

Digital Communication Strategies and Purchase Behavior: A Business Management Approach

Gladys Gelves Suárez¹, Luis Eduardo Muñoz Guerrero², Efrén A. Báez Conde³, Joyce Andrea Parada Gómez⁴

¹Universidad de Pamplona, Colombia Email: gladysgelves@unipamplona.edu.co ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0008-4809-2369>

²Facultad de Ingenierías, Universidad Tecnológica de Pereira, Pereira, Risaralda, Colombia Email: lemunozg@utp.edu.co ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9414-6187>

³Universidad Pedagógica y Tecnológica de Colombia (UPTC), Tunja, Boyacá, Colombia PhD en Ciencias Económicas y Empresariales, Universidad de Granada, España Magíster en Administración de Organizaciones Email: efren.baez@uptc.edu.co ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3942-8912>

⁴Universidad de Pamplona, Pamplona, Norte de Santander, Colombia Email: joyce.parada@unipamplona.edu.co ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0009-9792-2346>

Abstract

This study presents a systematic literature review examining the relationship between digital communication strategies and consumer purchase behavior from a business management perspective. Drawing on 87 peer-reviewed articles published between 2019 and 2025 and indexed in Scopus and Web of Science, this review synthesizes extant knowledge on how organizations leverage digital channels—including social media marketing, content marketing, influencer marketing, search engine optimization, email marketing, and omnichannel integration—to shape consumer decision-making processes. The analysis is grounded in a multi-theoretical framework integrating the Stimulus-Organism-Response (S-O-R) model, the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), Uses and Gratifications Theory (U&G), and the Elaboration Likelihood Model (ELM). Findings reveal that digital communication strategies exert significant influence on purchase intention and behavior through mediating mechanisms such as perceived trust, brand engagement, perceived value, and electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM). The review further identifies personalization, data-driven decision-making, and omnichannel coherence as critical managerial competencies that moderate the effectiveness of digital communication efforts. Theoretical contributions include the development of an integrative conceptual framework linking digital communication modalities to consumer behavioral outcomes within the domain of strategic business management. Practical implications for managers navigating digital transformation are discussed, alongside an agenda for future research addressing emerging technologies, cross-cultural dimensions, and ethical considerations in digital marketing communications.

Keywords: digital communication strategies; purchase behavior; business management; social media marketing; consumer engagement; systematic literature review; digital transformation

1. INTRODUCTION

The digital revolution has fundamentally transformed the landscape of business communication, compelling organizations to reconsider how they engage with consumers across an increasingly complex array of digital touchpoints (Cosa, 2024; Verhoef et al., 2021). In an era characterized by unprecedented connectivity, rapid technological innovation, and evolving consumer expectations, digital communication strategies have emerged as a central pillar of contemporary business management (Kannan & Li, 2017; Lamberton & Stephen, 2016). The proliferation of social media platforms, search

engines, mobile applications, and data analytics tools has created both opportunities and challenges for firms seeking to influence consumer purchase behavior in meaningful and sustainable ways (Dwivedi et al., 2021; Saravanan, 2023).

Consumer purchase behavior, traditionally understood through linear models of decision-making, has become increasingly nonlinear, multichannel, and digitally mediated (Lemon & Verhoef, 2016; Court et al., 2009). Contemporary consumers navigate complex digital ecosystems in which they are simultaneously exposed to brand-generated content, peer recommendations, influencer endorsements, algorithmic suggestions, and user-generated reviews (Alnaser et al., 2024; Sardar et al., 2025). This multiplicity of digital stimuli has rendered traditional marketing communication frameworks insufficient for capturing the dynamic interplay between organizational communication efforts and consumer behavioral outcomes (Stephen, 2016; Vargo & Lusch, 2017).

From a business management perspective, the strategic deployment of digital communication tools represents a critical organizational capability that directly impacts competitive advantage, customer lifetime value, and long-term firm performance (Matarazzo et al., 2021; Benitez et al., 2024). However, despite the growing body of literature addressing various facets of digital marketing and consumer behavior, there remains a notable fragmentation in how these domains are theorized and investigated (Bubphapant & Brandão, 2024; Khanfar et al., 2024). Studies tend to examine individual digital channels in isolation, without adequately addressing the integrated, strategic nature of digital communication as a managerial function (Cosa, 2024; Feliciano-Cestero et al., 2023).

This systematic literature review addresses this gap by synthesizing research at the intersection of digital communication strategies and consumer purchase behavior, explicitly adopting a business management lens. The review pursues three primary objectives: (1) to map the current state of knowledge regarding how specific digital communication strategies influence consumer purchase behavior; (2) to identify the theoretical frameworks, mediating mechanisms, and boundary conditions that govern this relationship; and (3) to develop an integrative conceptual framework that can guide both future scholarly inquiry and managerial practice. In doing so, this study contributes to the strategic management literature by positioning digital communication not merely as a marketing tactic, but as a core organizational competency embedded within broader digital transformation processes (Warner & Wäger, 2019; Vial, 2019).

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 establishes the theoretical foundations underpinning the review, drawing on the Stimulus-Organism-Response framework, the Technology Acceptance Model, Uses and Gratifications Theory, and the Elaboration Likelihood Model. Section 3 details the systematic review methodology, including the search strategy, inclusion and exclusion criteria, and analytical procedures. Section 4 presents the findings organized around key thematic areas. Section 5 offers a critical discussion of the results, including the proposed integrative framework. Section 6 concludes with theoretical and managerial implications, limitations, and directions for future research.

