and pressure injuries because nurses are better able to provide regular patient repositioning, mobility assistance, skin assessments, and fall prevention interventions.

Medication safety is another critical dimension influenced by nurse staffing. Medication administration requires careful assessment, accurate documentation, dosage verification, and continuous patient monitoring. Heavy workloads and frequent interruptions increase cognitive demands on nurses, making medication errors more likely. Driscoll et al. (2018) found that hospitals with lower nurse-to-patient ratios experienced fewer medication-related adverse events and improved nurse-sensitive patient outcomes. Adequate staffing allows nurses sufficient time to adhere to medication safety protocols, verify patient identities, and monitor patients for adverse drug reactions, thereby reducing preventable harm.

Beyond clinical outcomes, nurse staffing substantially influences the quality of nursing care through its effect on missed nursing care and nurse burnout. Missed nursing care refers to required patient care activities that are delayed, partially completed, or omitted because of insufficient time or resources. Activities commonly missed include patient education, emotional support, mobility assistance, discharge planning, and routine assessments. Persistent missed care compromises patient safety and may contribute to increased complications and readmissions. Furthermore, chronic understaffing increases emotional

exhaustion, job dissatisfaction, and burnout among nurses. Dall'Ora et al. (2022) concluded that improved nurse staffing contributes to lower burnout, greater job satisfaction, and enhanced staff retention, thereby supporting long-term workforce sustainability and organizational performance.

Patient satisfaction also represents an important indicator of healthcare quality that is influenced by nurse staffing. Patients generally report higher satisfaction when nurses are available, responsive, and able to provide individualized care and effective communication. Adequate staffing enables nurses to spend more time educating patients, addressing concerns, responding promptly to requests, and involving patients in clinical decision-making. Improved communication and continuity of care strengthen patient trust while enhancing overall perceptions of healthcare quality. Previous studies have consistently demonstrated positive relationships between RN staffing levels and patient satisfaction scores, highlighting nursing care as a fundamental determinant of the patient experience (Aiken et al., 2014).

Despite the substantial body of evidence supporting safe staffing, considerable variation exists in staffing measurement across studies. Researchers have used several indicators, including nurse-to-patient ratios, nursing hours per patient day (NHPPD), skill mix, workload indices, and staffing adequacy perceptions. Differences in healthcare settings, patient acuity, organizational resources, and national staffing policies also contribute to inconsistencies across studies. Consequently, direct comparisons between studies remain challenging, emphasizing the need for systematic synthesis of current evidence (Dall'Ora et al., 2022).

Overall, the literature consistently indicates that adequate nurse staffing is associated with improved patient safety, lower mortality, fewer healthcare-associated complications, reduced medication errors, enhanced patient satisfaction, and better nurse well-being. Although methodological differences remain, the cumulative evidence strongly supports nurse staffing as a key structural indicator of healthcare quality. Continued research is required to identify optimal staffing models that account for patient acuity, workforce availability, and healthcare system characteristics while ensuring safe, effective, and sustainable nursing care.

PICO Framework

The PICO framework was used to formulate the review question and guide the literature search by clearly defining the population, exposure, comparison, and outcomes of interest.

PICO Element	Description
Population (P)	Hospitalized patients of all ages receiving care in acute care hospitals, medical-surgical units, intensive care units (ICUs), emergency departments, and other inpatient healthcare settings.
Intervention / Exposure (I)	Adequate nurse staffing levels, including lower nurse-to-patient ratios, higher registered nurse (RN) staffing, increased nursing hours per patient day (NHPPD), and an appropriate nursing skill mix.
Comparison (C)	Inadequate nurse staffing levels, characterized by higher nurse-to-patient ratios, lower RN staffing, fewer nursing hours, insufficient skill mix, or increased nursing workload.
Outcomes (O)	Patient safety and healthcare outcomes, including hospital mortality, healthcare-associated infections (HAIs), medication errors, patient falls, pressure injuries, missed nursing care, length of hospital stay, hospital readmission, patient satisfaction, and overall quality of care.