2. Theoretical Framework

The relationship between digital communication strategies and consumer purchase behavior is inherently multifaceted, requiring a multi-theoretical approach to capture its complexity. This section delineates the four theoretical perspectives that collectively inform the analytical framework of this review.

2.1. The Stimulus-Organism-Response (S-O-R) Model

Originally proposed by Mehrabian and Russell (1974) and subsequently adapted to consumer behavior research by Jacoby (2002) and others, the S-O-R framework posits that environmental stimuli (S) trigger internal organismic states (O)—encompassing cognitive, affective, and conative processes—which in turn produce behavioral responses (R). In the context of digital communication, the stimuli include the full spectrum of digital marketing activities deployed by organizations: social media advertisements, influencer content, email campaigns, search engine results, and branded digital content (Islam & Rahman, 2017). The organismic states correspond to consumer perceptions, attitudes, trust, and engagement, while the response dimension captures purchase intention, actual purchase behavior, brand loyalty, and electronic word-of-mouth dissemination (Kumsawat et al., 2025; Yang et al., 2022).

The S-O-R model has proven particularly valuable in digital marketing research because it accommodates the mediated and interactive nature of online consumer experiences (Chen et al., 2024; Guo et al., 2024). Unlike direct stimulus-response models, the S-O-R framework acknowledges that consumer reactions to digital communication are filtered through complex psychological processing, thereby enabling researchers to investigate the mechanisms through which digital strategies translate into behavioral outcomes (Truong et al., 2025; Zhang et al., 2025).

2.2. Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)

Davis's (1989) Technology Acceptance Model provides a complementary lens for understanding how consumers interact with digital communication platforms and technologies. TAM postulates that two primary beliefs—perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use—determine user attitudes toward technology adoption, which subsequently influence behavioral intentions and actual usage behavior. In the digital marketing context, TAM has been applied extensively to explain consumer engagement with e-commerce platforms, mobile shopping applications, social media advertising interfaces, and AI-driven recommendation systems (Koufaris, 2002; Venkatesh et al., 2012).

The relevance of TAM to this review lies in its capacity to explain why certain digital communication strategies are more effective than others: strategies that enhance perceived usefulness (e.g., personalized product recommendations, convenient checkout processes) and perceived ease of use (e.g., intuitive app design, seamless omnichannel navigation) are more likely to facilitate positive purchase outcomes (Davis, 1989; Patel & Chauhan, 2024). Recent extensions of TAM, including UTAUT and UTAUT2 (Venkatesh et al., 2003, 2012), have further enriched the model by incorporating social influence, hedonic motivation, and facilitating conditions as additional determinants of technology-mediated consumer behavior.

2.3. Uses and Gratifications Theory (U&G)

Originating in mass communication research (Katz et al., 1973), Uses and Gratifications Theory assumes that audiences are active agents who purposefully select media channels and content to satisfy specific psychological and social needs, including information seeking, entertainment, social interaction, personal identity construction, and escapism (Rubin, 1994). In the digital era, U&G has been extensively applied to understand why consumers engage with social media platforms, branded content, and influencer communications (Dunakhe & Panse, 2022; Sharma et al., 2024).

From a business management perspective, U&G theory is instrumental in guiding the design of digital communication strategies that align with consumer motivations. Organizations that understand the gratifications consumers seek from digital platforms can tailor their content, messaging, and channel selection accordingly, thereby increasing engagement and purchase propensity (Li et al., 2023; Mishra & Malhotra, 2021). The integration of U&G with TAM through frameworks such as the Media Acceptance Model (MAM) further enhances its

explanatory power by incorporating both motivational and technological dimensions of digital media consumption (Molele, 2025).

2.4. Elaboration Likelihood Model (ELM)

Petty and Cacioppo's (1986) Elaboration Likelihood Model distinguishes between central and peripheral routes to persuasion, contingent on the level of cognitive elaboration that individuals devote to a persuasive message. When elaboration likelihood is high, consumers process message content carefully (central route), relying on argument quality, information relevance, and evidence. When elaboration likelihood is low, consumers rely on peripheral cues such as source attractiveness, endorser credibility, social proof, and heuristic signals (Petty & Cacioppo, 1986; Bhatt et al., 2013).

In digital communication contexts, the ELM is particularly pertinent because digital environments offer both types of persuasion pathways simultaneously. Content marketing and SEO-driven informational content typically engage the central route, while influencer marketing, social media advertising, and gamified content often operate through peripheral processing (Bansal et al., 2024; George et al., 2025). Understanding which route is activated under specific conditions enables managers to design more effective digital communication strategies calibrated to their target audience's level of involvement and cognitive engagement.

3. Methodology

3.1. Research Design and Protocol

This study employs a systematic literature review (SLR) methodology following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (Page et al., 2021). The PRISMA protocol was selected for its rigor, transparency, and reproducibility, ensuring that the review process is methodologically defensible and aligned with the standards expected in Q1 management and marketing journals (Tranfield et al., 2003; Snyder, 2019).

3.2. Search Strategy

A comprehensive search was conducted across four major academic databases: Scopus, Web of Science, EBSCO Business Source Complete, and ProQuest ABI/INFORM. The search strategy combined three sets of keywords using Boolean operators: (1) digital communication terms: "digital marketing" OR "social media marketing" OR "content marketing" OR "influencer marketing" OR "email marketing" OR "SEO" OR "digital advertising" OR "omnichannel" OR "digital communication"; (2) consumer behavior terms: "purchase behavior" OR "purchase intention" OR "buying behavior" OR "consumer decision" OR "consumer engagement" OR "conversion"; and (3) management terms: "business management" OR "strategic management" OR "business strategy" OR "firm performance" OR "competitive advantage" OR "digital transformation". The search was restricted to peer-reviewed journal articles published in English between January 2019 and December 2025.

3.3. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Articles were included if they: (a) examined at least one digital communication strategy in relation to consumer purchase behavior or purchase intention; (b) adopted an empirical, conceptual, or review-based research design; (c) were published in journals indexed in Scopus or Web of Science with a minimum Q2 ranking; and (d) addressed managerial or strategic implications of digital communication. Articles were excluded if they: (a) focused exclusively on technical aspects of digital platforms without behavioral or managerial dimensions; (b) were conference proceedings, book chapters, editorials, or working papers; (c) did not provide sufficient methodological detail for quality assessment; or (d) were published in predatory or non-indexed journals.

3.4. Screening and Selection Process

The initial database search yielded 1,247 records. After removing 389 duplicates, 858 unique records were screened by title and abstract, resulting in the exclusion of 612 articles that did not meet the inclusion criteria. The remaining 246 articles underwent full-text assessment, of which 159 were excluded for reasons including insufficient methodological rigor ($n = 47$), tangential focus on digital communication or purchase behavior ($n = 63$), publication in non-qualifying journals ($n = 31$), and unavailability of full text ($n = 18$). The final sample comprised 87 articles that met all inclusion criteria and were subjected to quality assessment using the Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT) version 2018 (Hong et al., 2018).

3.5. Data Extraction and Analysis

Data were extracted using a standardized coding protocol that captured the following dimensions: author(s) and year of publication, journal name and quartile ranking, theoretical framework(s) employed, digital communication strategy or strategies examined, dependent variable(s), mediating and moderating variables, research methodology, sample characteristics, geographic context, and key findings. Thematic analysis was employed to synthesize the extracted data, following the six-phase approach outlined by Braun and Clarke (2006). This involved familiarization with the data, generating initial codes, searching for themes, reviewing themes, defining and naming themes, and producing the final analytical narrative. Additionally, a descriptive bibliometric analysis was conducted to map publication trends, geographic distribution, and methodological patterns across the reviewed literature.

4. Findings

The thematic analysis of the 87 reviewed articles yielded six major thematic clusters that collectively illuminate the relationship between digital communication strategies and consumer purchase behavior within the business management domain.

4.1. Social Media Marketing and Consumer Engagement

Social media marketing emerged as the most extensively studied digital communication strategy across the reviewed literature, appearing as a primary or secondary focus in 62 of the 87 articles (71.3%). The evidence consistently demonstrates that social media marketing activities—encompassing content creation, community management, paid advertising, and interactive engagement—exert a significant positive influence on consumer purchase intention and behavior (Alnaser et al., 2024; Van Dawt Lian & Srivastav, 2024; Ahmed, 2025). This influence operates through multiple mediating pathways, including brand awareness, perceived value, social brand engagement, and electronic word-of-mouth (Alnaser et al., 2024).

Short-form video content and live-streaming commerce have emerged as particularly potent formats for driving consumer engagement and purchase conversion (Fletcher & Gbadamosi, 2024; Qu et al., 2023). Live-streaming, in particular, has demonstrated significant impact across the entire purchase funnel, from awareness generation to post-purchase engagement, owing to its capacity to create immediacy, interactivity, and a sense of community among viewers (Van Dawt Lian & Srivastav, 2024). The effectiveness of social media marketing is further moderated by platform-specific characteristics, with visual-centric platforms such as Instagram and TikTok demonstrating stronger effects on impulse purchasing and experiential product categories, while information-rich platforms such as LinkedIn and Twitter show greater influence on considered, high-involvement purchase decisions (Kumsawat et al., 2025; Yang et al., 2022).

Notably, the generational dimension emerged as a significant moderator, with younger consumer cohorts—particularly Generation Z—exhibiting substantially higher susceptibility to social media-driven purchase influences compared to older demographics (Walk-Morris, 2024; Antczak, 2024). Research indicates that approximately 55% of Generation Z consumers have

made purchases while browsing social media, compared with 34% of the general shopping population (Walk-Morris, 2024), underscoring the importance of age-specific communication strategies in managerial planning.

4.2. Influencer Marketing and Parasocial Relationships

Influencer marketing constituted a prominent theme, addressed in 41 articles (47.1%). The reviewed literature reveals that social media influencers function as persuasive intermediaries whose effectiveness in shaping purchase intention is mediated by source credibility, parasocial relationships, and perceived congruence between the influencer and the endorsed brand or product (Bansal et al., 2024; Sardar et al., 2025; George et al., 2025). Source credibility, decomposed into dimensions of attractiveness, expertise, and trustworthiness, consistently emerged as a significant predictor of consumer attitudes and purchase intentions across diverse product categories and cultural contexts (Ashraf et al., 2023; Masuda et al., 2022).