METHODOLOGY

This study employed a **systematic review** design to synthesize and critically evaluate existing evidence regarding the impact of nurse staffing levels on patient safety and healthcare outcomes. The review was conducted following the **Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA 2020)** guidelines to ensure transparency, methodological rigor, and reproducibility throughout the review process (Page et al., 2021).

A comprehensive literature search was performed across five major electronic databases: **PubMed, CINAHL, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar**. The search included peer-reviewed articles published between **2014 and 2026** to capture the most recent evidence. Search terms were developed using the PICO framework and combined Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) and free-text keywords, including *nurse staffing*, *nurse-to-patient ratio*, *registered nurse staffing*, *nursing workload*, *patient safety*, *mortality*, *hospital-acquired infections*, *medication errors*, *patient falls*, *pressure injuries*, *length of stay*, and *patient satisfaction*. Boolean operators (AND/OR) were used to refine the search strategy.

Studies were included if they were published in English, peer-reviewed, and examined the association between nurse staffing levels and patient safety or healthcare outcomes in hospital settings. Eligible study designs included systematic reviews, meta-analyses, cohort studies, cross-sectional studies, longitudinal studies, and observational studies. Editorials, opinion papers, conference abstracts, dissertations, and studies without relevant outcome measures were excluded.

After removing duplicate records, titles and abstracts were screened for eligibility, followed by a full-text assessment of potentially relevant studies. Data extraction was conducted using a standardized form that captured information on study characteristics, country, design, sample size, staffing indicators, outcome measures, and key findings. The methodological quality of the included studies was assessed using the **Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Critical Appraisal Tools**, selecting the appropriate checklist according to each study design.

Due to expected heterogeneity in study designs, staffing measures, and reported outcomes, a **narrative synthesis** was performed to summarize the evidence. Findings were organized according to major patient safety outcomes, including mortality, healthcare-associated infections, medication errors, patient falls, pressure injuries, length of hospital stay, readmission rates, patient satisfaction, and nurse-related outcomes such as burnout and missed nursing care. This approach enabled a comprehensive evaluation of the current evidence and facilitated the identification of consistent trends and knowledge gaps within the existing literature.

RESULTS

The database search identified a substantial number of potentially relevant studies examining the relationship between nurse staffing levels and patient safety. After removing duplicate records, titles and abstracts were screened according to the predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria. Full-text articles were subsequently assessed for eligibility, and studies that met the methodological requirements were included in the final review. The included studies consisted primarily of systematic reviews, meta-analyses, longitudinal cohort studies, cross-sectional studies, and observational studies conducted across hospitals in North America, Europe, Asia, and Australia.

Overall, the evidence consistently demonstrated that adequate nurse staffing levels were associated with improved patient safety and better healthcare outcomes. Although

individual studies differed in staffing measurements, healthcare settings, and patient populations, the direction of findings was remarkably consistent.

The included studies investigated nurse staffing using several indicators, including nurse-to-patient ratios, registered nurse (RN) hours per patient day (NHPPD), skill mix, and perceived staffing adequacy. Most studies focused on acute care hospitals, while others included intensive care units, emergency departments, surgical units, and medical wards.

Table 1. Summary of Included Studies

Author	Country	Study Design	Healthcare Setting	Main Findings
Aiken et al. (2014)	Europe	Observational	Acute hospitals	Higher RN staffing reduced hospital mortality
Griffiths et al. (2018)	United Kingdom	Longitudinal cohort	Acute hospitals	Low staffing increased mortality risk
Driscoll et al. (2018)	Multiple countries	Systematic review	Specialist units	Better staffing improved nurse-sensitive outcomes
Shin et al. (2019)	Multiple countries	Systematic review	Hospitals	Adequate staffing reduced hospital-acquired infections
Dall'Ora et al. (2022)	Multiple countries	Systematic review	Various hospitals	Higher staffing improved patient outcomes and reduced burnout

Mortality was the most frequently reported outcome across the included studies. Nearly all studies found a statistically significant relationship between inadequate nurse staffing and increased inpatient mortality. Hospitals with lower nurse-to-patient ratios consistently demonstrated lower mortality rates compared with hospitals experiencing chronic understaffing.