Parasocial relationships—the perceived one-sided interpersonal connections that audiences develop with media figures—have been identified as a critical mediating mechanism in influencer marketing effectiveness (Bansal et al., 2024; Bi & Zhang, 2022). These relationships foster trust, emotional attachment, and perceived intimacy, which subsequently enhance the persuasive impact of product recommendations and endorsements. The meta-analytic evidence synthesized by Vrontis et al. (2024), covering 93 articles and 108 studies, confirmed 11 predictors of customer engagement and seven predictors of purchase intention within the influencer marketing domain, with source credibility and content authenticity emerging as the most robust drivers.

From a managerial perspective, the findings highlight the strategic importance of influencer selection, alignment, and relationship management as organizational competencies (Chen et al., 2024; Polat et al., 2024). Firms that adopt systematic approaches to influencer partnership evaluation—incorporating metrics beyond follower count, such as engagement rate, audience-brand fit, and content authenticity—achieve superior return on investment from their influencer marketing expenditures (Vrontis et al., 2024).

4.3. Content Marketing and Information Quality

Content marketing, defined as the strategic creation and distribution of valuable, relevant, and consistent content to attract and retain a clearly defined audience (Pulizzi, 2014), was examined in 34 articles (39.1%). The review reveals that content marketing influences purchase behavior primarily through the central route of persuasion, as conceptualized by the ELM, by enhancing consumers' informational

gratification, perceived expertise, and brand trust (Bubphapant & Brandão, 2024; Barbosa et al., 2023).

Information quality emerged as a critical mediator in the content marketing–purchase intention relationship. High-quality content characterized by relevance, accuracy, timeliness, comprehensiveness, and presentation clarity significantly enhances perceived usefulness and reduces perceived risk, thereby facilitating more confident purchase decisions (Patel & Chauhan, 2024). The format of content also plays a moderating role: video content and interactive formats generate higher engagement and conversion rates compared to static textual content, while long-form educational content demonstrates stronger effects on high-involvement purchase decisions (Bubphapant & Brandão, 2024).

A notable finding concerns the evolving role of artificial intelligence and generative AI technologies in content marketing. Emerging research suggests that AI-powered content personalization and automated content generation can enhance content relevance and

scalability; however, concerns regarding authenticity, transparency, and consumer trust in AI-generated content represent significant challenges that managers must navigate (Content Marketing Institute, 2024; Zhou & Yang, 2024).

4.4. Search Engine Optimization and Digital Visibility

Search engine optimization (SEO), while less prominently studied as a standalone digital communication strategy, appeared as a relevant factor in 23 articles (26.4%). SEO operates as a foundational enabler of digital visibility, ensuring that branded content, product listings, and organizational communications are discoverable by consumers actively engaged in information search behavior (Khanfar et al., 2024; Usmany et al., 2024). Meta-analytic evidence indicates that effective SEO implementation is consistently associated with significant increases in organic search rankings, website traffic, and subsequently, conversion metrics, with content quality, keyword optimization, and backlink profiles identified as the most influential technical determinants (Usmany et al., 2024).

From a business management perspective, SEO represents a strategic investment in long-term digital asset development rather than a tactical marketing expenditure. The reviewed literature emphasizes that SEO effectiveness is contingent upon organizational capabilities in content strategy, technical infrastructure, and continuous algorithmic adaptation (Sekaran, 2023; Lopezosa et al., 2020). The integration of SEO with content marketing—through topic clustering, semantic optimization, and user intent alignment—creates synergistic effects that amplify both organic visibility and consumer trust (Khanfar et al., 2024).

4.5. Omnichannel Communication and the Integrated Customer Journey Omnichannel communication strategies, reflecting the integration of multiple digital and physical touchpoints into a coherent customer experience, were addressed in 29 articles (33.3%). The findings converge on the proposition that consumers increasingly navigate nonlinear, multi-device, and cross-platform purchase journeys, and that organizational capacity to deliver seamless, consistent, and personalized experiences across these touchpoints constitutes a significant source of competitive advantage (Verhoef et al., 2021; Lemon & Verhoef, 2016).

Research demonstrates that companies with robust omnichannel engagement strategies retain substantially higher proportions of their customer base compared to firms with fragmented channel approaches (Aberdeen Group, 2024). Omnichannel consumers exhibit higher customer lifetime value, spending more per transaction and demonstrating greater brand loyalty than single-channel consumers (Harvard Business Review, 2024). Personalization emerges as a critical success factor in omnichannel strategies, with evidence indicating that tailored messaging based on customer behavioral data, demographic profiles, and cross-channel interaction histories significantly enhances engagement, satisfaction, and purchase conversion (Epsilon, 2024; PwC, 2024).

The integration of artificial intelligence into omnichannel marketing represents a rapidly developing frontier. AI-powered tools enable real-time data integration, predictive analytics, dynamic content personalization, and automated customer journey orchestration, thereby enhancing the precision and responsiveness of omnichannel communication strategies (Statista Market Insights, 2024). However, the reviewed literature also identifies data privacy concerns, integration complexity, and organizational silos as persistent barriers to effective omnichannel implementation (Zhou & Yang, 2024; Cosa, 2024).

4.6. Email Marketing and Direct Digital Communication

Although sometimes regarded as a legacy digital communication channel, email marketing was examined in 18 articles (20.7%) and consistently demonstrated its enduring effectiveness as a driver of purchase behavior. Khanfar et al. (2024) identified email marketing as the most

significant predictor of purchasing decisions among the digital communication tools examined, outperforming content marketing, social media marketing, and SEO in direct comparative analyses. The effectiveness of email marketing is attributed to its capacity for hyper-personalization, its direct access to consumer attention without algorithmic mediation, and its measurability through open rates, click-through rates, and conversion metrics.

The integration of email marketing within broader omnichannel strategies enhances its effectiveness. Mobile optimization has become particularly critical, given that a majority of consumers now access email primarily through mobile devices (Customer.io, 2024), and the deployment of behavioral triggers, dynamic content insertion, and AI-driven send-time optimization are increasingly associated with superior conversion performance.

5. Discussion

5.1. Synthesis and Integrative Framework

The findings of this systematic review converge on a central proposition: digital communication strategies function as multidimensional organizational capabilities that influence consumer purchase behavior through a complex network of cognitive, affective, and social-relational mechanisms. The evidence does not support a simplistic, direct-effect model linking digital communication inputs to purchase outputs; rather, the relationship is mediated by consumer psychological states—including trust, perceived value, engagement, and information quality perceptions—and moderated by contextual factors such as product category, consumer demographics, platform characteristics, and the degree of organizational omnichannel integration.

Drawing on the reviewed evidence and the multi-theoretical framework established in Section 2, this study proposes an Integrative Digital Communication–Purchase Behavior (IDCPB) framework that synthesizes the key relationships identified across the literature. The framework comprises four layers:

(1) the Strategic Input Layer, encompassing organizational decisions regarding channel selection, content strategy, influencer partnerships, SEO investment, email personalization, and omnichannel architecture; (2) the Digital Stimulus Layer, representing the actual digital communication touchpoints experienced by consumers; (3) the Consumer Processing Layer, capturing the mediating cognitive and affective mechanisms through which digital stimuli are interpreted and evaluated; and (4) the Behavioral Outcome Layer, incorporating purchase intention, actual purchase behavior, repurchase behavior, brand loyalty, and advocacy.

The IDCPB framework explicitly incorporates the organizational dimension that is frequently underspecified in existing models. Unlike frameworks that treat digital communication strategies as exogenous marketing inputs, the IDCPB framework situates these strategies within the broader context of organizational digital transformation capabilities (Warner & Wäger, 2019; Vial, 2019), data governance architectures (Zhou & Yang, 2024), and strategic management processes (Cosa, 2024; Benitez et al., 2024). This organizational embedding acknowledges that the effectiveness of digital communication is not solely determined by the content or channel characteristics of individual communications, but is fundamentally shaped by the managerial capabilities, resource allocations, and strategic orientations that underpin their deployment.

5.2. Theoretical Contributions

This review makes three primary theoretical contributions. First, by synthesizing evidence across six digital communication modalities and four theoretical frameworks, it provides a more holistic understanding of the digital communication–purchase behavior nexus than has been

available in prior, channel-specific reviews. The integration of S-O-R, TAM, U&G, and ELM perspectives offers a richer theoretical vocabulary for explaining the differential effects of various digital strategies on consumer behavior, moving beyond the descriptive cataloguing that characterizes much of the existing review literature.

Second, the identification of parasocial relationships, information quality, and perceived personalization as primary mediating mechanisms enriches the theoretical understanding of how digital communication translates organizational intentions into consumer behavioral outcomes. These mediators operate through distinct theoretical pathways—parasocial relationships through affective bonding (ELM peripheral route), information quality through cognitive evaluation (ELM central route), and perceived personalization through technology acceptance (TAM perceived usefulness)—thereby demonstrating the multi-channel theoretical architecture underlying digital communication effectiveness.

Third, the development of the IDCPB framework contributes to the strategic management literature by conceptualizing digital communication as an organizational capability rather than a purely marketing function. This reframing aligns with the dynamic capabilities perspective (Teece et al., 1997; Teece, 2007) and positions digital communication strategy within the broader discourse on digital transformation as a source of sustainable competitive advantage (Verhoef et al., 2021; Matarazzo et al., 2021).

5.3. Managerial Implications

The findings carry substantial implications for business managers navigating the complexities of digital communication strategy. First, the evidence underscores the imperative of integrated, cross-channel communication strategies that deliver consistent brand messaging while adapting content format and tone to platform-specific consumer expectations. Managers should resist the temptation to treat digital channels as independent silos and instead invest in organizational architectures—including cross-functional teams, integrated data platforms, and unified customer relationship management systems—that enable coherent omnichannel communication (Verhoef et al., 2021; Lemon & Verhoef, 2016).

Second, the findings highlight the centrality of data-driven personalization as a competitive differentiator. Organizations that leverage first-party consumer data to deliver tailored content, product recommendations, and communication timing achieve significantly higher engagement and conversion metrics. However, this capability must be balanced against growing consumer sensitivity to data privacy and the ethical implications of hyper-targeted communication (Zhou & Yang, 2024; GDPR compliance frameworks). Managers must develop governance frameworks that ensure transparency, consent, and ethical data stewardship while maximizing the strategic value of consumer data assets.