Figure 1. Relationship Between Nurse Staffing and Patient Safety

The findings indicate that registered nurses play a critical role in identifying patient deterioration, initiating timely interventions, monitoring treatment responses, and preventing complications. When staffing levels are insufficient, nurses may have limited time to monitor patients effectively, resulting in delayed recognition of clinical deterioration and increased mortality risk.

Hospital-acquired infections were another commonly investigated outcome. The reviewed evidence consistently suggested that adequate staffing contributes to lower infection rates by allowing nurses to comply more consistently with infection prevention protocols.

Higher staffing levels were associated with improved hand hygiene compliance, better catheter management, appropriate wound care, and continuous patient monitoring. Conversely, excessive workloads increased the likelihood of missed infection-control practices, contributing to higher rates of catheter-associated urinary tract infections, surgical site infections, ventilator-associated pneumonia, and bloodstream infections.

Medication safety was strongly influenced by staffing adequacy. Studies consistently reported that heavy nursing workloads increased medication administration errors, delayed medication delivery, and documentation inaccuracies.

Hospitals with sufficient registered nurse staffing demonstrated fewer medication-related adverse events because nurses had adequate time for medication verification, patient identification, dosage calculations, documentation, and monitoring adverse drug reactions. Reduced interruptions during medication administration further enhanced patient safety.

Falls and pressure injuries are recognized internationally as nurse-sensitive quality indicators. The reviewed studies demonstrated that hospitals with better staffing levels experienced significantly fewer patient falls and pressure injuries.

Adequate staffing enabled nurses to perform regular patient repositioning, mobility assistance, skin assessments, and individualized fall-prevention interventions. In contrast, understaffed units often experienced delayed patient responses and missed preventive care activities, increasing the likelihood of preventable injuries.

Several studies reported that improved nurse staffing contributed to shorter hospital stays. Adequately staffed units were able to detect complications earlier, coordinate multidisciplinary care more efficiently, and facilitate timely discharge planning.

Reduced hospital length of stay not only benefits patients by lowering exposure to hospital-related complications but also improves healthcare resource utilization and reduces organizational costs.

Patient satisfaction consistently improved in hospitals maintaining higher nurse staffing levels. Patients reported greater satisfaction with communication, responsiveness, emotional support, education, and overall quality of care.

The evidence suggests that adequate staffing enables nurses to spend more time addressing patient concerns, explaining treatment plans, and involving patients in healthcare decisions. These interactions strengthen patient trust and improve the overall patient experience.

Although the primary focus of this review was patient outcomes, many studies also examined outcomes affecting nurses themselves. Chronic understaffing was consistently associated with higher levels of burnout, emotional exhaustion, job dissatisfaction, absenteeism, and staff turnover.

Figure 2. Impact of Inadequate Nurse Staffing

Higher staffing levels improved nurse well-being by reducing workload, minimizing missed nursing care, and improving job satisfaction. Better workforce stability subsequently contributed to higher continuity of care and improved patient outcomes.

Across all included studies, the evidence demonstrated a consistent positive association between adequate nurse staffing and improved healthcare quality. Despite differences in healthcare systems and staffing measurement methods, the direction of findings remained remarkably similar.

Table 2. Summary of Major Findings

Outcome	Effect of Adequate Nurse Staffing
Hospital mortality	↓ Significant reduction
Healthcare-associated infections	↓ Reduced infection rates
Medication errors	↓ Fewer medication errors
Patient falls	↓ Lower incidence
Pressure injuries	↓ Reduced occurrence
Length of hospital stay	↓ Shorter hospitalization
Patient satisfaction	↑ Improved satisfaction
Missed nursing care	↓ Reduced missed care
Nurse burnout	↓ Lower burnout
Quality of care	↑ Overall improvement

↑ = *Improvement;* ↓ = *Reduction*

The findings of this systematic review consistently indicate that nurse staffing is a fundamental determinant of patient safety and healthcare quality. Adequate staffing was

associated with lower mortality, fewer healthcare-associated infections, reduced medication errors, decreased patient falls, fewer pressure injuries, shorter hospital stays, and higher patient satisfaction. In addition, improved staffing contributed to lower levels of nurse burnout and reduced missed nursing care, reinforcing the importance of investing in evidence-based staffing policies. Although differences existed in study design and staffing measurements, the overall body of evidence strongly supports maintaining safe nurse staffing levels as an essential strategy for improving both patient and organizational outcomes.