Third, the review highlights the strategic significance of influencer relationship management as a distinct organizational competency. The evidence suggests that influencer marketing effectiveness is contingent not merely on influencer selection but on the development of authentic, sustained partnerships that generate genuine parasocial connections between influencers and target audiences (Bansal et al., 2024; Vrontis et al., 2024). Managers should therefore invest in influencer relationship management processes that prioritize authenticity, audience alignment, and long-term brand coherence over short-term reach metrics.

Fourth, the findings highlight that content quality and relevance remain the foundational determinants of digital communication effectiveness, irrespective of channel or format. In an era of content saturation and declining consumer attention spans, organizations must prioritize the creation of substantive, informative, and engaging content that addresses genuine consumer

needs and pain points (Bubphapant & Brandão, 2024; Barbosa et al., 2023). The integration of AI-powered content creation tools offers scalability advantages but must be governed by editorial standards that preserve authenticity and trustworthiness.

6. Conclusions, Limitations, and Future Research Directions

6.1. Conclusions

This systematic literature review has provided a comprehensive synthesis of the relationship between digital communication strategies and consumer purchase behavior from a business management perspective. The review of 87 peer-reviewed articles published between 2019 and 2025 reveals that digital communication strategies—spanning social media marketing, influencer marketing, content marketing, SEO, email marketing, and omnichannel integration—exert significant, multidimensional

influences on consumer purchase intention and behavior. These influences are mediated by cognitive and affective mechanisms including trust, perceived value, brand engagement, information quality, and parasocial relationships, and are moderated by consumer demographics, platform characteristics, product category involvement, and organizational digital maturity.\

The proposed Integrative Digital Communication–Purchase Behavior (IDCPB) framework advances both theory and practice by situating digital communication strategies within the broader context of organizational capabilities and digital transformation processes. By integrating insights from the S-O-R model, TAM, U&G theory, and the ELM, the framework provides a multi-theoretical architecture that captures the complexity of digitally mediated consumer behavior and offers actionable guidance for managers seeking to optimize their digital communication investments.

6.2. Limitations

Several limitations should be acknowledged. First, the restriction to articles published in English and indexed in Scopus or Web of Science may have excluded relevant research published in other languages or in emerging regional journals. Second, the rapidly evolving nature of digital technologies means that some findings may become obsolete as new platforms, algorithms, and consumer behaviors emerge. Third, while the systematic review methodology ensures rigor and transparency, it is inherently limited in its capacity to assess causal relationships; the predominantly cross-sectional designs employed in the reviewed studies limit the strength of causal inferences. Fourth, the focus on purchase behavior as the primary dependent variable may have underrepresented the broader spectrum of consumer behavioral outcomes—including brand advocacy, complaint behavior, and switching behavior—that are influenced by digital communication strategies.

6.3. Future Research Directions

Several promising avenues for future research emerge from this review. First, longitudinal research designs are needed to establish causal relationships between digital communication strategies and consumer purchase behavior over time, thereby addressing the temporal limitations of existing cross-sectional studies. Second, cross-cultural and multi-country comparative studies would enhance understanding of how cultural values, regulatory environments, and digital infrastructure conditions moderate the effectiveness of digital communication strategies in diverse global contexts.

Third, the impact of emerging technologies—including augmented reality, virtual reality, the metaverse, conversational AI, and blockchain-based transparency mechanisms—on digital

communication effectiveness and consumer trust warrants rigorous empirical investigation. Fourth, the ethical dimensions of digital communication—encompassing data privacy, algorithmic transparency, dark patterns, and the psychological effects of hyper-personalization—represent an underdeveloped but increasingly critical research frontier. Fifth, mixed-methods and experimental research designs could provide deeper insights into the causal mechanisms and boundary conditions governing the digital communication–purchase behavior relationship, complementing the predominantly survey-based quantitative studies that currently dominate the field.

Finally, future research should examine the organizational antecedents of digital communication effectiveness more explicitly, investigating how factors such as digital leadership, organizational culture, inter-departmental coordination, and technology governance frameworks shape firms' capacity to deploy effective digital communication strategies. Such research would bridge the gap between the marketing and strategic management literatures and contribute to a more complete understanding of digital communication as an organizational capability.

References

1. Aberdeen Group. (2024). Omnichannel customer engagement: Retention and loyalty benchmarks. Aberdeen Strategy & Research.
2. Ahmed, S. (2025). Social media marketing effectiveness in the era of short-form video content: A systematic analysis. *Journal of Marketing Communications*, 31(2), 145–168. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13527266.2024.2298471>
3. Alnaser, F., Alghizzawi, M., Abualfalayeh, G., Omeish, F., Alharthi, S., & Al Koni, S. R. (2024). The impact of social media marketing activities on purchase intention. *International Review of Management and Marketing*, 14(6), 112–125. <https://doi.org/10.32479/irmm.16839>
4. Antczak, A. (2024). Digital marketing and the consumer journey: Mechanisms of influence through social media advertising and influencer marketing. *International Journal of Business and Marketing*, 12(3), 78–95.
5. Ashraf, M., Tahir, M., & Iqbal, S. (2023). Source credibility and consumer attitudes in influencer marketing: A multi-platform analysis. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 58, 45–62. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10949968221136258>
6. Bansal, R., Singh, G., & Kumar, P. (2024). Social media influencers and influencer marketing: A systematic literature review. *Journal of International Business and Economy*, 25(1), 1–34.
7. Barbosa, B., Saura, J. R., Zekan, S. B., & Ribeiro-Soriano, D. (2023). Defining content marketing and its influence on online user behavior: A data-driven prescriptive analytics method. *Annals of Operations Research*, 324, 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-023-05216-8>
8. Benitez, J., Matute, J., & Castillo, A. (2024). Impact of strategic capabilities on digital transformation success and firm performance. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 34(3), 415–435. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0960085X.2024.2311137>
9. Bi, N. C., & Zhang, R. (2022). I will buy what my 'friend' recommends: The effects of parasocial relationships, influencer credibility and self-esteem on purchase intentions. *Journal of Research in Interactive Marketing*, 17(2), 157–175. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JRIM-08-2021-0214>
10. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
11. Bubphapant, J., & Brandão, A. (2024). Content marketing research: A review and research agenda. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 48(1), e12984. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcs.12984>
12. Chen, Y., Qin, Z., Yan, Y., & Huang, Y. (2024). The power of influencers: How does influencer

- marketing shape consumers' purchase intentions? Sustainability, 16(13), 5471. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16135471>
13. Content Marketing Institute. (2024). B2B content marketing benchmarks, budgets, and trends: Outlook for 2024. Content Marketing Institute/MarketingProfs.
 14. Cosa, M. (2024). Business digital transformation: Strategy adaptation, communication and future agenda. Journal of Strategy and Management, 17(2), 244–259. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JSMA-09-2023-0233>
 15. Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. MIS Quarterly, 13(3), 319–340. <https://doi.org/10.2307/249008>
 16. Dwivedi, Y. K., Ismagilova, E., Hughes, D. L., Carlson, J., Filieri, R., Jacobson, J., ... & Wang, Y. (2021). Setting the future of digital and social media marketing research: Perspectives and research propositions. International Journal of Information Management, 59, 102168. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2020.102168>
 17. Epsilon. (2024). The power of personalization: Consumer expectations and brand performance. Epsilon Data Management.
 18. Feliciano-Cestero, M. M., Ameen, N., Kotabe, M., Paul, J., & Signoret, M. (2023). Is digital transformation threatened? A systematic literature review of the factors influencing firms' digital transformation and internationalization. Journal of Business Research, 157, 113546. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.113546>
 19. Fletcher, B., & Gbadamosi, A. (2024). Live streaming commerce and consumer purchase behavior: An empirical investigation. Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 76, 103561.
 20. George, A., Shibu, M., Joseph, E. T., & Sunny, P. (2025). Impact of social media influencer marketing on customer purchase intention in the fashion industry: A systematic literature review. Frontiers in Communication, 10, 1676901. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcomm.2025.1676901>
 21. Harvard Business Review. (2024). Omnichannel shopping trends: Cross-channel consumer behavior analysis. Harvard Business Publishing.
 22. Hong, Q. N., Pluye, P., Fàbregues, S., Bartlett, G., Boardman, F., Cargo, M., ... & Vedel, I. (2018). Mixed methods appraisal tool (MMAT), version 2018. Canadian Intellectual Property Office.
 23. Islam, J. U., & Rahman, Z. (2017). The impact of online brand community characteristics on customer engagement: An application of Stimulus-Organism-Response paradigm. Telematics and Informatics, 34(4), 96–109. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2017.01.004>
 24. Jacoby, J. (2002). Stimulus-Organism-Response reconsidered: An evolutionary step in modeling (consumer) behavior. Journal of Consumer Psychology, 12(1), 51–57. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327663JCP1201_05
 25. Kannan, P. K., & Li, H. A. (2017). Digital marketing: A framework, review and research agenda. International Journal of Research in Marketing, 34(1), 22–45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijresmar.2016.11.006>
 26. Katz, E., Blumler, J. G., & Gurevitch, M. (1973). Uses and gratifications research. The Public Opinion Quarterly, 37(4), 509–523. <https://doi.org/10.1086/268109>
 27. Khanfar, I. A. A., Al-Hawary, S. I. S., & Al-Zu'bi, Z. M. F. (2024). Impact of online marketing tools on customers' purchasing decisions. International Journal of Data and Network Science, 8(1), 245–258. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijdns.2023.9.010>
 28. Koufaris, M. (2002). Applying the Technology Acceptance Model and flow theory to online consumer behavior. Information Systems Research, 13(2), 205–223. <https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.13.2.205.83>
 29. Kumsawat, S., Pongsakornrunsilp, S., & Pongsakornrunsilp, P. (2025). Social media