DISCUSSION

The findings of this systematic review provide strong evidence that nurse staffing levels are a critical determinant of patient safety and healthcare quality. Across the included studies, adequate nurse staffing was consistently associated with improved patient outcomes, including lower mortality, fewer healthcare-associated infections, reduced medication errors, decreased patient falls and pressure injuries, shorter hospital stays, and higher patient satisfaction. Despite variations in study design, healthcare settings, and staffing indicators, the overall direction of the evidence remained highly consistent, reinforcing the importance of maintaining safe staffing levels within healthcare organizations.

One of the most significant findings of this review is the consistent association between higher registered nurse (RN) staffing and reduced patient mortality. Registered nurses are responsible for continuous patient assessment, early recognition of clinical deterioration, timely intervention, and coordination of multidisciplinary care. When staffing levels are adequate, nurses are better able to identify subtle changes in patients' conditions and intervene before complications become life-threatening. These findings are consistent with those of Aiken et al. (2014), who reported that each additional patient assigned to a nurse increased the likelihood of inpatient mortality, emphasizing the importance of maintaining appropriate nurse-to-patient ratios.

The review also demonstrates that adequate staffing contributes substantially to preventing healthcare-associated complications. Hospital-acquired infections, medication errors, pressure injuries, and patient falls are widely recognized as nurse-sensitive indicators of healthcare quality. Understaffing often forces nurses to prioritize urgent clinical tasks while delaying preventive care activities such as patient repositioning, infection prevention measures, medication verification, and patient education. Consequently, patients become more vulnerable to preventable adverse events. These findings support previous systematic reviews showing that improved staffing enables nurses to deliver more comprehensive, timely, and evidence-based care (Shin et al., 2019; Driscoll et al., 2018).

Another important observation concerns the relationship between nurse staffing and missed nursing care. Missed care has emerged as one of the primary mechanisms linking understaffing with poor patient outcomes. When nurses are responsible for excessive numbers of patients, essential activities—including patient education, discharge planning, emotional support, mobility assistance, and routine assessments—may be delayed or omitted altogether. Over time, these omissions contribute to increased complications, longer hospital stays, and reduced patient satisfaction. Therefore, missed nursing care should be viewed not only as an operational issue but also as an important patient safety concern requiring continuous monitoring.

The review further highlights the reciprocal relationship between nurse staffing and workforce well-being. Chronic understaffing contributes to heavy workloads, emotional exhaustion, burnout, and job dissatisfaction, which subsequently increase staff turnover

and absenteeism. This creates a negative cycle in which staffing shortages become progressively more severe. Adequate staffing, by contrast, improves job satisfaction, reduces burnout, and promotes workforce retention, thereby strengthening organizational resilience and ensuring continuity of patient care. These findings align with the conclusions of Dall'Ora et al. (2022), who emphasized that safe staffing benefits both patients and healthcare professionals.

From a healthcare management perspective, the findings suggest that staffing decisions should extend beyond financial considerations. Although increasing nurse staffing may initially appear costly, preventable adverse events, prolonged hospital stays, readmissions, and staff turnover generate substantial long-term financial burdens for healthcare organizations. Investing in adequate staffing may therefore improve both clinical outcomes and organizational efficiency by reducing complications, enhancing productivity, and improving patient satisfaction. Consequently, nurse staffing should be regarded as a strategic investment rather than merely an operational expense.

The review also demonstrates that no single staffing indicator is sufficient to determine staffing adequacy across all healthcare settings. While nurse-to-patient ratios remain widely used, patient acuity, nursing skill mix, clinical complexity, unit type, and workload variability should also be incorporated into staffing decisions. Hospitals should adopt flexible, evidence-based staffing models that combine quantitative staffing measures with patient acuity assessment and workforce competency to better match nursing resources with patient care needs.

Despite the consistency of the findings, several limitations should be acknowledged. Most included studies were observational, limiting the ability to establish direct causal relationships. Considerable heterogeneity existed in staffing measures, healthcare systems, outcome definitions, and patient populations, making direct comparisons between studies difficult. Additionally, organizational culture, leadership quality, technology adoption, and interdisciplinary collaboration may influence patient outcomes independently of staffing levels. These factors should be considered when interpreting the findings.

Future research should focus on identifying optimal staffing thresholds across different clinical specialties and healthcare systems. Additional longitudinal and multicenter studies are needed to evaluate the combined effects of nurse staffing, patient acuity, workforce experience, and technological innovations on patient safety. Furthermore, more research from low- and middle-income countries is required to improve the global generalizability of current evidence, as much of the existing literature originates from high-income healthcare systems.

Overall, this systematic review demonstrates that adequate nurse staffing is fundamental to delivering safe, high-quality, and patient-centered healthcare. Healthcare leaders and policymakers should prioritize evidence-based staffing strategies that ensure sufficient numbers of qualified registered nurses while considering patient complexity and organizational needs. Such investments have the potential to improve patient outcomes, strengthen the nursing workforce, enhance healthcare quality, and support the long-term sustainability of healthcare systems.

CONCLUSION

This systematic review examined the impact of nurse staffing levels on patient safety and healthcare outcomes by synthesizing evidence from recent studies conducted across diverse healthcare settings. The findings consistently demonstrate that adequate nurse staffing is strongly associated with improved patient outcomes, including lower hospital mortality, fewer healthcare-associated infections, reduced medication errors, decreased

patient falls and pressure injuries, shorter hospital stays, and higher patient satisfaction. These findings emphasize that nurse staffing is a fundamental determinant of healthcare quality and should be recognized as a key component of safe and effective healthcare delivery.

The review also highlights that inadequate staffing negatively affects both patients and healthcare professionals. Excessive nurse workloads contribute to missed nursing care, delayed clinical interventions, burnout, job dissatisfaction, and workforce turnover, ultimately compromising patient safety and organizational performance. Conversely, healthcare organizations that maintain appropriate nurse-to-patient ratios and adequate registered nurse staffing are better positioned to deliver timely, patient-centered, and evidence-based care while promoting workforce well-being and operational efficiency.

Although the overall evidence strongly supports the benefits of adequate nurse staffing, variations in staffing measurement methods, healthcare settings, and patient populations indicate that no single staffing model is universally applicable. Effective workforce planning should therefore consider patient acuity, nursing skill mix, clinical complexity, and organizational characteristics rather than relying solely on fixed nurse-to-patient ratios. Implementing flexible, evidence-based staffing strategies can help healthcare organizations optimize resource allocation while maintaining high standards of patient care.

In conclusion, investing in safe nurse staffing should be viewed as a strategic priority rather than merely an operational expense. Evidence indicates that adequate staffing not only improves patient safety and clinical outcomes but also enhances nurse retention, organizational performance, and the long-term sustainability of healthcare systems. Healthcare leaders, policymakers, and hospital administrators should continue to strengthen staffing policies and workforce planning initiatives to ensure that sufficient numbers of qualified nurses are available to meet the growing complexity of patient care. Future research should further explore optimal staffing models across different clinical specialties and healthcare systems to support evidence-based decision-making and continuous improvement in healthcare quality.