- marketing convergence with consumer behaviour: Evidence from visual platform analysis. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 41(1-2), 98-121.
30. Lamberton, C., & Stephen, A. T. (2016). A thematic exploration of digital, social media, and mobile marketing: Research evolution from 2000 to 2015 and an agenda for future inquiry. *Journal of Marketing*, 80(6), 146-172. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jm.15.0415>
 31. Lemon, K. N., & Verhoef, P. C. (2016). Understanding customer experience throughout the customer journey. *Journal of Marketing*, 80(6), 69-96. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jm.15.0420>
 32. Masuda, H., Han, S. H., & Lee, J. (2022). Impacts of influencer attributes on purchase intentions in social commerce. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 174, 121246. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2021.121246>
 33. Matarazzo, M., Penco, L., Profumo, G., & Quaglia, R. (2021). Digital transformation and customer value creation in Made in Italy SMEs: A dynamic capabilities perspective. *Journal of Business Research*, 123, 642-656. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.10.033>
 34. Mehrabian, A., & Russell, J. A. (1974). *An approach to environmental psychology*. MIT Press.
 35. Molele, T. (2025). Reimagining uses and gratifications: Integrating media adoption theories for the digital age. *Communitas*, 30, 1-18.
 36. Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., ... & Moher, D. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*, 372, n71. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71>
 37. Patel, N., & Chauhan, S. (2024). Digital marketing adaptation and evolving consumer behaviors in the post-pandemic marketplace. *Journal of Strategic Marketing*, 32(4), 512-530.
 38. Petty, R. E., & Cacioppo, J. T. (1986). *Communication and persuasion: Central and peripheral routes to attitude change*. Springer-Verlag.
 39. Polat, E., Gunay, F., & Okumus, F. (2024). Social media influencer marketing in tourism: A systematic review. *Tourism Management*, 100, 104826.
 40. Pulizzi, J. (2014). *Epic content marketing: How to tell a different story, break through the clutter, and win more customers by marketing less*. McGraw-Hill Education.
 41. PwC. (2024). *Global consumer insights survey 2024: Personalization and customer experience*. PricewaterhouseCoopers.
 42. Qu, Q., Wang, Z., Gan, Q., Liu, R., & Xu, H. (2023). Scarcity-driven strategies and impulse buying behavior in live-stream commerce. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 14, 1221288. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2023.1221288>
 43. Rubin, A. M. (1994). Media uses and effects: A uses-and-gratifications perspective. In J. Bryant & D. Zillmann (Eds.), *Media effects: Advances in theory and research* (pp. 417-436). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
 44. Saravanan, R. (2023). Digital marketing and consumer buying behavior: A comprehensive analysis. *International Journal of Marketing Studies*, 15(2), 78-94.
 45. Sardar, A., Singh, S., & Gupta, V. (2025). Social media influencers and purchase intention: A review and research agenda. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 49(2), e70046. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcs.70046>
 46. Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333-339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039>
 47. Statista Market Insights. (2024). *Influencer marketing worldwide: Expenditure, growth, and forecasts to 2029*. Statista.
 48. Stephen, A. T. (2016). The role of digital and social media marketing in consumer behavior. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 10, 17-21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2015.10.016>
 49. Teece, D. J. (2007). Explicating dynamic capabilities: The nature and microfoundations of (sustainable) enterprise performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 28(13), 1319-1350.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.640>

50. Teece, D. J., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(7), 509–533.
[https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0266\(199708\)18:7<509::AID-SMJ882>3.0.CO;2-Z](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0266(199708)18:7<509::AID-SMJ882>3.0.CO;2-Z)
51. Tranfield, D., Denyer, D., & Smart, P. (2003). Towards a methodology for developing evidence-informed management knowledge by means of systematic review. *British Journal of Management*, 14(3), 207–222. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.00375>
52. Truong, V. D., Nguyen, T. T., & Le, H. A. (2025). Visual storytelling in food marketing: The role of social media platforms. *Food Quality and Preference*, 118, 105123.
53. Usmany, T. P., Situmorang, S. H., & Rachman, A. (2024). The effectiveness of search engine optimization (SEO) in marketing: A meta-analysis study. *Journal of Digital Marketing*, 6(2), 112–130.
54. Van Dawt Lian, C., & Srivastav, A. K. (2024). From engagement to conversion: Social media marketing strategies and consumer behavior. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, 8(4), 78–96.
55. Vargo, S. L., & Lusch, R. F. (2017). Service-dominant logic 2025. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 34(1), 46–67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijresmar.2016.11.001>
56. Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. *MIS Quarterly*, 27(3), 425–478. <https://doi.org/10.2307/30036540>
57. Venkatesh, V., Thong, J. Y. L., & Xu, X. (2012). Consumer acceptance and use of information technology: Extending the unified theory of acceptance and use of technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 36(1), 157–178. <https://doi.org/10.2307/41410412>
58. Verhoef, P. C., Broekhuizen, T., Bart, Y., Bhattacharya, A., Dong, J. Q., Fabian, N., & Haenlein, M. (2021). Digital transformation: A multidisciplinary reflection and research agenda. *Journal of Business Research*, 122, 889–901. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.09.022>
59. Vial, G. (2019). Understanding digital transformation: A review and a research agenda. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 28(2), 118–144. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2019.01.003>
60. Vrontis, D., Makrides, A., Christofi, M., & Thrassou, A. (2024). Predictors of social media influencer marketing effectiveness: A comprehensive literature review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Business Research*, 178, 114665. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2024.114665>
61. Warner, K. S. R., & Wäger, M. (2019). Building dynamic capabilities for digital transformation: An ongoing process of strategic renewal. *Long Range Planning*, 52(3), 326–349. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2018.12.001>
62. Yang, J., Li, S., & Chen, W. (2022). Social media platforms as marketing communication environments: Consumer behaviour convergence. *Internet Research*, 32(5), 1456–1478.
63. Zhang, Y., Wang, L., & Liu, H. (2025). The evolution of food marketing content on social media: Platform dynamics and consumer engagement. *Journal of Business Research*, 180, 114752.
64. Zhou, L., & Yang, D. (2024). Data privacy, green marketing, and ethical digital communication: Emerging perspectives. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 189(3), 645–663. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-023-05489-8>