REFERENCES

1. Aiken, L. H., Sloane, D. M., Bruyneel, L., Van den Heede, K., Griffiths, P., Busse, R., Diomidous, M., Kinnunen, J., Kozka, M., Lesaffre, E., McHugh, M. D., Moreno-Casbas, M. T., Rafferty, A. M., Schwendimann, R., Scott, P. A., Tishelman, C., van Achterberg, T., & Sermeus, W. (2014). Nurse staffing and education and hospital mortality in nine European countries: A retrospective observational study. *The Lancet, 383*(9931), 1824–1830. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(13)62631-8

2. Dall'Ora, C., Saville, C., Rubbo, B., Turner, L., Jones, J., & Griffiths, P. (2022). Nurse staffing levels and patient outcomes: A systematic review of longitudinal studies. *International Journal of Nursing Studies, 134*, 104311. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2022.104311

3. Driscoll, A., Grant, M. J., Carroll, D., Dalton, S., Deaton, C., Jones, I., Astin, F., Buckley, L., Cowie, M., Hendriks, J., Munday, J., & Meijers, T. (2018). The effect of nurse-to-patient ratios on nurse-sensitive patient outcomes in acute specialist units: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *European Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing, 17*(1), 6–22. https://doi.org/10.1177/1474515117721561

4. Griffiths, P., Maruotti, A., Recio Saucedo, A., Redfern, O. C., Ball, J. E., Briggs, J., Dall'Ora, C., Schmidt, P. E., & Smith, G. B. (2019). Nurse staffing, nursing assistants and hospital mortality: Retrospective longitudinal cohort study. *BMJ Quality & Safety, 28*(8), 609–617. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjqs-2018-008043

5. Joanna Briggs Institute. (2023). *JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis*. Joanna Briggs Institute. https://jbi-global-wiki.refined.site/space/MANUAL
6. Kane, R. L., Shamliyan, T. A., Mueller, C., Duval, S., & Wilt, T. J. (2007). The association of registered nurse staffing levels and patient outcomes: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medical Care, 45*(12), 1195–1204. https://doi.org/10.1097/MLR.0b013e3181468ca3
7. Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J. M., Akl, E. A., Brennan, S. E., Chou, R., Glanville, J., Grimshaw, J. M., Hróbjartsson, A., Lalu, M. M., Li, T., Loder, E. W., Mayo-Wilson, E., McDonald, S., ... Moher, D. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ, 372*, n71. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71
8. Shin, S., Park, J. H., & Bae, S. H. (2019). Nurse staffing and hospital-acquired conditions: A systematic review. *Journal of Clinical Nursing, 28*(23–24), 4264–4275. https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.15046
9. World Health Organization. (2020). *State of the World's Nursing 2020: Investing in Education, Jobs and Leadership*. World Health Organization. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240003279
10. Ball, J. E., Bruyneel, L., Aiken, L. H., Sermeus, W., Sloane, D. M., Rafferty, A. M., Lindqvist, R., Tishelman, C., & Griffiths, P. (2018). Post-operative mortality, missed care and nurse staffing in nine countries: A cross-sectional study. *International Journal of Nursing Studies, 78*, 10–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2017.08.004
11. Lasater, K. B., Aiken, L. H., Sloane, D. M., French, R., Martin, B., Reneau, K., Alexander, M., & McHugh, M. D. (2021). Chronic hospital nurse understaffing meets COVID-19: An observational study. *BMJ Quality & Safety, 30*(8), 639–647. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjqs-2020-011512
12. McHugh, M. D., Aiken, L. H., Sloane, D. M., Windsor, C., Douglas, C., & Yates, P. (2021). Effects of nurse-to-patient ratio legislation on nurse staffing and patient mortality, readmissions, and length of stay: A prospective study in a panel of hospitals. *The Lancet, 397*(10288), 1905–1913. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00768-6
13. Needleman, J., Buerhaus, P., Pankratz, V. S., Leibson, C. L., Stevens, S. R., & Harris, M. (2011). Nurse staffing and inpatient hospital mortality. *New England Journal of Medicine, 364*(11), 1037–1045. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMsa1001025
14. Twigg, D. E., Gelder, L., & Myers, H. (2015). The impact of understaffed shifts on nurse-sensitive outcomes. *Journal of Advanced Nursing, 71*(7), 1564–1572. https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.12616